

## Observation of the definitions of the classes...

Def: Class  $\mathcal{P}$  (Chapter 5)

Set  $L$  is in the class  $\mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow$

There exists a poly-time computable predicate  $R$  such that  
for each  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow R(x)$

Def: Class  $\mathcal{NP}$  (Def 5.2)

Set  $L$  is in the class  $\mathcal{NP} \Leftrightarrow$

There exists a poly  $q$  and a poly-time computable pred.  $R$  s.t.  
for each  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists w \in \Sigma^* : |w| \leq q(|x|) [R(x,w)]$

Def: Class  $\text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$  (Theorem 5.5)

Set  $L$  is in the class  $\text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \Leftrightarrow$

There exists a poly  $q$  and a poly-time computable pred.  $R$  s.t.  
for each  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \forall w \in \Sigma^* : |w| \leq q(|x|) [R(x,w)]$

## 計算量クラス間の定義を概観すると...

クラス $\mathcal{P}$ の定義(5章)

集合 $L$ がクラス $\mathcal{P}$ に入る  $\Leftrightarrow$

以下を満たす多項式時間計算可能述語 $R$ が存在:

各  $x \in \Sigma^*$  で  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow R(x)$

クラス $\mathcal{NP}$ の定義(定義5.2)

集合 $L$ がクラス $\mathcal{NP}$ に入る  $\Leftrightarrow$

以下を満たす多項式 $q$ と多項式時間計算可能述語 $R$ が存在:

各  $x \in \Sigma^*$  で  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists w \in \Sigma^* : |w| \leq q(|x|) [R(x, w)]$

クラス $\text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ の定義(定理5.5)

集合 $L$ がクラス $\text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ に入る  $\Leftrightarrow$

以下を満たす多項式 $q$ と多項式時間計算可能述語 $R$ が存在:

各  $x \in \Sigma^*$  で  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \forall w \in \Sigma^* : |w| \leq q(|x|) [R(x, w)]$

**Theorem 5.9**

- (1)  $\mathcal{NP} \subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$   
 (2)  $\text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \subseteq \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$   
 (3)  $\mathcal{NP} \neq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$


Contraposition:  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$

If we assume  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$ , for any  $L$  we have


$$\begin{aligned}
 L \in \mathcal{NP} &\leftrightarrow L \in \mathcal{P} && (\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}) \\
 &\leftrightarrow \overline{L} \in \mathcal{P} && (\text{Exercise 5.5}) \\
 &\leftrightarrow \overline{L} \in \underline{\mathcal{NP}} && (\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}) \\
 &\leftrightarrow L (= \overline{L}) \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} && (\text{Definition 5.3}) \\
 &\therefore \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}
 \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

If  $\mathcal{NP} \neq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$  is true,



or



**定理5.9.**

- (1)  $\mathcal{NP} \subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$   
 (2)  $\text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \subseteq \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$   
 (3)  $\mathcal{NP} \neq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ .


対偶:  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$

$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$ と仮定すると, すべての $L$ に対し

$$\begin{aligned}
 L \in \mathcal{NP} &\leftrightarrow L \in \mathcal{P} && (\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP} \text{ より}) \\
 &\leftrightarrow \overline{L} \in \mathcal{P} && (\text{演習問題5.5}) \\
 &\leftrightarrow \overline{L} \in \underline{\mathcal{NP}} && (\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP} \text{ より}) \\
 &\leftrightarrow L (= \overline{L}) \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} && (\text{定義5.3より}) \\
 &\therefore \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}
 \end{aligned}$$

証明終

$\mathcal{NP} \neq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ が正しいと



# Chapter 6. Analysis on Polynomial-Time Computability

## 6.1. Polynomial-time Reducibility

### Def.6.1:

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be arbitrary sets.

(1) function  $h: A \rightarrow B$ : polynomial-time reduction

- $$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } h \text{ is a total function from } \Sigma^* \text{ onto } \Sigma^* \\ \text{(b) } x \in \Sigma^* [x \in A \leftrightarrow h(x) \in B] \\ \text{(c) } h \text{ is polynomial-time computable.} \end{array} \right.$$

(2) When there is a polynomial-time reduction from  $A$  to  $B$ , we say  $A$  is polynomial-time reducible to  $B$ .

Then, we denote by

$$A \leq_m^P B$$

## 第6章 多項式時間計算可能性の分析

### 6.1. 多項式時間還元可能性

#### 定義6.1:

$A$ と $B$ を任意の集合とする.

(1) 関数  $h: A \rightarrow B$ : 多項式時間還元 (polynomial-time reduction)

- $\Leftrightarrow$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } h \text{ は } \Sigma^* \text{ から } \Sigma^* \text{ への全域的関数} \\ \text{(b) } x \in \Sigma^* [x \in A \leftrightarrow h(x) \in B] \\ \text{(c) } h \text{ は多項式時間計算可能.} \end{array} \right.$

(2)  $A$ から $B$ への多項式時間還元が存在するとき,  
 $A$ は $B$ へ多項式時間還元可能という (polynomial time reducible).

このとき, 次のように書く:

$$A \leq_m^P B$$

$A \leq_m^P B$  within polynomial time, hardness of  $A \leq$  that of  $B$

**Theorem 6.1**  $A \leq_m^P B$  leads to,

- (1)  $B \in \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{P}$ .
- (2)  $B \in \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{NP}$ .
- (3)  $B \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ .
- (4)  $B \in \mathcal{EXPTIME} \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{EXPTIME}$ .

Note: class  $\mathcal{E}$  is exceptional. Generally,  $B \in \mathcal{E} \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{E}$  is not true.

**Ex.6.2:** If we define  $\text{ONE} \equiv \{1\}$ , for each set  $L$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  we have

$$L \leq_m^P \text{ONE}$$

If we define  $h(x) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in L, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

- (1)  $h$  is a total function from  $\Sigma^*$  onto  $\Sigma^*$ .
- (2)  $x \in \Sigma^* [x \in L \leftrightarrow h(x) \in \text{ONE}]$
- (3)  $h$  is polynomial-time computable (so is computation  $L \in \mathcal{P} \rightarrow x \in L$ )

$A \leq_m^P B$  多項式時間の範囲内では,  $A$ の難しさ  $\leq$   $B$ の難しさ

**定理6.1.**  $A \leq_m^P B$  のとき,

- (1)  $B \in \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{P}$ .
- (2)  $B \in \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{NP}$ .
- (3)  $B \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ .
- (4)  $B \in \mathcal{EXP} \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{EXP}$ .

補注: クラス  $\mathcal{E}$  は例外. 一般には,  $B \in \mathcal{E} \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{E}$  とはならない.

**例6.2:**  $\text{ONE} \equiv \{1\}$  と定義するとき, クラス  $\mathcal{P}$  のすべての集合  $L$  について  $L \leq_m^P \text{ONE}$

が成り立つ. 
$$h(x) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & x \in L \text{ のとき,} \\ 0, & \text{その他のとき} \end{cases}$$

と定義すると, (1)  $h$  は  $\Sigma^*$  から  $\Sigma^*$  への全域的関数.

(2)  $x \in \Sigma^* [x \in L \leftrightarrow h(x) \in \text{ONE}]$

(3)  $h$  は多項式時間計算可能 ( $L \in \mathcal{P} \rightarrow x \in L$  の判定も多項式時間内)



**Theorem 6.2:**  $A, B, C$ : arbitrary sets

$$(1) A \leq_m^P A$$

$$(2) A \leq_m^P B \wedge B \leq_m^P C \rightarrow A \leq_m^P C$$

Def:  $A \equiv_m^P B \leftrightarrow A \leq_m^P B \wedge B \leq_m^P A$   
 $\equiv_m^P$  is an equivalence relation.

**定理6.2:**  $A, B, C$ : 任意の集合

$$(1) A \leq_m^P A$$

$$(2) A \leq_m^P B \wedge B \leq_m^P C \rightarrow A \leq_m^P C$$

定義:  $A \equiv_m^P B \leftrightarrow A \leq_m^P B \wedge B \leq_m^P A$

$\equiv_m^P$  は同値関係

## Relation among satisfiability problems of propositional expressions

2SAT (propositional satisfiability problem)

3SAT

SAT

ExSAT (extended propositional satisfiability problem)

$$2\text{SAT} \leq_m^P 3\text{SAT}$$

- at most  $k \dots$  trivial
- exactly  $k \dots$ 
  - easy if you can repeat the same literal.
  - the other case ... good exercise!

Similarly,

$$3\text{SAT} \leq_m^P \text{SAT} \leq_m^P \text{ExSAT}$$

$$2\text{SAT} \leq_m^P 3\text{SAT} \leq_m^P \text{SAT} \leq_m^P \text{ExSAT} \quad (6.1)$$

Here, if we can show

$$\text{ExSAT} \leq_m^P 3\text{SAT}$$

then we have

$$3\text{SAT} \equiv_m^P \text{SAT} \equiv_m^P \text{ExSAT}$$

## 命題論理式の充足可能性問題の関係

2SAT (命題論理式充足性問題: 二和積形式)

3SAT (命題論理式充足性問題: 三和積形式)

SAT (命題論理式充足性問題)

ExSAT (拡張命題論理式充足性問題)

$$2\text{SAT} \leq_m^P 3\text{SAT}$$

同様に,

$$3\text{SAT} \leq_m^P \text{SAT} \leq_m^P \text{ExSAT}$$

$$2\text{SAT} \leq_m^P 3\text{SAT} \leq_m^P \text{SAT} \leq_m^P \text{ExSAT} \quad (6.1)$$

ここで

$$\text{ExSAT} \leq_m^P 3\text{SAT}$$

であることを示せると,

$$3\text{SAT} \equiv_m^P \text{SAT} \equiv_m^P \text{ExSAT}$$

となる.

• 高々  $k$  個... 自明

• ちょうど  $k$  個...

➤ 同じリテラルを使ってよいなら簡単。

➤ だめなら... 考えてみよう!

### Ex. 6.3: Reduction from ExSAT to 3SAT


$$E_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv [[x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2] \rightarrow [x_2 \wedge x_3]] \vee \neg x_3$$

$$F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv U_1 \wedge [U_1 \leftrightarrow [U_2 \vee \neg x_3]] \wedge [U_2 \leftrightarrow [U_3 \rightarrow U_4]] \\ \wedge [U_3 \leftrightarrow [x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2]] \wedge [U_4 \leftrightarrow [x_2 \wedge x_3]]$$

Then,  $[E_1 \text{ is satisfiable}] \leftrightarrow [F_1 \text{ is satisfiable}]$  (6.2)

$F_1$  is easier to be converted to 3SAT form.

**How to construct  $F_1$**



$$(1) V_1 \equiv V_2 \vee \neg x_3$$

$$(2) V_2 \equiv [V_3 \rightarrow V_4]$$

$$(3) V_3 \equiv [x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2]$$

$$(4) V_4 \equiv x_2 \wedge x_3$$

To construct  $F_1$  we let  $V_i \rightarrow U_i$ , and connect expressions of  $V_i$  by  $\wedge$

### 例6.3: ExSATから3SATへの還元


$$E_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv [[x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2] \rightarrow [x_2 \wedge x_3]] \vee \neg x_3$$

$$F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv U_1 \wedge [U_1 \leftrightarrow [U_2 \vee \neg x_3]] \wedge [U_2 \leftrightarrow [U_3 \rightarrow U_4]] \\ \wedge [U_3 \leftrightarrow [x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2]] \wedge [U_4 \leftrightarrow [x_2 \wedge x_3]]$$

このとき,  $[E_1 \text{が充足可能}] \leftrightarrow [F_1 \text{が充足可能}]$  (6.2)

$F_1$ は三和積形式に直しやすい形になっている.

#### $F_1$ の構成方法



$$(1) V_1 \equiv V_2 \vee \neg x_3$$

$$(2) V_2 \equiv [V_3 \rightarrow V_4]$$

$$(3) V_3 \equiv [x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2]$$

$$(4) V_4 \equiv x_2 \wedge x_3$$

$F_1$ を構成するために,  $V_i \rightarrow U_i$ とし,  $V_i$ の定義式を  $\wedge$ で結ぶ

From the construction of  $F_1$

(1)  $F_1$  is never true unless each  $U_i$  is  $V_i(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ .

(2) If each  $U_i$  is  $V_i(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , we have  $F_1 = E_1$

The above properties are proved by using induction.

proof is omitted.

### Conversion to 3SAT form

$$a \rightarrow b = \neg a \vee b$$

$$a \leftrightarrow b = (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a) = [\neg a \vee b] \wedge [\neg b \vee a]: \text{useful relations}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 \leftrightarrow [U_2 \vee \neg x_3] &= [\neg U_1 \vee U_2 \vee \neg x_3] \wedge [U_1 \vee \neg[U_2 \vee \neg x_2]] \\ &= [\neg U_1 \vee U_2 \vee \neg x_3] \wedge [U_1 \vee [\neg U_2 \wedge x_2]] \\ &= [\neg U_1 \vee U_2 \vee \neg x_3] \wedge [U_1 \vee \neg U_2] \wedge [U_1 \vee x_2] \\ &= [\neg U_1 \vee U_2 \vee \neg x_3] \wedge [U_1 \vee \neg U_2 \vee \neg U_2] \wedge [U_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_2] \end{aligned}$$

Others are similar.

Thus, every 3SAT form is converted.

$F_1$  の構成方法より,

- (1) 各  $U_i$  の値を  $V_i(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  としない限り,  $F_1$  は真にはならない.
- (2) 各  $U_i$  の値を  $V_i(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  としたとき,  $F_1 = E_1$

上の性質が成り立つことは, 帰納法を用いるなどして証明可能.  
証明は省略.

### 三和積形式への変換

$$a \rightarrow b = \neg a \vee b$$

$$a \leftrightarrow b = (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a) = [\neg a \vee b] \wedge [\neg b \vee a] \text{ であることを用いる.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 \leftrightarrow [U_2 \vee \neg x_3] &= [\neg U_1 \vee U_2 \vee \neg x_3] \wedge [U_1 \vee \neg [U_2 \vee \neg x_2]] \\ &= [\neg U_1 \vee U_2 \vee \neg x_3] \wedge [U_1 \vee [\neg U_2 \wedge x_2]] \\ &= [\neg U_1 \vee U_2 \vee \neg x_3] \wedge [U_1 \vee \neg U_2] \wedge [U_1 \vee x_2] \\ &= [\neg U_1 \vee U_2 \vee \neg x_3] \wedge [U_1 \vee \neg U_2 \vee \neg U_2] \wedge [U_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_2] \end{aligned}$$

他も同様.

よって, すべて三和積形式に変形できることがわかる.



## 6.2. Completeness based on Polynomial-time Reducibility

### 6.2.1. Definition of Completeness and its Basic Properties

**Def.6.2:** For a class  $\mathcal{C}$ , if a set  $A$  satisfies the following conditions, then it is called  **$\mathcal{C}$ -complete** (under  $\leq_m^P$ )

(a)  $\forall L \in \mathcal{C} [L \leq_m^P A]$

(b)  $A \in \mathcal{C}$

Note : Sets satisfying the condition (a) are called  **$\mathcal{C}$ -hard**.

## 6.2. 多項式時間還元可能性に基づく完全性

### 6.2.1. 完全性の定義とその基本的性質

**定義6.2:** 計算量クラス $C$ に対し, 集合 $A$ が次の条件を満たすとき, それを( $\leq_m^P$ の下で) $C$ -完全という.

(a)  $\forall L \in C [L \leq_m^P A]$

(b)  $A \in C$

補注: 条件(a)を満たす集合は $C$ -困難.

## 6.2. Completeness based on Polynomial-time Reducibility

### 6.2.1. Definition of Completeness and its Basic Properties

Ex.6.5. Examples of  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete sets

3SAT, SAT, ExSAT, DHAM, KNAP, BIN, VC, etc

$\mathcal{EXP}$ -complete sets

EVAL-IN-E, HALT-IN-E, etc.

EVAL - IN - E :

Input :  $\langle a, x, \bar{t} \rangle$

$a$  : the code of a program with 1 input,  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $\bar{t} \geq 0$

Output :  $eval-in-time(a, x, \bar{2}^{\bar{t}}) = accept?$

## 6.2. 多項式時間還元可能性に基づく完全性

### 6.2.1. 完全性の定義とその基本的性質

#### 例6.5. クラス $\mathcal{NP}$ の完全集合の例

3SAT, SAT, ExSAT, DHAM, KNAP, BIN, VCなど  
クラス $\mathcal{EXP}$ の完全集合

EVAL-IN-E, HALT-IN-Eなど

EVAL-IN-E:

入力:  $\langle a, x, \bar{t} \rangle$

$a$ : 1入力プログラムのコード,  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $\bar{t} \geq 0$

出力:  $eval-in-time(a, x, \bar{2}^{\bar{t}}) = accept?$

**Theorem 6.3.** For any  $\mathcal{C}$ -hard (or  $\mathcal{C}$ -complete) set  $A$ ,

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| (1) $A \in \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$                       | CP: $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{P}$                       |
| (2) $A \in \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{NP}$                     | CP: $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{NP}$                     |
| (3) $A \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ | CP: $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ |
| (4) $A \in \mathcal{EXP} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{EXP}$                   | CP: $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \mathcal{EXP} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{EXP}$                   |

Proof:

CP: contraposition

(1) Let  $B$  be any  $\mathcal{C}$ -set. Then, since  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hard,

$B \leq_m^P A$  and by the assumption  $A \in \mathcal{P}$  we have  $B \in \mathcal{P}$  (Th. 6.1)

(2), (3), (4) are similar.

**定理6.3.** 任意の $C$ -困難集合(含: $C$ -完全集合) $A$ に対し,

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| (1) $A \in \mathcal{P} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathcal{P}$                       | 対偶は $C \not\subseteq \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{P}$                       |
| (2) $A \in \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathcal{NP}$                     | 対偶は $C \not\subseteq \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{NP}$                     |
| (3) $A \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow C \subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ | 対偶は $C \not\subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ |
| (4) $A \in \mathcal{EXP} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathcal{EXP}$                   | 対偶は $C \not\subseteq \mathcal{EXP} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{EXP}$                   |

証明:

(1)  $B$ を任意の $C$ 集合とすると,  $A$ は $C$ -困難だから,

$$B \leq_m^P A \quad \text{一方, } A \in \mathcal{P} \text{の仮定より, } B \in \mathcal{P} \text{ (定理6.1)}$$

(2), (3), (4)も同様

**Theorem 6.3.** For any  $\mathcal{C}$ -hard (or  $\mathcal{C}$ -complete) set  $A$ ,

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| (1) $A \in \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$                       | CP: $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{P}$                       |
| (2) $A \in \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{NP}$                     | CP: $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{NP}$                     |
| (3) $A \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ | CP: $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ |
| (4) $A \in \mathcal{EXP} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{EXP}$                   | CP: $\mathcal{C} \not\subseteq \mathcal{EXP} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{EXP}$                   |

**Theorem 5.9.**

- (1)  $\mathcal{NP} \subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$   
 $\rightarrow \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$

**Ex.6.6: Meaning of Theorem 6.3 (class  $\mathcal{NP}$ )**

Let  $A$  be  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete set.

By the contraposition of Theorem 6.3(1) we have

$$\mathcal{NP} \neq \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{P}$$

By the contraposition of Theorem 6.3(3) and that of Theorem 5.9(1),

$$A \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$$

That is,  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete sets are  $\mathcal{NP}$ -sets that cannot be recognized in polynomial time unless  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$ .

**定理6.3.** 任意のC-困難集合(含:C-完全集合)Aに対し,

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| (1) $A \in \mathcal{P} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathcal{P}$                       | 対偶は $C \not\subseteq \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{P}$                       |
| (2) $A \in \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathcal{NP}$                     | 対偶は $C \not\subseteq \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{NP}$                     |
| (3) $A \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow C \subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ | 対偶は $C \not\subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ |
| (4) $A \in \mathcal{EXP} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathcal{EXP}$                   | 対偶は $C \not\subseteq \mathcal{EXP} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{EXP}$                   |

**例6.6.** 定理6.3の意味(クラス $\mathcal{NP}$ )

Aを $\mathcal{NP}$ -完全集合とする.

定理6.3(1)の対偶より,

$$\mathcal{NP} \neq \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{P}$$

定理6.3(3)の対偶と定理5.9(1)の対偶より,

$$A \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$$


つまり,  $\mathcal{NP}$ -完全集合は $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ である限り,  
多項式時間では認識できない.

**定理5.9.**


$$(1) \mathcal{NP} \subseteq \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow \mathcal{NP} = \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$$



$\mathcal{NP}$ -complete sets are  $\mathcal{NP}$ -sets that do not belong to  $\mathcal{NP} \cap \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$  unless  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$ .



$\mathcal{NP}$ -完全集合は  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$  である限り,  $\mathcal{NP} \cap \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$  には入らない  $\mathcal{NP}$  集合である.



**Ex. 6.7.** Meaning of Theorem 6.3 (class  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}$ )

Let  $D$  be any  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}$ -complete set.

Contraposition of Theorem 6.3(1)

$(C \notin \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{P}, \text{ where } \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{P} \rightarrow D \notin \mathcal{P})$

$\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{P} (\because \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow D \notin \mathcal{P}$

Contraposition of Theorem 6.3(2)  $(C \notin \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P},$

Here,  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow D \notin \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P})$

$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} (\because \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow D \notin \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}$

Contraposition of Theorem 6.3(3)  $(C \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P},$

here,  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow D \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P})$

$\text{co-}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow D \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}$

But, by Theorem 5.7, since we know  $\mathcal{P} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}$ , we have  $D \notin \mathcal{P}$ .

$\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}$ -complete sets are not computable in polynomial time.

### 例6.7. 定理6.3の意味(クラス $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}$ )

$D$ を $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}$ -完全集合とする.

定理6.3(1)の対偶 ( $C \notin \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{P}$ , ここでは $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{P} \rightarrow D \notin \mathcal{P}$ )

$$\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{P} (\because \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow D \notin \mathcal{P}$$

定理6.3(2)の対偶 ( $C \notin \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{NP}$ ,

$$\text{ここでは } \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{NP} \rightarrow D \notin \mathcal{NP} )$$

$$\mathcal{NP} \neq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{NP} (\because \mathcal{NP} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow D \notin \mathcal{NP}$$

定理6.3(3)の対偶 ( $C \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow A \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ ,

$$\text{ここでは } \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow D \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} )$$

$$\text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \neq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \rightarrow D \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$$

ところが定理5.7から  $\mathcal{P} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}$  であるから,  $D \notin \mathcal{P}$ .

$\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}$ -完全集合は多項式時間では計算不可能.

**Theorem 6.4.**  $A$ : any  $\mathcal{C}$ -complete set

For any set  $B$  we have

(1)  $A \leq_m^P B \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hard.

(2)  $A \leq_m^P B \wedge B \in \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -complete.

Proof:

By Def. 6.2  $\forall L \in \mathcal{C}[L \leq_m^P A]$

By Theorem 6.2,  $L \leq_m^P A \wedge A \leq_m^P B \rightarrow L \leq_m^P B$

Therefore,  $\forall L \in \mathcal{C}[L \leq_m^P B]$

That is,  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hard.

**定理6.4.**  $A$ : 任意の  $C$ -完全集合

すべての集合  $B$  に対し,

(1)  $A \leq_m^P B \rightarrow B$  は  $C$ -困難.

(2)  $A \leq_m^P B \wedge B \in C \rightarrow B$  は  $C$ -完全.

証明:

定義6.2より,  $\forall L \in C [L \leq_m^P A]$

定理6.2より,  $L \leq_m^P A \wedge A \leq_m^P B \rightarrow L \leq_m^P B$

したがって,  $\forall L \in C [L \leq_m^P B]$

すなわち,  $B$  は  $C$ -困難.

$\mathcal{EXPC} \equiv \{L: L \text{ is } \mathcal{EXP}\text{-complete}\}$

$\mathcal{NPC} \equiv \{L: L \text{ is } \mathcal{NP}\text{-complete}\}$


Then, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 6.5.**

- (1)  $\mathcal{EXPC} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$
- (2)  $\mathcal{EXP} - (\mathcal{EXPC} \cup \mathcal{P}) \neq \emptyset$

**Theorem 6.6: Assuming  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$**

- (1)  $\mathcal{NPC} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$
- (2)  $\mathcal{NP} - (\mathcal{NPC} \cup \mathcal{P}) \neq \emptyset$



$\mathcal{EXPC} \equiv \{L: L \text{は} \mathcal{EXP}\text{-完全}\}$

$\mathcal{NPC} \equiv \{L: L \text{は} \mathcal{NP}\text{-完全}\}$

とすると, 次の定理が成り立つ.

**定理6.5.**


(1)  $\mathcal{EXPC} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$

(2)  $\mathcal{EXP} - (\mathcal{EXPC} \cup \mathcal{P}) \neq \emptyset$

**定理6.6:**  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ を仮定すると


(1)  $\mathcal{NPC} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$

(2)  $\mathcal{NP} - (\mathcal{NPC} \cup \mathcal{P}) \neq \emptyset$





# Schedule(残りの予定)

- 10/24 (Mon):
  - Submission of the report (1) (レポート(1)提出)
- 10/27(Thu): Last class (前半最後の講義)
  - Submission of the report (2) (レポート(2)提出)
  - Course Evaluation Questionnaire (授業アンケート)
  - Office Hour: Comments/Answers on reports
- 10/31(Mon): mid-term exam (中間試験)
  - 40 points  Notes, Textbook, Copy, Printout,...
  - Only pens and pencils (持ち込み不可)
  - Lesson 3~Lesson 6 (講義3~講義6)