# I618 Advanced Computer Science II (Part II)



- Some efficient algorithms on the graphs
  - Compact interval representation of an interval graph
    - which can be used for recognition and graph isomorphism.
    - each "cut" at integer point gives us a maximal clique which allows us to solve many problems efficiently.
  - "Compact" clique tree of a chordal graph
    - which *cannot* be used for graph isomorphism, but,
    - each "cut" at a node of the tree gives us a maximal clique which allows us to use <u>dynamic programming</u> and we can solve many problems efficiently.
  - □ the ideas can be extended to general graphs...
    - k-tree and partial k-tree
    - path decomposition and tree decomposition

- "Compact" clique tree of a chordal graph
  - □ a clique tree T = (C, E) of a chordal graph G = (V, E) is...
    - each vertex v corresponds to subtree  $T_v$  of  $\mathcal{T}$
    - G is an intersection graph of  $T_v$ s of  $\mathcal{T}$
    - for each node x in T;
      - □ let C(x) be the set of subtree  $T_y$ s that contains the node x.
      - $\Box$  clearly, the vertices in C(x) induces a clique in G.
      - that is, each node x in T corresponds to a clique C(x) in G.



"Compact" clique tree of a chordal graph

□ a clique tree T = (C, E) of a chordal graph G = (V, E) is...

 $\Box$  clearly, the vertices in N(x) induces a clique in G.

[Definition 10] A clique tree is *compact* if and only if for each node x in T, C(x) induces a *maximal* clique.



#### "Compact" clique tree of a chordal graph

[Lemma 3] If a node x in T does not corresponds to a maximal clique,  $C(x) \subseteq C(y)$  for some y which is a neighbor of x.

(Proof (Sketch)) If C(x) is not a maximal clique, there is a maximal clique M that contains C(x). Then, T has to have a node corresponding to M. The path on T between C(x) and M has to linearly ordered for inclusion since each vertex corresponds to a connected tree.  $\Box$ 

(C.f.) Similar idea can be found in [Def. 3](3).



#### "Compact" clique tree of a chordal graph

[Lemma 4] For any clique tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of a chordal graph G, we can construct a compact clique tree  $\mathcal{T}$ ' in linear time of  $|\mathcal{T}|$ .

(Proof (Sketch)) If C(x) is not a maximal clique, there is a neighbor y of x with  $C(x) \subseteq C(y)$ . We then contract x and y. Repeating this process, we finally have a compact clique tree.  $\Box$ 



#### "Compact" clique tree of a chordal graph

[Theorem 17] A graph G is a chordal graph if and only if G has a compact clique tree representation.

[Corollary 4] For a compact clique tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of a chordal graph G=(V,E),  $\mathcal{T}$  has at most |V| nodes. For each pair of nodes x and y in  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have  $C(x) \not\subset C(y)$  and  $C(y) \not\subset C(x)$ .



[Useful property] Each leaf x in T has simplicial vertices in C(x)-C(y), where y is the neighbor of x.

- Two notes on compact clique tree
  - 1. Why the graph isomorphism is still hard for chordal graphs? ... which is equivalent to "isn't the compact clique tree canonical up to isomorphism?"
    - □ The answer is, unfortunately, "No".
    - For a chordal graph, there are <u>several distinct compact</u> <u>clique trees</u> in general.



[Open Problem] As far as I know, there are no known  $O(2^n)$  time algorithms that solve the GI problem on chordal graphs.

- Two notes on compact clique tree
  - 2. As far as I know, most efficient and simple algorithm that constructs a compact clique tree is given in chapter 15 of *"Efficient Graph Representation*," J.P. Spinrad, 2003.
    - the outline of the algorithm is as follows;
      - 1. compute a PEO by LexBFS or MCS;
      - 2. for each  $v_n, v_{n-1}, \dots, v_1$ , grow the tree as follows;
        - 1. if  $v_i$  gives a new maximal clique, grow the tree, or
        - 2. add  $v_i$  into the current maximal clique.
    - it is easy to implement the algorithm to run in linear time.

[Corollary 5] For a chordal graph, its compact clique tree can be constructed in linear time.

- Efficient algorithms on interval/chordal graphs;
  - useful property of compact representations;
    - interval graphs;
      - by Lemma 1, on a compact representation, there is a (simplicial) vertex that corresponds to the interval [0,0].
    - chordal graphs; similar property of interval graphs;
      - on a compact representation,
        - 1. there is a simplicial vertex that corresponds to a leaf
        - 2. each leaf contains such a simplicial vertex
  - problems related to {clique/independent set} are easy to solve;
    - 1. maximum clique; find the maximum one in the compact representation.

- Efficient algorithms on interval/chordal graphs;
  - problems related to {clique/independent set} are easy to solve;
    - 2. maximum independent set;
      - 1.  $S := \phi;$
      - 2. on the clique tree,
        - 1. pick up a simplicial vertex *v* corresponding to a leaf in the tree;
        - 2.  $S := S \cup \{v\};$
      - 3. remove v and its neighbors from the graph;
      - 4. if the graph is not empty, go to step 2.



- Extensions...
  - We admit to lack of edges on each representation;



[Example 3] Long cycle, which is not a chordal graph.





3. not all pairs in a node in  $\mathcal{I}$  produce edges in G.

- Extensions...
  - We admit to lack of edges; on each representation,
    - From the viewpoint of efficient algorithms, the representation is enough to solve many problems; each node in the representation is still a separator.
    - From the graph theoretical point of view, finding the representation of G=(V,E) corresponds to finding a super graph G'=(V,E') such that  $E\subseteq E'$  and G' is chordal.



- 1. Each edge in G appears in a node in  $\mathcal{I}$ ,
- 2. each vertex in G appears consecutively in  $\mathcal{I}$ , and
- 3. not all pairs in a node in  $\mathcal{I}$  produce edges in G.

[Observation] Any graph has a trivial super chordal graph;

1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 any graph is a subgraph of  $K_n$ .

#### Extensions...

- [Definition11] A tree decomposition of a graph G=(V,E) is a tree  $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{E})$  such that
  - 1. each *bag* B in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $B \subseteq V$ ,
  - 2. for each edge  $e = \{u, v\}$  in *E*, there is a bag *B* with u, v in *B*,
  - 3. each vertex v in V induce a (connected) subtree  $T_v$  of T; precisely,  $T_v$  consists of nodes B in  $\mathcal{B}$  with v in B.

$$G \xrightarrow{2 - 3 - 4} 1 \xrightarrow{5 - 6} 1,2,8 - 2,3,8 - 3,4,8 - 4,5,8 - 5,6,8 - 6,7,8 T$$

#### Extensions...

- We want to have a *good* tree decomposition
  - "good" = "max{|*B*|} is small"

#### [Definition12]

- 1. The *treewidth*  $tw(\mathcal{T})$  of a tree decomposition  $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{E})$  of a graph G=(V,E) is defined by  $tw(\mathcal{T})=\max\{|B|\}$  for all B in  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- 2. The *treewidth* tw(G) of a graph *G* is defined by  $\min\{tw(\mathcal{T})\}$ -<u>1</u> for all tree decompositions  $\mathcal{T}$ .



Extensions...

#### [Definition12]

- 1. The *treewidth*  $tw(\mathcal{T})$  of a tree decomposition  $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{E})$  of a graph G=(V,E) is defined by  $tw(\mathcal{T})=\max\{|B|\}$  for all B in  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- 2. The *treewidth* tw(G) of a graph *G* is defined by  $\min\{tw(\mathcal{T})\}$ -<u>1</u> for all tree decompositions  $\mathcal{T}$ .



#### Known Results

- Computing the treewidth of a graph is  $\underline{NP}$ -complete in general.
  - there are several approximation algorithms for some graph classes.
- But, the treewidth is *fixed parameter tractable*; that is,
  - if the graph G=(V,E) has treewidth k, we can compute the tree decomposition of treewidth k in O(f(k) poly(n)) time, where n=|V|, even if we do not know the value of k; here, f(k) is not polynomial of k, but f(k) is independent from n.
  - actually, for fixed k, there is a linear time algorithm that computes the treewidth. [Bodlaender 1996]
- Thus, in a practical sense, treewidth can be computed
  - ... for graphs having small treewidth.

- Graphs with small treewidth *k*;
  - "A graph has treewidth k" means the graph can be decomposed into small graphs (with vertices of constant number) by using the separators of size at most k.
  - Typical "dynamic programming" on the tree decomposition works; for each merging process of nodes, the algorithm may maintain some tables of size  $O(2^k)$ , which is polynomial of n (or constant).
    - in some areas like bioinformatics, treewidth of graphs is bounded by 3.

- Graphs with small treewidth *k*;
  - Bodlaender wrote two survey papers;
    - "A partial k-arboretum of graphs with bounded treewidth," Theoretical Computer Science, 209, p.1-45, 1998.
    - "A tourist guide through treewidth," Acta Cybernetica, 11, p.1-21, 1993.
    - ... and tons of papers can be found at Bodlaender's web page (<u>http://people.cs.uu.nl/hansb/mypapers.html</u>)
  - in the papers, you can find many tractable problems on graphs with small treewidth.

# Report

Deadline: January 31 (Thusday), 17:00. Submit to Uehara at I67b.

- 1. Prove the Helly property for the set of intervals.
- 2. Prove that the graph isomorphism can be solved in linear time for trees.
- 3. Prove that any vertex can be the last vertex of a perfect elimination ordering of a chordal graph.