

An application of Weihrauch lattice to constructive reverse mathematics

Kazuto Yoshimura

Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology
School of Information Science

June 6, 2014

Background

Constructive Reverse Mathematics

- Constructive Math.
 - = Intuitionistic Logic + Weak Fragment of Arithmetic
- Constructive Reverse Math.
 - = Classifications of theorems or principles on constructive math.
- Example:
 - ▶ Borzano-Weierstrass's Thm. implies Heine-Borel's Thm. (not vice versa)
 - ▶ Σ_1^0 Law of Excluded Middle implies Σ_1^0 De Morgan's Law

Constructive Reverse Mathematics

- Constructive Math.
 - = Intuitionistic Logic + Weak Fragment of Arithmetic
- Constructive Reverse Math.
 - = Classifications of theorems or principles on constructive math.
- Example:
 - ▶ Borzano-Weierstrass's Thm. implies Heine-Borel's Thm. (not vice versa)
 - ▶ Σ_1^0 Law of Excluded Middle implies Σ_1^0 De Morgan's Law

Separation

- Negative results on implications
- Example:
 - ▶ Borzano-Weierstrass's Thm. and Heine-Borel's Thm. are separated

Background: 2/2

Higher Order Arithmetic

- Enough expressibility of higher order objects
e.g. reals, real functions, closed sets of reals...
- Many theorems or principles can be expressed as a single formula

Background: 2/2

Higher Order Arithmetic

- Enough expressibility of higher order objects
e.g. reals, real functions, closed sets of reals...
- Many theorems or principles can be expressed as a single formula

Hard and Easy Separations

Hard to refute derivability of $\Gamma \mid \forall y:\tau.\psi_0 \vdash \forall x:\sigma.\varphi_0$

Easy to refute witnessed derivability

i.e. to show that there is no term $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$

s.t. $\Gamma, x:\sigma \mid \psi_0[t/y] \vdash \varphi_0$ is derivable

My Recent Works

Syntactic Work

- A reduction technic of hard separations into easy separations
- Witness Extraction:
 - ▶ Existence Property of Intuitionistic Logic
i.e. if $\Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash \exists x:\sigma.\varphi_0$ is derivable,
there is a term $\Gamma \vdash t : \sigma$ s.t. $\Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash \varphi_0[t/x]$ is derivable
 - ▶ Dual Work (impossible in general)
i.e. if $\Gamma \mid \forall y:\tau.\psi_0 \vdash \varphi$ is derivable,
there is a term $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ s.t. $\Gamma \mid \psi_0[t/y] \vdash \varphi$ is derivable

My Recent Works

Syntactic Work

- A reduction technic of hard separations into easy separations
- Witness Extraction:
 - ▶ Existence Property of Intuitionistic Logic
i.e. if $\Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash \exists x:\sigma.\varphi_0$ is derivable,
there is a term $\Gamma \vdash t : \sigma$ s.t. $\Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash \varphi_0[t/x]$ is derivable
 - ▶ Dual Work (impossible in general)
i.e. if $\Gamma \mid \forall y:\tau.\psi_0 \vdash \varphi$ is derivable,
there is a term $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ s.t. $\Gamma \mid \psi_0[t/y] \vdash \varphi$ is derivable

Semantic Work

- Easy separations by Weihrauch lattice
- Weihrauch lattice: a degree structure, discovered in computable analysis

Syntactic Work : three witness extractions

Typed Lambda Calculus ($\lambda_{(\times, \rightarrow)}$ -calculus)

Signature language + axioms for typing judgment ($\Gamma \vdash t : \sigma$)
(i.e. type assignment for function symbols)

Specification signature + axioms for conversion judgment ($\Gamma \vdash t = u : \sigma$)

meta variables

- x, y, \dots for variables
- α, β, \dots for base types
- f, g, \dots for function symbols
- σ, τ, \dots for types

$$\sigma ::= 1 \mid \alpha \mid \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \mid \sigma \times \sigma$$
- t, u, \dots for terms

$$t ::= \langle \rangle \mid f(t, \dots, t) \mid \lambda x : \sigma. t \mid t(t) \mid \langle t, t \rangle \mid \pi t \mid \pi' t$$
- Γ, Δ, \dots for type contexts, Λ for the empty context

$$\Gamma \equiv x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_k : \sigma_k \quad (x_1, \dots, x_k : \text{distinct})$$

Extention of Language

Logical Constants : \perp

Predicate Symbols : $=, P \in \Pi_p$ (Π_p : given)

Logical Connectives: $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow$

Quantifiers : \forall, \exists

Signature for SIL

A specification for typed lambda calculus equipped with a mapping

$$S' : P \mapsto (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \quad (\forall P \in \Pi_p)$$

$P(-, \dots, -)$: finite symbol sequence with holes as $|S' f|$

Formula

(meta variables: $\varphi, \psi, \chi, \dots$)

$$\varphi ::= \perp \mid P(t, \dots, t) \mid t =_{\sigma} t \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \varphi \rightarrow \varphi \mid \exists x : \sigma. \varphi \mid \forall x : \sigma. \varphi$$

Expressions

Typing Judgment: $\Gamma \vdash \varphi : \text{Prop}$ Sequent : $\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi$ (Θ, Ξ, \dots for finite sequences of formulae)

Specification for SIL

A signature equipped with a set \mathcal{A} of sequents (axiom set) closed under:

$$\frac{\Gamma_0, x_0:\sigma_0, x_1:\sigma_1, \Gamma_1 \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma_0, x_1:\sigma_1, x_0:\sigma_0, \Gamma_1 \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi} \text{(E)}_t \quad \frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma, x:\sigma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi} \text{(W)}_t$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x_0:\sigma, x_1:\sigma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma, x_0:\sigma \mid \Theta[x_0/x_1] \vdash \varphi[x_0/x_1]} \text{(C)}_t \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t:\sigma \quad \Gamma, x:\sigma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta[t/x] \vdash \varphi[t/x]} \text{(S)}$$

We denote by SIL the specification whose axiom set is empty

SIL: 4/6

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta_0, \psi_0, \psi_1, \Theta_1 \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta_0, \psi_1, \psi_0, \Theta_1 \vdash \varphi} \text{ (E)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi, \psi \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi \vdash \varphi} \text{ (C)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi \vdash \varphi} \text{ (W)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \psi \quad \Gamma \mid \Xi, \psi \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \Xi \vdash \varphi} \text{ (Cut)}$$

“ ψ ” in (Cut) is called *cut-formula*

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi : \text{Prop}}{\Gamma \mid \varphi \vdash \varphi} \text{ (Id)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi : \text{Prop}}{\Gamma \mid \perp \vdash \varphi} \text{ (\perp)} \quad \frac{(\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}}{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi} \text{ (\mathcal{A})}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t = u : \sigma}{\Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash t =_{\sigma} u} \text{ (Eq)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0, t_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash \varphi : \text{Prop}}{\Gamma \mid t_0 =_{\sigma} t_1, \varphi[t_i/x] \vdash \varphi[t_{1-i}/x]} \text{ (R)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi_0, \psi_1 \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi_0 \wedge \psi_1 \vdash \varphi} (\wedge L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi_0 \quad \Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi_1}{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_1} (\wedge R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi_0 \vdash \varphi \quad \Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi_1 \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi_0 \vee \psi_1 \vdash \varphi} (\vee L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi_i \quad \Gamma \vdash \varphi_{1-i} : \mathbf{Prop}}{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi_0 \vee \varphi_1} (\vee R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \psi_0 \quad \Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi_1 \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \psi_0 \rightarrow \psi_1 \vdash \varphi} (\rightarrow L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \varphi_0 \vdash \varphi_1}{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi_0 \rightarrow \varphi_1} (\rightarrow R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Theta, \exists x:\sigma.\psi, \varphi:\text{Prop} \quad \Gamma, y:\sigma \mid \Theta, \psi[y/x] \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \exists x:\sigma.\psi \vdash \varphi} \text{ (}\exists\text{L)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t:\sigma \quad \Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi[t/x]}{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \exists x:\sigma.\varphi} \text{ (}\exists\text{R)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t:\sigma \quad \Gamma \mid \Theta, \varphi[t/x] \vdash \psi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, \forall x:\sigma.\varphi \vdash \psi} \text{ (}\forall\text{L)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Theta, \forall x:\sigma.\varphi:\text{Prop} \quad \Gamma, y:\sigma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi[y/x]}{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \forall x:\sigma.\varphi} \text{ (}\forall\text{R)}$$

The First Witness Extraction: 1/4

Admissible rules

The following rules are admissible over any specification \mathcal{A} :

$$\frac{\Gamma, x_0:\sigma, x_1:\sigma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma, x_0:\sigma \mid \Theta[x_0/x_1] \vdash \varphi[x_0/x_1]} \text{ (C)}_t \quad \frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma, x:\sigma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi} \text{ (W)}_t$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_0, x_0:\sigma_0, x_1:\sigma_1, \Gamma_1 \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma_0, x_1:\sigma_1, x_0:\sigma_0, \Gamma_1 \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi} \text{ (E)}_t \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t:\sigma \quad \Gamma, x:\sigma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \mid \Theta[t/x] \vdash \varphi[t/x]} \text{ (S)}$$

Cut-Elimination Thm.

Given a pure variable derivation over SIL, one finds an essential-cut free derivation of the same conclusion

an *essential-cut* \equiv a cut whose cut-formula is not atomic

The First Witness Extraction: 2/4

Notation

$$\text{Sub}^+(a) = \{a\} \quad (a : \text{atomic})$$

$$\text{Sub}^+(\varphi_0 \vee \varphi_1) = \text{Sub}^+(\varphi_0) \cup \text{Sub}^+(\varphi_1) \cup \{\varphi_0 \vee \varphi_1\}$$

$$\text{Sub}^+(\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_1) = \text{Sub}^+(\varphi_0) \cup \text{Sub}^+(\varphi_1) \cup \{\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_1\}$$

$$\text{Sub}^+(\varphi_0 \rightarrow \varphi_1) = \text{Sub}^-(\varphi_0) \cup \text{Sub}^+(\varphi_1) \cup \{\varphi_0 \rightarrow \varphi_1\}$$

$$\text{Sub}^+(\exists x : \sigma. \varphi_0) = \text{Sub}^+(\varphi_0) \cup \{\exists x : \sigma. \varphi_0\}$$

$$\text{Sub}^+(\forall x : \sigma. \varphi_0) = \text{Sub}^+(\varphi_0) \cup \{\forall x : \sigma. \varphi_0\}$$

$$\text{Sub}^-(a) = \emptyset \quad (a : \text{atomic})$$

$$\text{Sub}^-(\varphi_0 \vee \varphi_1) = \text{Sub}^-(\varphi_0) \cup \text{Sub}^-(\varphi_1)$$

$$\text{Sub}^-(\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_1) = \text{Sub}^-(\varphi_0) \cup \text{Sub}^-(\varphi_1)$$

$$\text{Sub}^-(\varphi_0 \rightarrow \varphi_1) = \text{Sub}^+(\varphi_0) \cup \text{Sub}^-(\varphi_1)$$

$$\text{Sub}^-(\exists x : \sigma. \varphi_0) = \text{Sub}^-(\varphi_0)$$

$$\text{Sub}^-(\forall x : \sigma. \varphi_0) = \text{Sub}^-(\varphi_0)$$

The First Witness Extraction: 3/4

Positive Universal Quantification Free

- A formula φ is *p.u.f.*
iff no formula of the form $\forall x:\sigma.\psi_0$ belongs to $\text{Sub}^+(\varphi)$
- A specification \mathcal{A} is *p.u.f.*
iff $(\bigwedge \Theta) \rightarrow \varphi$ is p.u.f. for each $(\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}$

According usage:

- p.e.f. (positive existential quantification free relative to ρ),
- n.u.f. (negative universal quantification free relative to ρ),
- n.e.f. (negative existential quantification free relative to ρ),
- q.f. (quantification free relative to ρ),...

The First Witness Extraction: 4/4

Fact < Cut-Elimination Thm.

Assume that:

- \mathcal{A} is p.e.f. and n.u.f.
- ψ_0 is p.e.f. and n.u.f.
- φ is p.u.f. and n.e.f.

If $\Gamma \mid \forall y:\tau.\psi_0 \vdash \varphi$ is derivable over \mathcal{A} , there is a finite sequence $t_{1\Gamma}^\tau, \dots, t_{k\Gamma}^\tau$ of terms s.t.

$$\Gamma \mid \psi_0[t_{1\Gamma}^\tau/y], \dots, \psi_0[t_{k\Gamma}^\tau/y] \vdash \varphi$$

is derivable over \mathcal{A}

Abbreviation

$t_\Gamma^\tau := (\Gamma, \tau, t)$ if $\Gamma \vdash t:\tau$ is derivable

The Second Witness Extraction: 1/3

ρ : fixed type

Parametrization

Let $\psi \equiv \forall y:\tau.\psi_0$. Define:

$${}^\rho\psi \quad \equiv \quad \forall y:(\rho \rightarrow \tau).\forall z:\rho.\psi_0[yz/y]$$

(z :the first fresh variable symbol)

$\Gamma \mid \psi_\Gamma \vdash {}^\rho\psi_\Gamma$ is derivable over SIL, and the converse one also derivable whenever ρ is “inhabitant” relative to Γ

Idempotency

ρ is *idempotent* iff ρ is “isomorphic” to $\rho \times \rho$

i.e. there is a pair t and u of terms s.t. the following judgments are derivable

- $\Lambda \vdash tu = (\lambda x:\rho.x):\rho \rightarrow \rho$
- $\Lambda \vdash ut = (\lambda x:\rho \times \rho.x):(\rho \times \rho) \rightarrow (\rho \times \rho)$

The Second Witness Extraction: 2/3

Positive Universal Quantification Free Relative to ρ

- A formula φ is $\rho p.u.f.$
iff no formula of the form $\forall x:\sigma.\psi_0$ ($\sigma \not\equiv \rho$) belongs to $\text{Sub}^+(\varphi)$
- An axiom set \mathcal{A} is $\rho p.u.f.$
iff $(\bigwedge \Theta) \rightarrow \varphi$ is $\rho p.u.f.$ for each $(\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}$
- A specification is $\rho p.u.f.$ iff its axiom set is $\rho p.u.f.$

According usage:

- $\rho p.e.f.$ (positive existential quantification free relative to ρ),
- $\rho n.u.f.$ (negative universal quantification free relative to ρ),
- $\rho n.e.f.$ (negative existential quantification free relative to ρ),
- $\rho q.f.$ (quantification free relative to ρ),...

The Second Witness Extraction: 3/3

Fact < Cut-Elimination Thm.

Assume that:

- \mathcal{A} is ρ p.e.f. and ρ n.u.f.
- ψ_0 is ρ p.e.f. and ρ n.u.f.
- φ is ρ p.u.f. and ρ n.e.f.
- ρ is idempotent

If $\Gamma \mid \forall y:\tau.\psi_0 \vdash \varphi$ is derivable over \mathcal{A} , there is a finite sequence $t_{1\Gamma}^{\rho \rightarrow \tau}, \dots, t_{k\Gamma}^{\rho \rightarrow \tau}$ of terms s.t.

$$\Gamma \mid (\forall z:\rho.\psi_0[yz/y])[t_{1\Gamma}^{\rho \rightarrow \tau}/y], \dots, (\forall z:\rho.\psi_0[yz/y])[t_{k\Gamma}^{\rho \rightarrow \tau}/y] \vdash \varphi$$

is derivable over \mathcal{A}

Remark

From the resulting “witnessed” sequent, $\Gamma \mid \rho(\forall y:\tau.\psi_0) \vdash \varphi$ is derivable

The Third Witness Extraction: 1/3

Signature of $\text{HA}^{\lambda+}$

Base Type Symbol: N for natural number system

2 for two elements boolean

Function Symbol : S for successor function

0_N for constants of type N

$0_2, 1_2$ for constants of type 2

E for embedding of 2 into N

R^σ for recursors

$\Delta_0(\Gamma)$ -formula

$$\delta ::= \perp \mid t_\Gamma^N =_N t_\Gamma^N \mid t_\Gamma^2 =_2 t_\Gamma^2 \mid \delta \vee \delta \mid \delta \wedge \delta \mid \delta \rightarrow \delta \mid \exists n \leq t_\Gamma^N . \delta \mid \forall n \leq t_\Gamma^N . \delta$$

The Third Witness Extraction: 2/3

Axioms of $HA^{\lambda+}$

- Axioms for $S, 0_N$
- Axioms for E (and $0_2, 1_2$) as an embedding of 2 into N
- Axioms for R^σ as a recursor
- Induction Scheme:

$$\Gamma \mid \varphi[0/n], \forall n:N.(\varphi \rightarrow \varphi[n+1/n]) \vdash \forall n:N.\varphi$$

(where $\varphi : {}^N\text{q.f.}$)

- Δ_0 -Comprehension Scheme:

$$\Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash \exists p:(N \rightarrow 2).\forall n:N.(\delta \leftrightarrow pn =_2 1_2)$$

(where $\delta : \Delta_0(\Gamma, n:N)$ -formula, p : the first fresh variable)

- Extensionality Scheme w.r.t. N :

$$\Gamma \mid \forall n:N.(t_0 =_\sigma t_1) \vdash (\lambda n:N.t_0) =_{N \rightarrow \sigma} (\lambda n:N.t_1)$$

The Third Witness Extraction: 3/3

Main Lemma < Cut-Elimination Thm.

Assume that:

- \mathcal{A} is an extension of $\text{HA}^{\lambda+} \setminus \{\Delta_0\text{-comprehensions}\}$
- \mathcal{A} is ρ p.e.f. and ρ n.u.f.
- ψ_0 is ρ p.e.f. and ρ n.u.f.
- φ is ρ p.u.f. and ρ n.e.f.

If $\Gamma \mid \forall y: \tau. \psi_0 \vdash \varphi$ is derivable over \mathcal{A} , there is a term $t_{\Gamma}^{N \rightarrow \tau}$ s.t.

$$\Gamma \mid (\forall z: \rho. \psi_0[yz/y])[t_{\Gamma}^{N \rightarrow \tau}/y] \vdash \varphi$$

is derivable over \mathcal{A}

Remark

From the resulting “witnessed” sequent, $\Gamma \mid^N (\forall y: \tau. \psi_0) \vdash \varphi$ is derivable

Semantic Work : an interpretation into Weihrauch lattice

Extended Weihrauch Lattice

Notation

(meta variable: $F, G, \dots \subseteq \omega^\omega \times \omega^\omega$)

- $F[\alpha] := \{\beta \in \omega^\omega : (\alpha, \beta) \in F\}$
- $\text{supp}(F) := \{\alpha \in \omega^\omega : F[\alpha] \neq \emptyset\}$

Weihrauch Reducibility

Let (u, F) and (v, G) be two pairs such that:

- $\text{supp}(F) \subseteq u \subseteq \omega^\omega$
- $\text{supp}(G) \subseteq v \subseteq \omega^\omega$

Define:

$$\begin{aligned} & (u, F) \leq_W (v, G) \\ \iff & \exists k, l : \text{computable. } \forall \alpha \in u. \\ & k\alpha \downarrow \in v \ \& \ (\alpha \in \text{supp}(F) \Rightarrow k\alpha \in \text{supp}(G) \ \& \ l\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \downarrow \in F[\alpha]) \end{aligned}$$

\mathfrak{W}): the induced degree structure w.r.t. \leq_W

Main Theorem: 1/5

Main Theorem

There is an interpretation $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ from $\text{HA}^{\lambda+}$ into extended Weihrauch lattice \mathfrak{W}
s.t. if $\Lambda \mid \forall y:\tau.\psi_0 \vdash \forall x:\sigma.\varphi_0$ is derivable over $\text{HA}^{\lambda+}$,
then $\llbracket \varphi_0 \rrbracket_{x:\sigma} \geq_W \llbracket \psi_0 \rrbracket_{x:\sigma}$ in \mathfrak{W}

whenever:

- ψ_0 is N p.e.f. and N n.u.f.
- φ_0 is N n.e.f. and N p.u.f.

Main Thm.: 2/5

Proof:1/4

We use the standard semantics of SIL by f.o.f. (first order fibrations) with Cartesian closed base category.

$$\text{Fib}_{\text{SIL}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Int}} \\ \top \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{T}} \end{array} \text{Spec}_{\text{SIL}}$$

where:

Spec_{SIL} : metacategory of specifications of SIL

Fib_{SIL} : metacategory of f.o.f. with Cartesian closed base category

Int : constructions of internal theories

\mathcal{T} : constructions of term models

In particular counit ϵ of the above (pseudo) adjunction is a natural equivalence

We denote by Rep the type-2 realizability model

Main Theorem: 3/5

Proof: 2/4

Define a translation $\dagger(-)$ from $\text{HA}^{\lambda+}$ to $\text{Int}(\text{Rep})$ by:

- base type** : $N \mapsto \bar{\omega}$ where $\omega = (\omega, \delta_\omega)$, $\delta_\omega : ip \mapsto i$,
 $2 \mapsto \bar{2}$ where $2 = (2, \delta_2)$, $\delta_2 : 0p \mapsto 0, 1p \mapsto 1$
- function symbol**: $0_N \mapsto \overline{(0 : 1 \rightarrow \omega)}$, $S \mapsto \overline{(- + 1)}$, $0_2 \mapsto \overline{(0 : 1 \rightarrow 2)}$,
 $1_2 \mapsto \overline{(1 : 1 \rightarrow 2)}$, $E \mapsto \overline{(i : 2 \rightarrow \omega)}$, \dots (Omit)

Define a subsystem \mathcal{A} of $\text{Int}(\text{Rep})$ by:

- Add translations (via $\dagger(-)$) of axioms for $S, 0_N, E, 0_2, 1_2, R^\sigma$,
 Induction Scheme and Extensionality Scheme
- Add $\dagger\Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash \dagger(\forall n : N. (\delta \leftrightarrow pn =_2 1_2)) [t_{\dagger\Gamma}^{\dagger(N \rightarrow 2)} / p]$
 iff it is an axiom of $\text{Int}(\text{Rep})$ and δ is $\Delta_0(\Gamma, n : N)$ -formula

Then:

- \mathcal{A} is $\bar{\omega}$ p.e.f. and $\bar{\omega}$ n.u.f.
- if $\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi$ is deriv. over $\text{HA}^{\lambda+}$, then $\dagger\Gamma \mid \dagger\Theta \vdash \dagger\varphi$ is deriv. over \mathcal{A}

Main Theorem: 4/5

Proof: 3/4

For two formulae $\varphi_{v_0:\sigma}$ and $\psi_{v_0:\tau}$ over $\text{Int}(\text{Rep})$, define:

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{v_0:\sigma} \leq^1 \psi_{v_0:\tau} \\ \iff & \exists t_{v_0:\sigma}^\tau \text{ s.t. } v_0:\sigma \mid \psi_{v_0:\tau}[t_{v_0:\sigma}^\tau/v_0] \vdash \varphi_{v_0:\sigma} \text{ is derivable over } \text{Int}(\text{Rep}) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{D}\text{Rep}$: the induced degree structure w.r.t. \leq^1

Then \mathfrak{Y} has an embedding π into $\mathcal{D}\text{Rep}$ which has a right adjoint κ

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \kappa & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathcal{D}\text{Rep} & \top & \mathfrak{Y} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \pi & \end{array}$$

Define $[[\varphi]]_\Gamma := \kappa^{\dagger N}(\varphi_\Gamma)$ for each formula φ_Γ over $\text{HA}^{\lambda+}$

Main Theorem: 5/5

Proof: 4/4

We obtain:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \Lambda \mid \forall y:\tau.\psi_0 \vdash \forall x:\sigma.\varphi_0 & \text{is derivable over HA}^{\lambda+} \\
 \iff \Lambda \mid \forall y:\tau.\psi_0 \vdash {}^N\forall x:\sigma.\varphi_0 & \text{is derivable over HA}^{\lambda+} \\
 \implies \Lambda \mid \dagger\forall y:\tau.\psi_0 \vdash \dagger{}^N\forall x:\sigma.\varphi_0 & \text{is derivable over } \mathcal{A} \\
 \iff x:\dagger(N \rightarrow \sigma) \mid (\dagger\forall w:N.\psi_0[yw/y])[t_{x:\dagger\sigma}^{\dagger(N \rightarrow \tau)}/y] \vdash \dagger\forall z:N.\varphi_0[xz/x] & \\
 \text{is derivable over } \mathcal{A} \text{ for some } t_{x:\dagger\sigma}^{\dagger(N \rightarrow \tau)} & \text{(Witness Extraction)} \\
 \iff \dagger{}^N(\psi_0)_{y:\tau} \stackrel{1}{\geq} \dagger{}^N(\varphi_0)_{x:\sigma} & \\
 \implies \kappa \dagger{}^N(\psi_0)_{y:\tau} \geq_W \kappa \dagger{}^N(\varphi_0)_{x:\sigma} & \\
 \iff \llbracket \psi_0 \rrbracket_{y:\tau} \geq_W \llbracket \varphi_0 \rrbracket_{x:\sigma} &
 \end{array}$$

Application: 1/3

LPO

$LPO := \Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash \exists n:N.\delta \vee \neg\exists n:N.\delta$ ($\delta : \Delta_0(\Gamma, n:N)$ -formula)

LLPO

$LLPO := \Gamma \mid \neg(\exists n:N.\delta_0 \wedge \exists n:N.\delta_1) \vdash \neg\exists n:N.\delta_0 \vee \neg\exists n:N.\delta_1$
($\delta_0, \delta_1 : \Delta_0(\Gamma, n:N)$ -formula)

Proposition

Over $HA^{\lambda+}$, LLPO does not imply LPO

Application: 2/3

Proof

Define:

$$\text{LPO}_0 \quad :\equiv \quad \exists n : N. pn =_2 1_2 \vee \neg \exists n : N. pn =_2 1_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LLPO}_0 \quad :\equiv \quad & \neg(\exists n : N. p(2n) =_2 1_2 \wedge \exists n : N. p(2n + 1) =_2 1_2) \\ & \rightarrow \neg \exists n : N. p(2n) =_2 1_2 \vee \neg \exists n : N. p(2n + 1) =_2 1_2 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain:

LLPO implies LPO over $\text{HA}^{\lambda+}$

$$\iff \Lambda \mid \forall p : (N \rightarrow 2). \text{LLPO}_0 \vdash \forall p : (N \rightarrow 2). \text{LPO}_0 \text{ is derivable over } \text{HA}^{\lambda+}$$

$$\implies \llbracket \text{LLPO}_0 \rrbracket_{p:N \rightarrow 2} \geq_W \llbracket \text{LPO}_0 \rrbracket_{p:N \rightarrow 2} \quad (\text{Main Theorem})$$

However $\llbracket \text{LLPO}_0 \rrbracket_{p:N \rightarrow 2} \not\leq_W \llbracket \text{LPO}_0 \rrbracket_{p:N \rightarrow 2}$ (V. Brattka & G. Gherardi, 2011).

Application: 3/3

Remark

The separation of LLPO and LPO is valid even if we add the following axioms to $\text{HA}^{\lambda+}$

- $\text{MP} := \Gamma \mid \neg\neg\exists n:N.\delta \vdash \exists n:N.\delta$ ($\delta : \Delta_0(\Gamma, n:N)$ -formula)
- $\text{CP} := \Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash \forall f:(N \rightarrow N) \rightarrow N. \forall \alpha:N \rightarrow N. \exists k:N. \forall \beta:N \rightarrow N. (\forall i:N. (i \leq k \rightarrow \alpha i = \beta i) \rightarrow f\alpha = f\beta)$
- $\text{CK} := \Gamma \mid \Lambda \vdash \forall f:(N \rightarrow 2) \rightarrow N. \exists k:N. \forall \alpha:N \rightarrow 2. f\alpha \leq k$

However the following axiom can NOT be added

- $\Delta_0\text{-CCA} := \Gamma \mid \forall i:N. \exists j:2. \delta \vdash \exists f:N \rightarrow 2. \forall i:N. \delta[f i / j]$
($\delta : \Delta_0(\Gamma, n:N)$ -formula)

Conclusion

Conclusion

Syntactic and Semantic Works

- Reductions of hard separations into easy separations
- Uses of Weihrauch lattice for easy separations
- A combination of the above two yields a separation technic

Main Argument

- Fix a semantic structure
- Take its internal theory
- Use proof theoretic technics
- Conclude a structure or a property of the term model
- Conclude a structure or a property of the original structure
via equivalence theorem

Thank you for listening