Constraint Logic Programming for Hedges: A Semantic Reconstruction

Besik Dundua

DCC-FC & LIACC, University of Porto, Portugal

VIAM, Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Georgia

Joint work with Mário Florido, Temur Kutsia and Mircea Marin

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

What is $\mathsf{CLP}(\mathsf{H})$

► CLP(H): Constraint Logic Programming over hedges.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 - のへぐ

What is $\mathsf{CLP}(\mathsf{H})$

- ► CLP(H): Constraint Logic Programming over hedges.
- Hedges: finite sequences of unranked terms and hedge variables.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

What is CLP(H)

- ► CLP(H): Constraint Logic Programming over hedges.
- Hedges: finite sequences of unranked terms and hedge variables.
- Unranked terms: function symbols have no fixed arity.

・ロト ・日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへや

Unranked Term: Example



f(g, f(X), g(F(a), y))

- Different occurrences of the same function symbol may have different number of arguments.
- Variables: X for hedges, y for terms, F for function symbols.

Hedge: Example



Finite sequences of unranked terms and hedge variables.

Variables

- Term variables can be instantiated by individual terms.
- Hedge variables can be instantiated by hedges.
- Function variables can be instantiated by function symbols.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Variable Instantiation: Example

 $f(g, f(X), g(F(a), y)) \qquad \{X \mapsto (g(a), y), y \mapsto f(a), F \mapsto g\}$ ygggaFX yy \mapsto agF

Variable Instantiation: Example

 $f(g, f(X), g(F(a), y)) \qquad \{X \mapsto (g(a), y), y \mapsto f(a), F \mapsto g\}$





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

- Three kinds of variables give flexibility of term traversal.
- It helps to write short, yet quite clear and intuitive code.

- Three kinds of variables give flexibility of term traversal.
- It helps to write short, yet quite clear and intuitive code.

Example (Rewriting)

$$\begin{aligned} & rewrite(x,y) \leftarrow rule(x,y).\\ & rewrite(F(X,x,Y),F(X,y,Y)) \leftarrow rewrite(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - つへぐ

$$rule(x,y) \leftarrow \dots$$

. . .

Hedges may be constrained with regular hedge languages.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → の < ♡

Hedges may be constrained with regular hedge languages.

Example (Rewriting)

$$\begin{aligned} & rewrite(x,y) \leftarrow rule(x,y).\\ & rewrite(F(X,x,Y),F(X,y,Y)) \leftarrow rewrite(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ▲□ → ◆○ ◆

 $rule(f(X), f(b, X, b)) \leftarrow X \text{ in } a^*.$

In This Talk

- Semantics of CLP(H).
- How to solve constraints.

Special fragments.

The alphabet contains

term, hedge and function variables,

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三> のへの

- unranked function symbols,
- ranked predicate symbols,
- ▶ true, false, ≐, in,
- regular operators,
- logical connectives.

Let's Get a Bit Formal

Terms are term variables or compound terms:

 $t ::= x \mid f(H) \mid F(H).$

Hedge elements are terms or hedge variables:

 $h ::= t \mid X.$

Hedges are finite sequences of hedge elements:

 $H ::= h_1, \dots, h_n, \qquad n \ge 0.$

Notation:

x: term variablef: function symbolF: function variableX: hedge variable

Let's Get a Bit Formal

Regular hedge expressions:

R ::= eps	(empty hedge expression)
$ R \cdot R$	(concatenation)
R + R	(choice)
R*	(repetition)
$\mid f(R)$	(function application)

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 - のへぐ

Let's Get a Bit Formal

Regular hedge expressions:

R ::=	eps	(empty hedge expression)
	$R \cdot R$	(concatenation)
	R + R	(choice)
	R*	(repetition)
	f(R)	(function application)

Example

► $f((a(eps) + b(eps))^*) \cdot c(eps)^*$ is a regular hedge expression.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モート ・ モー・ うへぐ

• For simplicity, it is written as $f((a+b)^*) \cdot c^*$.

Primitive constraints:

- Equalities: $t_1 \doteq t_2$.
- Membership atoms: *H* in R.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Primitive constraints:

- Equalities: $t_1 \doteq t_2$.
- Membership atoms: *H* in R.

Example

• Equational primitive constraints:

•
$$f(X, a) = f(a, X).$$

•
$$f(X, F(Y), Z) \doteq f(a, x, f(X)).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Primitive constraints:

- Equalities: $t_1 \doteq t_2$.
- Membership atoms: *H* in R.

Example

Equational primitive constraints:

•
$$f(X, a) = f(a, X).$$

- $f(X, F(Y), Z) \doteq f(a, x, f(X)).$
- Membership primitive constraints:
 - (f(a,a), X, a) in $f((a+b)^*) \cdot c^*$.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

 $\blacktriangleright \ X \text{ in } b^* \cdot a.$

• Atoms: $p(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$, where p is an n-ary predicate symbol.

- Literal: An atom or a primitive constraint.
- Formulas are defined as usual.

• Atoms: $p(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$, where p is an n-ary predicate symbol.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ● ●

- Literal: An atom or a primitive constraint.
- Formulas are defined as usual.

Example

• rewrite(F(X, x, Y), F(X, y, Y)) is an atom.

 Constraint: A formula built over true, false, and primitive constraints.

• We work with constraints in disjunctive normal form.

- Constraint: A formula built over true, false, and primitive constraints.
- We work with constraints in disjunctive normal form.
- ▶ CLP program: A finite set of rules of the form $\forall (L_1 \land \dots \land L_n \rightarrow A)$, written as

 $A \leftarrow L_1, \ldots, L_n,$

where A is an atom and the L's are literals.

Goal: A formula of the form ∃(L₁ ∧ · · · ∧ L_n), n ≥ 0, written as

$$\leftarrow L_1,\ldots,L_n.$$

CLP(H) Programs and Goals: Examples

Program for removing duplicate arguments from a term:

 $remove_duplicates(F(X, x, Y, x, Z), y) \leftarrow$ $remove_duplicates(F(X, x, Y, Z), y).$ $remove_duplicates(x, x).$

► Goal: Find a term, obtained by removing duplicate arguments from f(a, g(b), g(b), a, c):

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ● ●

 $\leftarrow remove_duplicates(f(a, g(b), g(b), a, c), y).$

CLP(H) Programs and Goals: Examples

A program that implements the rewriting mechanism, together with a rule to perform rewritings of the form f → f(b,b), f(a) → f(b,a,b), f(a,a) → f(b,a,a,b), etc.

$$\begin{aligned} & rewrite(x,y) \leftarrow rule(x,y).\\ & rewrite(F(X,x,Y),F(X,y,Y)) \leftarrow rewrite(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

 $rule(f(X), f(b, X, b)) \leftarrow X \text{ in } a^*.$

► Goal: Find a term that rewrites to f(a, f(b, f(b, a, a, b))): $\leftarrow rewrite(x, f(a, f(b, f(b, a, a, b)))).$

- A structure for our language: $\mathfrak{S} := \langle D, I \rangle$.
- ► D: a non-empty domain.
- I: an interpretation function, mapping
 - each function symbol f to a function $I(f): D^* \to D$,
 - each *n*-ary predicate symbol p to an *n*-ary relation $I(p) \subseteq D^n$.

- ► A variable assignment for \mathfrak{S} : a function that maps
 - term variables to elements of D,
 - hedge variables to elements of D*,
 - function variables to functions from D^* to D.

Interpretation of syntactic categories with respect to a structure $\mathfrak{S} = \langle D, I \rangle$ and a variable assignment σ .

▶ Terms are interpreted as elements of *D*:

$$\begin{split} \llbracket v \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma} &:= \sigma(v), \\ \llbracket f(H) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma} &:= I(f)(\llbracket H \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma}), \\ \llbracket F(H) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma} &:= \sigma(F)(\llbracket H \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma}). \end{split}$$

▶ Hedges are interpreted as elements of *D**:

$$\llbracket (H_1,\ldots,H_n) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma} := (\llbracket H_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma},\ldots,\llbracket H_n \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma}),$$

Interpretation of syntactic categories with respect to a structure $\mathfrak{S} = \langle D, I \rangle$ and a variable assignment σ .

Regular expressions are interpreted as (regular) subsets of D*:
 (σ has no effect and is omitted.)

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \mathsf{eps} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}} &:= \{\epsilon\}, \\ \llbracket \mathsf{R}_1 \cdot \mathsf{R}_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}} &:= \{(H_1, H_2) \mid H_1 \in \llbracket \mathsf{R}_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}}, H_2 \in \llbracket \mathsf{R}_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}} \}, \\ \llbracket \mathsf{R}_1 + \mathsf{R}_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}} &:= \llbracket \mathsf{R}_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}} \cup \llbracket \mathsf{R}_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}}, \\ \llbracket \mathsf{R}^* \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}} &:= \llbracket \mathsf{R} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}}^*. \\ \llbracket f(\mathsf{R}) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}} &:= \{I(f)(H) \mid H \in \llbracket \mathsf{R} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}} \}, \end{split}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

Interpretation of syntactic categories with respect to a structure $\mathfrak{S} = \langle D, I \rangle$ and a variable assignment σ .

Primitive equational constraints are interpreted as equality:

 $\mathfrak{S}\models_{\sigma} t_1 \doteq t_2 \text{ iff } \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma} = \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S},\sigma}.$

Primitive membership constraints are interpreted as set membership:

 $\mathfrak{S} \models_{\sigma} H$ in R iff $\llbracket H \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}, \sigma} \in \llbracket \mathsf{R} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{S}}$.

Other formulas are interpreted in the standard way.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ● ● ● ● ●

Intended structure: $\mathfrak{I} = \langle D, I \rangle$, where

- D is the set of ground terms,
- I defined for every f by I(f)(H) = f(H).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - つへぐ

Intended structure: $\mathfrak{I} = \langle D, I \rangle$, where

- D is the set of ground terms,
- I defined for every f by I(f)(H) = f(H).

Intended interpretation of a program P: a subset of the Herbrand basis of P.

Notation:

- $\mathfrak{S} \models A$: \mathfrak{S} is a model of A.
- $\models A$: Any structure is a model of A.
- ► P ⊨ G if G is a goal which holds in every model of the program P.

Facts:

1. Every program P has a least intended model, denoted lm(P).

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

2. For every program P and goal G, $P \models G$ iff $lm(P) \models G$.

- \blacktriangleright ${\cal K}$ stands for conjunction of primitive constraints.
- \mathcal{K} in the solved form, example:

$$\blacktriangleright \ x \doteq f(a, X) \wedge Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \wedge X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$$

- \mathcal{K} stands for conjunction of primitive constraints.
- \mathcal{K} in the solved form, example:

 $\blacktriangleright \ x \doteq f(a, X) \wedge Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \wedge X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$

• \mathcal{K} not in the solved form, examples:

1. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a) \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b$.

- \mathcal{K} stands for conjunction of primitive constraints.
- \mathcal{K} in the solved form, example:

 $\blacktriangleright \ x \doteq f(a, X) \wedge Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \wedge X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$

• \mathcal{K} not in the solved form, examples:

1. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a) \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b$. 2. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a, f(b)) \doteq (a, f(b), Y) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b$.

- \mathcal{K} stands for conjunction of primitive constraints.
- \mathcal{K} in the solved form, example:

 $\blacktriangleright \ x \doteq f(a, X) \wedge Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \wedge X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$

• \mathcal{K} not in the solved form, examples:

1.
$$x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a) \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X$$
 in $f(a)^* \cdot b$.
2. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a, f(b)) \doteq (a, f(b), Y) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b$.
3. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b \land X$ in a^* .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

- \mathcal{K} stands for conjunction of primitive constraints.
- \mathcal{K} in the solved form, example:

 $\blacktriangleright \ x \doteq f(a, X) \wedge Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \wedge X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$

• \mathcal{K} not in the solved form, examples:

1.
$$x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a) \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$$

2. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a, f(b)) \doteq (a, f(b), Y) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$
3. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b \land X \text{ in } a^*.$
4. $x \doteq f(a, Y) \land Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

- \blacktriangleright ${\cal K}$ stands for conjunction of primitive constraints.
- \mathcal{K} in the solved form, example:

 $\blacktriangleright \ x \doteq f(a, X) \wedge Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \wedge X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$

• \mathcal{K} not in the solved form, examples:

1.
$$x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a) \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$$

2. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a, f(b)) \doteq (a, f(b), Y) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$
3. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b \land X \text{ in } a^*.$
4. $x \doteq f(a, Y) \land Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$
5. $f(x, b) \doteq f(f(a, X), b) \land Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$

- \mathcal{K} stands for conjunction of primitive constraints.
- \mathcal{K} in the solved form, example:

•
$$x \doteq f(a, X) \land Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X$$
 in $f(a)^* \cdot b$.

• \mathcal{K} not in the solved form, examples:

1.
$$x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a) \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$$

2. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a, f(b)) \doteq (a, f(b), Y) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$
3. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b \land X \text{ in } a^*.$
4. $x \doteq f(a, Y) \land Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$
5. $f(x, b) \doteq f(f(a, X), b) \land Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X \text{ in } f(a)^* \cdot b.$

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三> のへの

- ► The constraints 1–2 are in the partially solved form.
- ► The constraint 3–5 are not even partially solved.

- \blacktriangleright ${\cal K}$ stands for conjunction of primitive constraints.
- \mathcal{K} in the solved form, example:

•
$$x \doteq f(a, X) \land Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X$$
 in $f(a)^* \cdot b$.

- K not in the solved form, examples:
 - 1. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a) \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b$. 2. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land (Y, a, f(b)) \doteq (a, f(b), Y) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b$. 3. $x \doteq f(a, X) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b \land X$ in a^* . 4. $x \doteq f(a, Y) \land Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b$. 5. $f(x, b) \doteq f(f(a, X), b) \land Y \doteq (a, f(b), X) \land X$ in $f(a)^* \cdot b$.
- ► The constraints 1–2 are in the partially solved form.
- ► The constraint 3–5 are not even partially solved.
- Every solved constraint is partially solved, but not vice versa.
- true is solved, false is not partially solved.

Notation:

• \mathcal{C} : A constraint in DNF $\mathcal{K}_1 \lor \cdots \lor \mathcal{K}_n$.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ▲□ → ◆○ ◆

• \mathfrak{I} : An intended structure.

Theorem

If C is solved, then $\mathfrak{I} \models \exists C$.

Constraint Solver

- ► A rule-based algorithm, denoted *solve*.
- Input: a constraint in DNF.
- Output: a constraint in DNF.

Properties:

Theorem

If $solve(\mathcal{C}_{in}) = \mathcal{C}_{out}$, then

- C_{out} is equivalent to C_{in} ,
- C_{out} is false or in partially solved form.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

Constraint Solver

Example

Input to the solver:

$$f(X, F(Y), Z) \doteq f(a, x, f(X)) \land X \text{ in } a(b^*) \cdot a(b^*)^*$$

► Output:

$$\begin{aligned} X &\doteq a \wedge x \doteq F(Y) \wedge Z \doteq f(a) \\ \vee X &\doteq (a, x) \wedge F \doteq f \wedge Y \doteq (a, x) \wedge Z \doteq \epsilon \wedge x \text{ in } a(b^*)^* \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

• The output is in the solved form.

Constraint Solver

Example

Input to the solver:

$$f(g(X), f(a, X)) \doteq f(f(Y, a), f(X, a))$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 - のへ⊙

Output:

$$X \doteq (Y, a) \land (a, Y) \doteq (Y, a)$$

• The output is in the partially solved form.

Special Fragments

What kind of constraints are reduced by *solve* either to false or to a solved form?

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

- ▶ We identified two such fragments:
 - well-moded fragment
 - KIF fragment

A conjunction of primitive constraints K = π₁ ∧ · · · ∧ π_n is well-moded, if for each 1 ≤ i ≤ n,

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 - のへ⊙

- ► A conjunction of primitive constraints $\mathcal{K} = \pi_1 \land \cdots \land \pi_n$ is well-moded, if for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,
 - if π_i is $t_1 \doteq t_2$, then either all variables of t_1 or all variables of t_2 occur in $\pi_1 \land \cdots \land \pi_{i-1}$,

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

- A conjunction of primitive constraints K = π₁ ∧ · · · ∧ π_n is well-moded, if for each 1 ≤ i ≤ n,
 - if π_i is $t_1 \doteq t_2$, then either all variables of t_1 or all variables of t_2 occur in $\pi_1 \land \cdots \land \pi_{i-1}$,
 - if π_i is H in R, then all variables of H occur in $\pi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi_{i-1}$.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

- A conjunction of primitive constraints K = π₁ ∧ · · · ∧ π_n is well-moded, if for each 1 ≤ i ≤ n,
 - if π_i is $t_1 \doteq t_2$, then either all variables of t_1 or all variables of t_2 occur in $\pi_1 \land \cdots \land \pi_{i-1}$,
 - if π_i is H in R, then all variables of H occur in $\pi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi_{i-1}$.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

A constraint C = K₁ ∨ · · · ∨ K_n is well-moded, if each K_i is well-moded.

- A conjunction of primitive constraints K = π₁ ∧ · · · ∧ π_n is well-moded, if for each 1 ≤ i ≤ n,
 - if π_i is $t_1 \doteq t_2$, then either all variables of t_1 or all variables of t_2 occur in $\pi_1 \land \cdots \land \pi_{i-1}$,
 - if π_i is H in R, then all variables of H occur in $\pi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi_{i-1}$.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

- ► A constraint C = K₁ ∨ · · · ∨ K_n is well-moded, if each K_i is well-moded.
- ▶ $F_1(X, y, Z) \doteq f(a, b) \land F_1(a, Z) \doteq F_2(x, Y, X) \land Y$ in a^* is a well-moded constraint.

- A conjunction of primitive constraints K = π₁ ∧ · · · ∧ π_n is well-moded, if for each 1 ≤ i ≤ n,
 - if π_i is $t_1 \doteq t_2$, then either all variables of t_1 or all variables of t_2 occur in $\pi_1 \land \cdots \land \pi_{i-1}$,
 - if π_i is H in R, then all variables of H occur in $\pi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi_{i-1}$.
- A constraint C = K₁ ∨ · · · ∨ K_n is well-moded, if each K_i is well-moded.
- ► $F_1(X, y, Z) \doteq f(a, b) \land F_1(a, Z) \doteq F_2(x, Y, X) \land Y$ in a^* is a well-moded constraint.
- ▶ $F_1(X, y, Z) \doteq f(a, X) \land F_1(a, Z) \doteq F_2(x, Y, X) \land Y$ in a^* is not a well-moded constraint.

► A constraint C = K₁ ∨ · · · ∨ K_n is in KIF form, if all hedge variables appear in the last positions.

A constraint C = K₁ ∨··· ∨ K_n is in KIF form, if all hedge variables appear in the last positions.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モート ・ モー・ うへぐ

• $(x, y, Y) \doteq (f(X), b, X) \land F(a, Z) \doteq F(x, g(Y), g(a, b, X)) \land (a, Y)$ in a^* is a KIF constraint.

- ► A constraint C = K₁ ∨ · · · ∨ K_n is in KIF form, if all hedge variables appear in the last positions.
- $(x, y, Y) \doteq (f(X), b, X) \land F(a, Z) \doteq F(x, g(Y), g(a, b, X)) \land (a, Y)$ in a^* is a KIF constraint.
- ▶ $(x, Y, y) \doteq (f(X), b, X) \land F(a, Z) \doteq$ $F(x, g(Y), g(a, b, X)) \land (a, Y) \text{ in } a^* \text{ is not a KIF constraint.}$

- ► A constraint C = K₁ ∨ · · · ∨ K_n is in KIF form, if all hedge variables appear in the last positions.
- $(x, y, Y) \doteq (f(X), b, X) \land F(a, Z) \doteq F(x, g(Y), g(a, b, X)) \land (a, Y)$ in a^* is a KIF constraint.
- ▶ $(x, Y, y) \doteq (f(X), b, X) \land F(a, Z) \doteq$ $F(x, g(Y), g(a, b, X)) \land (a, Y) \text{ in } a^* \text{ is not a KIF constraint.}$
- $(x, y, Y) \doteq (f(X), b, X) \land F(a, Z) \doteq F(x, g(Y), g(a, b, X, c)) \land (a, Y)$ in a^* is not a KIF constraint either.

Well-Moded and KIF Constraints

Lemma

Let C be a well-moded or a KIF constraint and solve(C) = C', where $C' \neq$ false. Then C' is solved.

Can we characterize programs that give rise well-moded or KIF constraints during derivations?

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─ のへで

Can we characterize programs that give rise well-moded or KIF constraints during derivations?

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─ のへで

Yes.

Can we characterize programs that give rise well-moded or KIF constraints during derivations?

- Yes.
- ► Well-moded programs, KIF programs.

- Can we characterize programs that give rise well-moded or KIF constraints during derivations?
- Yes.
- ► Well-moded programs, KIF programs.
- KIF programs are easy: Just require that all occurrences of hedge variables happen in the last argument positions in subterms.

- Can we characterize programs that give rise well-moded or KIF constraints during derivations?
- Yes.
- ► Well-moded programs, KIF programs.
- KIF programs are easy: Just require that all occurrences of hedge variables happen in the last argument positions in subterms.
- ▶ Well-moded programs need a bit more involved definition.

Well-Moded Program

Example (Rewriting)

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{rewrite}(x,y) \leftarrow \textit{rule}(x,y).\\ \textit{rewrite}(F(X,x,Y),F(X,y,Y)) \leftarrow \textit{rewrite}(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 - のへぐ

 $rule(f(X),f(b,X,b)) \leftarrow X \text{ in } a^*.$

Summary

- CLP(H) programs explore benefits of different kinds of variables and unranked symbols.
- The programs are short, yet quite clear and intuitive.
- CLP(H) generalizes languages such as, e.g., CLP(Flex) (Coelho and Florido, 2004), CLP(S) (Rajasekar, 1994), CLP(Σ*) (Walinsky, 1989).
- Semantics of CLP(H) has been studied.
- A constraint solver, which computes partial solutions, has been developed.
- Two fragments (well-moded, KIF), which can be solved completely, have been identified.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ● ● ● ● ●