

Universal properties in higher-order Reverse Mathematics

Sam Sanders¹

CTFM, Tokyo Institute of Technology



¹This research is generously supported by the John Templeton Foundation.

Introduction: a BIG question

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

The set of finite types \mathbf{T} :

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

The set of finite types \mathbf{T} : $0 \in \mathbf{T}$

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

The set of finite types \mathbf{T} : $0 \in \mathbf{T}$ and if $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\sigma \rightarrow \rho \in \mathbf{T}$.

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

The set of finite types \mathbf{T} : $0 \in \mathbf{T}$ and if $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\sigma \rightarrow \rho \in \mathbf{T}$.

No coding is required, but ...

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

The set of finite types \mathbf{T} : $0 \in \mathbf{T}$ and if $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\sigma \rightarrow \rho \in \mathbf{T}$.

No coding is required, but ...

This talk: An intermediate POV via NSA

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

The set of finite types \mathbf{T} : $0 \in \mathbf{T}$ and if $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\sigma \rightarrow \rho \in \mathbf{T}$.

No coding is required, but ...

This talk: An intermediate POV via NSA

For many thms T of ordinary math:

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

The set of finite types \mathbf{T} : $0 \in \mathbf{T}$ and if $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\sigma \rightarrow \rho \in \mathbf{T}$.

No coding is required, but ...

This talk: An intermediate POV via NSA

For many thms T of ordinary math:

UT^{st} (= 'uniform' version of T with standard higher-type objects)

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

The set of finite types \mathbf{T} : $0 \in \mathbf{T}$ and if $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\sigma \rightarrow \rho \in \mathbf{T}$.

No coding is required, but ...

This talk: An intermediate POV via NSA

For many thms T of ordinary math:

UT^{st} (= 'uniform' version of T with standard higher-type objects)

$\leftrightarrow T^*$ (=version of T with only nonstandard lower-type objects)

Introduction: a BIG question

What is the right language for formalizing Mathematics?

Simpson and Friedman: SOA suffices for ordinary math.

Two sorts: natural numbers and sets thereof (type 0 and 1).

Higher-type objects (like continuous functions) can be coded.

Feferman and Kohlenbach: All finite types.

The set of finite types \mathbf{T} : $0 \in \mathbf{T}$ and if $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\sigma \rightarrow \rho \in \mathbf{T}$.

No coding is required, but ...

This talk: An intermediate POV via NSA

For many thms T of ordinary math:

UT^{st} (= 'uniform' version of T with standard higher-type objects)

$\leftrightarrow T^*$ (=version of T with only nonstandard lower-type objects)

A base theory from **internal** NSA

A base theory from internal NSA

$$\text{RCA}_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}.$$

A base theory from internal NSA

$\text{RCA}_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

A base theory from internal NSA

$\text{RCA}_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$\text{RCA}_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

A base theory from internal NSA

$\text{RCA}_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$\text{RCA}_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

RCA_0^ω is conservative over RCA_0 in the L_2 -language.

A base theory from internal NSA

$\text{RCA}_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$\text{RCA}_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

RCA_0^ω is conservative over RCA_0 in the L_2 -language.

Consider a new unary predicate $\text{st}(x)$ defined on all finite types

A base theory from internal NSA

$\text{RCA}_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$\text{RCA}_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

RCA_0^ω is conservative over RCA_0 in the L_2 -language.

Consider a new unary predicate $\text{st}(x)$ defined on all finite types and let BASIC define its basic properties like $\text{st}(x) \wedge \text{st}(f) \rightarrow \text{st}(f(x))$.

A base theory from internal NSA

$RCA_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$RCA_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

RCA_0^ω is conservative over RCA_0 in the L_2 -language.

Consider a new unary predicate $st(x)$ defined on all finite types and let BASIC define its basic properties like $st(x) \wedge st(f) \rightarrow st(f(x))$.

$RCA_0^\Omega = RCA_0^\omega +$ BASIC plus ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Transfer}$ ' and ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Standard Part}$ '.

A base theory from internal NSA

$RCA_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$RCA_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

RCA_0^ω is conservative over RCA_0 in the L_2 -language.

Consider a new unary predicate $st(x)$ defined on all finite types and let BASIC define its basic properties like $st(x) \wedge st(f) \rightarrow st(f(x))$.

$RCA_0^\Omega = RCA_0^\omega +$ BASIC plus ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Transfer}$ ' and ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Standard Part}$ '.

TRANSFER: $A \leftrightarrow A^{st}$ for all formulas A without ' $st(x)$ '

A base theory from internal NSA

$RCA_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$RCA_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

RCA_0^ω is conservative over RCA_0 in the L_2 -language.

Consider a new unary predicate $st(x)$ defined on all finite types and let BASIC define its basic properties like $st(x) \wedge st(f) \rightarrow st(f(x))$.

$RCA_0^\Omega = RCA_0^\omega +$ BASIC plus ' Δ_1^0 -Transfer' and ' Δ_1^0 -Standard Part'.

TRANSFER: $A \leftrightarrow A^{st}$ for all formulas A without ' $st(x)$ '

A^{st} is obtained from A by replacing $\exists x/\forall y$ by $\exists^{st}x/\forall^{st}y$

A base theory from internal NSA

$RCA_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$RCA_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

RCA_0^ω is conservative over RCA_0 in the L_2 -language.

Consider a new unary predicate $st(x)$ defined on all finite types and let BASIC define its basic properties like $st(x) \wedge st(f) \rightarrow st(f(x))$.

$RCA_0^\Omega = RCA_0^\omega +$ BASIC plus ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Transfer}$ ' and ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Standard Part}$ '.

TRANSFER: $A \leftrightarrow A^{st}$ for all formulas A without ' $st(x)$ '

A^{st} is obtained from A by replacing $\exists x/\forall y$ by $\exists^{st}x/\forall^{st}y$

STANDARD PART: $(\forall X)(\exists^{st} Y)(\forall^{st} n)(n \in X \leftrightarrow n \in Y)$.

A base theory from internal NSA

$RCA_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$RCA_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

RCA_0^ω is conservative over RCA_0 in the L_2 -language.

Consider a new unary predicate $st(x)$ defined on all finite types and let BASIC define its basic properties like $st(x) \wedge st(f) \rightarrow st(f(x))$.

$RCA_0^\Omega = RCA_0^\omega +$ BASIC plus ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Transfer}$ ' and ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Standard Part}$ '.

TRANSFER: $A \leftrightarrow A^{st}$ for all formulas A without ' $st(x)$ '

A^{st} is obtained from A by replacing $\exists x/\forall y$ by $\exists^{st}x/\forall^{st}y$

STANDARD PART: $(\forall X)(\exists^{st} Y)(\forall^{st} n)(n \in X \leftrightarrow n \in Y)$.

Later: What is $\Delta_1^0\text{-Standard Part}$?

A base theory from internal NSA

$RCA_0 = I\Sigma_1 + \Delta_1^0\text{-CA}$. The latter states 'all computable sets exist'.

$RCA_0^\omega = I\Sigma_1 +$ 'All computable finite-type functionals exist'.

RCA_0^ω is conservative over RCA_0 in the L_2 -language.

Consider a new unary predicate $st(x)$ defined on all finite types and let BASIC define its basic properties like $st(x) \wedge st(f) \rightarrow st(f(x))$.

$RCA_0^\Omega = RCA_0^\omega +$ BASIC plus ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Transfer}$ ' and ' $\Delta_1^0\text{-Standard Part}$ '.

TRANSFER: $A \leftrightarrow A^{st}$ for all formulas A without ' $st(x)$ '

A^{st} is obtained from A by replacing $\exists x/\forall y$ by $\exists^{st}x/\forall^{st}y$

STANDARD PART: $(\forall X)(\exists^{st} Y)(\forall^{st} n)(n \in X \leftrightarrow n \in Y)$.

Later: What is $\Delta_1^0\text{-Standard Part}$?

NO stars: $*f$ vs f

An example of $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

Define $F \in \overline{C}$ as $F \in C \wedge F(1) >_{\mathbb{R}} 0 \wedge F(0) <_{\mathbb{R}} 0$.

An example of $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

Define $F \in \overline{C}$ as $F \in C \wedge F(1) >_{\mathbb{R}} 0 \wedge F(0) <_{\mathbb{R}} 0$.

$IVT^{st} \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$

An example of $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

Define $F \in \overline{C}$ as $F \in C \wedge F(1) >_{\mathbb{R}} 0 \wedge F(0) <_{\mathbb{R}} 0$.

$$IVT^{st} \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

Over RCA_0^{Ω} , we have

$$UIVT^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(F(\phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

An example of $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

Define $F \in \overline{C}$ as $F \in C \wedge F(1) >_{\mathbb{R}} 0 \wedge F(0) <_{\mathbb{R}} 0$.

$$IVT^{st} \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

Over RCA_0^{Ω} , we have

$$UIVT^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(F(\phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

\updownarrow

$$IVT^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

An example of $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

Define $F \in \overline{C}$ as $F \in C \wedge F(1) >_{\mathbb{R}} 0 \wedge F(0) <_{\mathbb{R}} 0$.

$$IVT^{st} \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

Over RCA_0^{Ω} , we have

$$UIVT^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(F(\phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

\updownarrow

$$IVT^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

For $z = (w_n)$, define symbolically $z =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0$ as $(\forall n)(|w_n| \leq \frac{1}{2^n})$

An example of $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

Define $F \in \overline{C}$ as $F \in C \wedge F(1) >_{\mathbb{R}} 0 \wedge F(0) <_{\mathbb{R}} 0$.

$$IVT^{st} \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

Over RCA_0^{Ω} , we have

$$UIVT^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(F(\phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

\updownarrow

$$IVT^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

For $z = (w_n)$, define symbolically $z =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0$ as $(\forall n)(|w_n| \leq \frac{1}{2^n})$

and $z =_{\mathbb{R}} 0$ as $(\forall^{st} n)(|w_n| \leq \frac{1}{2^n})$.

General theme: $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

General theme: $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

Let T^{st} be of the following form:

$$(\forall^{st} x^\tau)[A^{st}(x) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} y^\rho) B^{st}(x, y)] \quad (T^{st})$$

General theme: $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

Let T^{st} be of the following form:

$$(\forall^{st} x^\tau)[A^{st}(x) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} y^\rho) B^{st}(x, y)] \quad (T^{st})$$

In many cases, TFAE over RCA_0^Ω

$$(\exists^{st} \phi^{\tau \rightarrow \rho})(\forall^{st} x^\tau)[A^{st}(x) \rightarrow B^{st}(x, \phi(x))]. \quad (UT^{st})$$

and

General theme: $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$

Let T^{st} be of the following form:

$$(\forall^{st} x^\tau)[A^{st}(x) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} y^\rho) B^{st}(x, y)] \quad (T^{st})$$

In many cases, TFAE over RCA_0^Ω

$$(\exists^{st} \phi^{\tau \rightarrow \rho})(\forall^{st} x^\tau)[A^{st}(x) \rightarrow B^{st}(x, \phi(x))]. \quad (UT^{st})$$

and

$$(\forall^{st} x^\tau)[A^{st}(x) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} y^\rho) B(x, y)] \quad (T^*)$$

Functional ACA_0

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

① $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0) f(x_0) = 0)$.

Functional ACA_0

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- 1 $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0)f(x_0) = 0)$.
- 2 $\Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS} \equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0)F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0)F(x) = 0]$

Functional ACA_0

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- 1 $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0)f(x_0) = 0)$.
- 2 Π_1^0 -TRANS $\equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0)F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0)F(x) = 0]$
- 3 UWKLst $\equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{1 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Phi(T)}n \in T))$

Functional ACA₀

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- 1 $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0)f(x_0) = 0)$.
- 2 $\Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS} \equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0)F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0)F(x) = 0]$
- 3 $\text{UWKL}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{1 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Phi(T)}n \in T))$
- 4 $\text{WKL}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.

Functional ACA_0

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- 1 $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0)f(x_0) = 0)$.
- 2 $\Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS} \equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0)F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0)F(x) = 0]$
- 3 $\text{UWKL}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{1 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Phi(T)}n \in T))$
- 4 $\text{WKL}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.
- 5 $\text{UIVT}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(F(\Phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$.

Functional ACA₀

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- 1 $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0)f(x_0) = 0)$.
- 2 $\Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS} \equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0)F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0)F(x) = 0]$
- 3 $\text{UWKL}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{1 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Phi(T)}n \in T))$
- 4 $\text{WKL}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.
- 5 $\text{UIVT}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(F(\Phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- 6 $\text{IVT}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0)$.

Functional ACA₀

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- 1 $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0) f(x_0) = 0)$.
- 2 $\Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS} \equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0) F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0) F(x) = 0]$
- 3 $\text{UWKL}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{1 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Phi(T)} n \in T))$
- 4 $\text{WKL}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.
- 5 $\text{UIVT}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(F(\Phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- 6 $\text{IVT}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- 7 $\text{UWEI}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi)(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\forall^{st} y \in [0, 1])(F(y) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} F(\Phi(F)))$.

Functional ACA_0

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- 1 $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0)f(x_0) = 0)$.
- 2 $\Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS} \equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0)F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0)F(x) = 0]$
- 3 $\text{UWKL}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{1 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Phi(T)}n \in T))$
- 4 $\text{WKL}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.
- 5 $\text{UIVT}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(F(\Phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- 6 $\text{IVT}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- 7 $\text{UWEI}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi)(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(\forall^{st} y \in [0, 1])(F(y) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} F(\Phi(F)))$.
- 8 $\text{WEI}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(\exists^{st} x^1 \in [0, 1])(\forall y^1 \in [0, 1])(F(y) \leq_{*\mathbb{R}} F(x))$.

Functional ACA₀

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- 1 $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0)f(x_0) = 0)$.
- 2 $\Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS} \equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0)F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0)F(x) = 0]$
- 3 $\text{UWKL}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{1 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Phi(T)}n \in T))$
- 4 $\text{WKL}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.
- 5 $\text{UIVT}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(F(\Phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- 6 $\text{IVT}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- 7 $\text{UWEI}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi)(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(\forall^{st} y \in [0, 1])(F(y) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} F(\Phi(F)))$.
- 8 $\text{WEI}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(\exists^{st} x^1 \in [0, 1])(\forall y^1 \in [0, 1])(F(y) \leq_{*\mathbb{R}} F(x))$.

Structure: $(\exists^2)^{st} \leftrightarrow \text{UT}^{st} \leftrightarrow T^* \leftrightarrow \Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS}$

Functional ACA₀

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- ① $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0)f(x_0) = 0)$.
- ② $\Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS} \equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0)F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0)F(x) = 0]$
- ③ $\text{UWKL}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \phi^{1 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Phi(T)}n \in T))$
- ④ $\text{WKL}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.
- ⑤ $\text{UIVT}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(F(\Phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- ⑥ $\text{IVT}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- ⑦ $\text{UWEI}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi)(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\forall^{st} y \in [0, 1])(F(y) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} F(\Phi(F)))$.
- ⑧ $\text{WEI}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{C})(\exists^{st} x^1 \in [0, 1])(\forall y^1 \in [0, 1])(F(y) \leq_{*\mathbb{R}} F(x))$.

Structure: $(\exists^2)^{st} \leftrightarrow \text{UT}^{st} \leftrightarrow T^* \leftrightarrow \Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS}$

Also for: $\text{RT}(1)$, IPP , 1-RAN , WWKL , Peano's theorem for $y' = f(x, y)$, Gödel's compactness theorem, Σ_1^0 -separation, contraposition of Heine-Borel,

Functional ACA₀

In RCA_0^Ω , the following are equivalent.

- ① $(\exists^2)^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall^{st} f^1)(\varphi f =_0 0 \leftrightarrow (\exists^{st} x^0)f(x_0) = 0)$.
- ② $\Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS} \equiv (\forall^{st} F^1)[(\forall^{st} x^0)F(x) = 0 \rightarrow (\forall x^0)F(x) = 0]$
- ③ $\text{UWKL}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \phi^{1 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Phi(T)}n \in T))$
- ④ $\text{WKL}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.
- ⑤ $\text{UIVT}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \phi^{(1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(F(\Phi(F)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- ⑥ $\text{IVT}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(\exists^{st} x \in [0, 1])(F(x) =_{*\mathbb{R}} 0)$.
- ⑦ $\text{UWEI}^{st} \equiv (\exists^{st} \Phi)(\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(\forall^{st} y \in [0, 1])(F(y) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} F(\Phi(F)))$.
- ⑧ $\text{WEI}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}})(\exists^{st} x^1 \in [0, 1])(\forall y^1 \in [0, 1])(F(y) \leq_{*\mathbb{R}} F(x))$.

Structure: $(\exists^2)^{st} \leftrightarrow \text{UT}^{st} \leftrightarrow T^* \leftrightarrow \Pi_1^0\text{-TRANS}$

Also for: $\text{RT}(1)$, IPP , 1-RAN , WWKL , Peano's theorem for $y' = f(x, y)$, Gödel's compactness theorem, Σ_1^0 -separation, contraposition of Heine-Borel, (and if $T \rightarrow \text{WKL}$ in BISH)

Functional principles at the level of WKL_0

Functional principles at the level of WKL_0

FAN is the classical contraposition of WKL:

$$(\forall T^1)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists n^0)(\alpha n \notin T) \rightarrow (\exists k^0)(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq k)((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))]$$

If a tree has no path, it is finite.

Functional principles at the level of WKL_0

FAN is the classical contraposition of WKL:

$$(\forall T^1)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists n^0)(\alpha n \notin T) \rightarrow (\exists k^0)(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq k)((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))]$$

If a tree has no path, it is finite.

UFAN is the 'fully' uniform version of FAN:

$$\begin{aligned} (\exists \Phi^{(1 \times 2) \rightarrow 0})(\forall T^1, g^2)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\bar{\alpha}g(\alpha) \notin T) \\ \rightarrow (\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq \Phi(T, g))((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))] \end{aligned}$$

Functional principles at the level of WKL_0

FAN is the classical contraposition of WKL:

$$(\forall T^1)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists n^0)(\alpha n \notin T) \rightarrow (\exists k^0)(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq k)((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))]$$

If a tree has no path, it is finite.

UFAN is the 'fully' uniform version of FAN:

$$\begin{aligned} (\exists \Phi^{(1 \times 2) \rightarrow 0})(\forall T^1, g^2)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\bar{\alpha}g(\alpha) \notin T) \\ \rightarrow (\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq \Phi(T, g))((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))] \end{aligned}$$

We have $WKL^{st} \leftrightarrow UFAN^{st} \leftrightarrow FAN^*$.

Functional principles at the level of WKL_0

FAN is the classical contraposition of WKL:

$$(\forall T^1)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists n^0)(\alpha n \notin T) \rightarrow (\exists k^0)(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq k)((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))]$$

If a tree has no path, it is finite.

UFAN is the 'fully' uniform version of FAN:

$$\begin{aligned} (\exists \Phi^{(1 \times 2) \rightarrow 0})(\forall T^1, g^2)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\bar{\alpha}g(\alpha) \notin T) \\ \rightarrow (\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq \Phi(T, g))((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))] \end{aligned}$$

We have $WKL^{st} \leftrightarrow UFAN^{st} \leftrightarrow FAN^*$. (i.e. $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$)

Functional principles at the level of WKL_0

FAN is the classical contraposition of WKL:

$$(\forall T^1)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists n^0)(\alpha n \notin T) \rightarrow (\exists k^0)(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq k)((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))]$$

If a tree has no path, it is finite.

UFAN is the 'fully' uniform version of FAN:

$$(\exists \Phi^{(1 \times 2) \rightarrow 0})(\forall T^1, g^2)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\bar{\alpha}g(\alpha) \notin T) \\ \rightarrow (\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq \Phi(T, g))((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))]$$

We have $WKL^{st} \leftrightarrow UFAN^{st} \leftrightarrow FAN^*$. (i.e. $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$)

Similar **sensitive** equivalences for Heine-Borel, Riemann integration and supremum of continuous functions, contraposition of WWKL,

...

Functional principles at the level of WKL_0

FAN is the classical contraposition of WKL:

$$(\forall T^1)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists n^0)(\alpha n \notin T) \rightarrow (\exists k^0)(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq k)((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))]$$

If a tree has no path, it is finite.

UFAN is the 'fully' uniform version of FAN:

$$(\exists \Phi^{(1 \times 2) \rightarrow 0})(\forall T^1, g^2)[(\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\bar{\alpha}g(\alpha) \notin T) \\ \rightarrow (\forall \alpha^1 \leq_1 1)(\exists m \leq \Phi(T, g))((\bar{\alpha}m \notin T))]$$

We have $WKL^{st} \leftrightarrow UFAN^{st} \leftrightarrow FAN^*$. (i.e. $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$)

Similar sensitive equivalences for Heine-Borel, Riemann integration and supremum of continuous functions, contraposition of WWKL, ... and if $FAN \rightarrow T$ in BISH

Dysfunctional principles at the level of WKL_0

Dysfunctional principles at the level of WKL_0

HORM enables the study of **intuitionistic** principles (i.e. classically false).

Dysfunctional principles at the level of WKL_0

HORM enables the study of **intuitionistic** principles (i.e. classically false).

$$(\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)].$$

(MUC)

Dysfunctional principles at the level of WKL_0

HORM enables the study of **intuitionistic** principles (i.e. classically false).

$$(\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)].$$

(MUC)

(MUC) says: All type 2 objects are uniformly continuous.

Dysfunctional principles at the level of WKL_0

HORM enables the study of **intuitionistic** principles (i.e. classically false).

$$(\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)].$$

(MUC)

(MUC) says: All type 2 objects are uniformly continuous.

(MUC) contradicts (\exists^2) , but: $RCA_0^\omega + (MUC) \equiv_{L_2} RCA_0 + WKL$.

Dysfunctional principles at the level of WKL_0

HORM enables the study of **intuitionistic** principles (i.e. classically false).

$$(\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)].$$

(MUC)

(MUC) says: All type 2 objects are uniformly continuous.

(MUC) contradicts (\exists^2) , but: $RCA_0^\omega + (MUC) \equiv_{L_2} RCA_0 + WKL$.

Now RCA_0^Ω proves that $(MUC)^{st}$ is equivalent to:

$$(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)],$$

Dysfunctional principles at the level of WKL_0

HORM enables the study of **intuitionistic** principles (i.e. classically false).

$$(\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)].$$

(MUC)

(MUC) says: All type 2 objects are uniformly continuous.

(MUC) contradicts (\exists^2) , but: $RCA_0^\omega + (MUC) \equiv_{L_2} RCA_0 + WKL$.

Now RCA_0^Ω proves that $(MUC)^{st}$ is equivalent to:

$$(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)],$$

and also equivalent to

$$(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\exists^{st} k)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}k = \bar{g}k \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)] \quad (MUC)^*.$$

Dysfunctional principles at the level of WKL_0

HORM enables the study of **intuitionistic** principles (i.e. classically false).

$$(\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)].$$

(MUC)

(MUC) says: All type 2 objects are uniformly continuous.

(MUC) contradicts (\exists^2) , but: $RCA_0^\omega + (MUC) \equiv_{L_2} RCA_0 + WKL$.

Now RCA_0^Ω proves that $(MUC)^{st}$ is equivalent to:

$$(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)],$$

and also equivalent to

$$(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\exists^{st} k)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}k = \bar{g}k \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)] \quad (MUC)^*.$$

NOTE: $(MUC)^{st} \leftrightarrow (MUC)^*$ has the form $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$.

Equivalences

In RCA_0^Ω , we have

- ① $(\text{MUC})^{st} \equiv (\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$. (Existence of Fan Functional)

Equivalences

In RCA_0^Ω , we have

- 1 $(\text{MUC})^{st} \equiv (\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$. (Existence of Fan Functional)
- 2 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$

Equivalences

In RCA_0^Ω , we have

- 1 $(\text{MUC})^{st} \equiv (\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$. (Existence of Fan Functional)
- 2 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$
- 3 $(\exists^{st} \Gamma^{3 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} \varphi^2, f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(\Gamma(\varphi)))$ (UMAX)st

Equivalences

In RCA_0^Ω , we have

- 1 $(\text{MUC})^{st} \equiv (\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$. (Existence of Fan Functional)
- 2 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$
- 3 $(\exists^{st} \Gamma^{3 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} \varphi^2, f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(\Gamma(\varphi)))$ (UMAX)st
- 4 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\exists^{st} g^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(g))$ (MAX)*

Equivalences

In RCA_0^Ω , we have

- 1 $(\text{MUC})^{st} \equiv (\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$. (Existence of Fan Functional)
- 2 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$
- 3 $(\exists^{st} \Gamma^{3 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} \varphi^2, f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(\Gamma(\varphi)))$ (UMAX)st
- 4 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\exists^{st} g^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(g))$ (MAX)*
- 5 Uniform version of QF-FAN. (=quantifier-free fan theorem)
- 6 Nonstandard version of QF-FAN.

Equivalences

In RCA_0^Ω , we have

- 1 $(\text{MUC})^{st} \equiv (\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$. (Existence of Fan Functional)
- 2 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$
- 3 $(\exists^{st} \Gamma^{3 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} \varphi^2, f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(\Gamma(\varphi)))$ (UMAX)st
- 4 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\exists^{st} g^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(g))$ (MAX)*
- 5 Uniform version of QF-FAN. (=quantifier-free fan theorem)
- 6 Nonstandard version of QF-FAN.
- 7 Uniform version of FAN_c . (=continuous fan theorem)
- 8 Nonstandard version of FAN_c .

Equivalences

In RCA_0^Ω , we have

- 1 $(\text{MUC})^{st} \equiv (\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$. (Existence of Fan Functional)
- 2 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$
- 3 $(\exists^{st} \Gamma^{3 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} \varphi^2, f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(\Gamma(\varphi)))$ (UMAX)st
- 4 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\exists^{st} g^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(g))$ (MAX)*
- 5 Uniform version of QF-FAN. (=quantifier-free fan theorem)
- 6 Nonstandard version of QF-FAN.
- 7 Uniform version of FAN_c . (=continuous fan theorem)
- 8 Nonstandard version of FAN_c .

Similar equivalences for: WC-N and axiom F (proof mining!).

Equivalences

In RCA_0^Ω , we have

- 1 $(\text{MUC})^{st} \equiv (\exists \Omega^3)(\forall \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[\bar{f}(\Omega(\varphi)) =_0 \bar{g}(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$. (Existence of Fan Functional)
- 2 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\forall f^1, g^1 \leq_1 1)[f \approx_1 g \rightarrow \varphi(f) =_0 \varphi(g)]$
- 3 $(\exists^{st} \Gamma^{3 \rightarrow 1})(\forall^{st} \varphi^2, f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(\Gamma(\varphi)))$ (UMAX)st
- 4 $(\forall^{st} \varphi^2)(\exists^{st} g^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall f^1 \leq_1 1)(\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(g))$ (MAX)*
- 5 Uniform version of QF-FAN. (=quantifier-free fan theorem)
- 6 Nonstandard version of QF-FAN.
- 7 Uniform version of FAN_c . (=continuous fan theorem)
- 8 Nonstandard version of FAN_c .

Similar equivalences for: WC-N and axiom F (proof mining!).

UNIVERSAL: Both for classical and intuitionistic principles, we obtain $UT^{st} \leftrightarrow T^*$ equivalences.

Proving $T^* \rightarrow UT^{st}$ via the 'canonical approximation'

Proving $T^* \rightarrow UT^{st}$ via the 'canonical approximation'

$$\text{WKL}^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T)).$$

Proving $T^* \rightarrow UT^{st}$ via the 'canonical approximation'

$WKL^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T)).$

We define a functional $\Psi^{(1 \times 0) \rightarrow 1}$ (in EFA^ω).

$$\Psi(T, M)(1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proving $T^* \rightarrow UT^{st}$ via the 'canonical approximation'

$WKL^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.

We define a functional $\Psi^{(1 \times 0) \rightarrow 1}$ (in EFA^ω).

$$\Psi(T, M)(1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Psi(T, M)(n+1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (\Psi(T, M)(n) * 0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proving $T^* \rightarrow UT^{st}$ via the 'canonical approximation'

$WKL^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.

We define a functional $\Psi^{(1 \times 0) \rightarrow 1}$ (in EFA^ω).

$$\Psi(T, M)(1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Psi(T, M)(n+1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (\Psi(T, M)(n) * 0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let Ω be the set of infinite numbers. We have

$$(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall^{st} n^0)(\forall N, M \in \Omega)(\Psi(T, M)(n) = \Psi(T, N)(n)).$$

Proving $T^* \rightarrow UT^{st}$ via the 'canonical approximation'

$WKL^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.

We define a functional $\Psi^{(1 \times 0) \rightarrow 1}$ (in EFA^ω).

$$\Psi(T, M)(1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Psi(T, M)(n+1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (\Psi(T, M)(n) * 0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let Ω be the set of infinite numbers. We have

$$(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall^{st} n^0)(\forall N, M \in \Omega)(\Psi(T, M)(n) = \Psi(T, N)(n)).$$

i.e. $\Psi(T, M)$ is **Ω -invariant**, the NSA-version of 'being $(\Delta_1^0)^{st}$ '.

Proving $T^* \rightarrow UT^{st}$ via the 'canonical approximation'

$WKL^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.

We define a functional $\Psi^{(1 \times 0) \rightarrow 1}$ (in EFA^ω).

$$\Psi(T, M)(1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Psi(T, M)(n+1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (\Psi(T, M)(n) * 0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let Ω be the set of infinite numbers. We have

$$(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall^{st} n^0)(\forall N, M \in \Omega)(\Psi(T, M)(n) = \Psi(T, N)(n)).$$

i.e. $\Psi(T, M)$ is **Ω -invariant**, the NSA-version of 'being $(\Delta_1^0)^{st}$ '.

By Δ_1^0 -Standard Part Principle (in RCA_0^Ω), there is **STANDARD** Φ s.t.

$$(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall^{st} n^0)(\forall N \in \Omega)(\Phi(n) = \Psi(T, N)(n)).$$

Proving $T^* \rightarrow UT^{st}$ via the 'canonical approximation'

$WKL^* \equiv (\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\mathbb{T}_\infty^{st}(T) \rightarrow (\exists^{st} \alpha^1)(\forall x^0)(\bar{\alpha}x \in T))$.

We define a functional $\Psi^{(1 \times 0) \rightarrow 1}$ (in EFA^ω).

$$\Psi(T, M)(1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Psi(T, M)(n+1) := \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge (\Psi(T, M)(n) * 0 * \alpha) \in T) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let Ω be the set of infinite numbers. We have

$$(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall^{st} n^0)(\forall N, M \in \Omega)(\Psi(T, M)(n) = \Psi(T, N)(n)).$$

i.e. $\Psi(T, M)$ is **Ω -invariant**, the NSA-version of 'being $(\Delta_1^0)^{st}$ '.

By Δ_1^0 -Standard Part Principle (in RCA_0^Ω), there is **STANDARD** Φ s.t.

$$(\forall^{st} T^1 \leq_1 1)(\forall^{st} n^0)(\forall N \in \Omega)(\Phi(n) = \Psi(T, N)(n)).$$

This Φ is as in $UWKL^{st}$, i.e. we have $WKL^* \rightarrow UWKL^{st}$.

Hilbert's program

Hilbert's program

= to reduce infinitary mathematics to finitary mathematics (=PRA) via a consistency proof of the latter in the former.

Hilbert's program

= to reduce infinitary mathematics to finitary mathematics (=PRA) via a consistency proof of the latter in the former.

Full Hilbert's program is **impossible** due to Gödel incompleteness.

Hilbert's program

= to reduce infinitary mathematics to finitary mathematics (=PRA) via a consistency proof of the latter in the former.

Full Hilbert's program is **impossible** due to Gödel incompleteness. RM provides partial realization.

Hilbert's program

= to reduce infinitary mathematics to finitary mathematics (=PRA) via a consistency proof of the latter in the former.

Full Hilbert's program is **impossible** due to Gödel incompleteness. RM provides partial realization. **Other way** to reduce **FULL** infinitary math to finitary math?

Hilbert's program

= to reduce infinitary mathematics to finitary mathematics (=PRA) via a consistency proof of the latter in the former.

Full Hilbert's program is **impossible** due to Gödel incompleteness. RM provides partial realization. **Other way** to reduce **FULL** infinitary math to finitary math?

Inside $(RCA_0^\omega)^* + \text{BASIC}$ (=NSA-version of EFA), for any standard $\Xi^{1 \rightarrow 1}$:

Hilbert's program

= to reduce infinitary mathematics to finitary mathematics (=PRA) via a consistency proof of the latter in the former.

Full Hilbert's program is **impossible** due to Gödel incompleteness. RM provides partial realization. **Other way** to reduce **FULL** infinitary math to finitary math?

Inside $(RCA_0^\omega)^* + \text{BASIC}$ (=NSA-version of EFA), for any standard $\Xi^{1 \rightarrow 1}$:

$(\forall^{st} T \leq_1 1) [(\forall^{st} n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge \alpha \in T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Xi(T)}n \in T)].$

\leftrightarrow

Hilbert's program

= to reduce infinitary mathematics to finitary mathematics (=PRA) via a consistency proof of the latter in the former.

Full Hilbert's program is **impossible** due to Gödel incompleteness. RM provides partial realization. **Other way** to reduce **FULL** infinitary math to finitary math?

Inside $(RCA_0^\omega)^* + \text{BASIC}$ (=NSA-version of EFA), for any standard $\Xi^{1 \rightarrow 1}$:

$$(\forall^{st} T \leq_1 1) [(\forall^{st} n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge \alpha \in T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Xi(T)}n \in T)].$$

\leftrightarrow

$$(\forall^{st} n)(\forall N \in \Omega)[\Xi(T)(n) = \Psi(T, N)(n)].$$

Hilbert's program

= to reduce infinitary mathematics to finitary mathematics (=PRA) via a consistency proof of the latter in the former.

Full Hilbert's program is **impossible** due to Gödel incompleteness. RM provides partial realization. **Other way** to reduce **FULL** infinitary math to finitary math?

Inside $(RCA_0^\omega)^* + \text{BASIC}$ (=NSA-version of EFA), for any standard $\Xi^{1 \rightarrow 1}$:

$$(\forall^{st} T \leq_1 1) [(\forall^{st} n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge \alpha \in T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Xi(T)}n \in T)].$$

\leftrightarrow

$$(\forall^{st} n)(\forall N \in \Omega)[\Xi(T)(n) = \Psi(T, N)(n)].$$

In other words: If Ξ behaves like the functional Φ from $UWKL^{st}$, then $\Xi(T)$ equals $\Psi(T, M)$ for any $M \in \Omega$, and vice versa.

Hilbert's program

= to reduce infinitary mathematics to finitary mathematics (=PRA) via a consistency proof of the latter in the former.

Full Hilbert's program is **impossible** due to Gödel incompleteness. RM provides partial realization. **Other way** to reduce **FULL** infinitary math to finitary math?

Inside $(\text{RCA}_0^\omega)^* + \text{BASIC}$ (=NSA-version of EFA), for any standard $\Xi^{1 \rightarrow 1}$:

$$(\forall^{st} T \leq_1 1) [(\forall^{st} n^0 \leq M)(\exists \alpha^0)(|\alpha| = n \wedge \alpha \in T) \rightarrow (\forall^{st} n)(\overline{\Xi(T)}n \in T)].$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$(\forall^{st} n)(\forall N \in \Omega)[\Xi(T)(n) = \Psi(T, N)(n)].$$

In other words: If Ξ behaves like the functional Φ from UWKL^{st} , then $\Xi(T)$ equals $\Psi(T, M)$ for any $M \in \Omega$, and vice versa.

But PRA proves consistency of $(\text{RCA}_0^\omega)^* + \text{BASIC}$. Hence, finitistic reduction of Φ from UWKL (and hence TJ)!

Final Thoughts

Final Thoughts

And what are these [infinitesimals]? [...] They are neither finite Quantities nor Quantities infinitely small, nor yet nothing. May we not call them the ghosts of departed quantities?

George Berkeley, *The Analyst*

Final Thoughts

And what are these [infinitesimals]? [...] They are neither finite Quantities nor Quantities infinitely small, nor yet nothing. May we not call them the ghosts of departed quantities?

George Berkeley, *The Analyst*

...there are good reasons to believe that Nonstandard Analysis, in some version or other, will be the analysis of the future.

Kurt Gödel

Final Thoughts

And what are these [infinitesimals]? [...] They are neither finite Quantities nor Quantities infinitely small, nor yet nothing. May we not call them the ghosts of departed quantities?

George Berkeley, *The Analyst*

...there are good reasons to believe that Nonstandard Analysis, in some version or other, will be the analysis of the future.

Kurt Gödel

We thank the **John Templeton Foundation** and **Alexander Von Humboldt Foundation** for its generous support!

Final Thoughts

And what are these [infinitesimals]? [...] They are neither finite Quantities nor Quantities infinitely small, nor yet nothing. May we not call them the ghosts of departed quantities?

George Berkeley, *The Analyst*

...there are good reasons to believe that Nonstandard Analysis, in some version or other, will be the analysis of the future.

Kurt Gödel

We thank the **John Templeton Foundation** and **Alexander Von Humboldt Foundation** for its generous support!

Thank you for your attention!

Final Thoughts

And what are these [infinitesimals]? [...] They are neither finite Quantities nor Quantities infinitely small, nor yet nothing. May we not call them the ghosts of departed quantities?

George Berkeley, *The Analyst*

...there are good reasons to believe that Nonstandard Analysis, in some version or other, will be the analysis of the future.

Kurt Gödel

We thank the **John Templeton Foundation** and **Alexander Von Humboldt Foundation** for its generous support!

Thank you for your attention!

Any questions?