

On Cross-Stage Persistence in Multi-Stage Programming

Yuichiro Hanada and Atsushi Igarashi

Graduate School of Informatics,
Kyoto University

June 4, 2014

What is Multi-stage Programming (MSP)?

A programming paradigm in which we can generate and run code fragments at runtime.

Applications

- DSLs [Taha '04]
- Specilizing dynamic programming algorithms

Program Residualization

Generated code fragments can be executed

- by the very program that has generated it
- by another program

Program residualization : Serializing code fragments in order to execute it later

Styles of Multi-stage Programming

How is code represented?

- Strings
 - 'eval' function in scripting languages
- ASTs
 - Lisp with macros
- ASTs + code types
 - Scala with Lightweight Modular Staging library
 - MetaOCaml [Calcagno et al. '03]

An example program of MetaOCaml

```
# let a = ⟨1 + 2⟩                                (* Bracket *)  
val a : int code = ⟨1 + 2⟩  
# let b = ⟨~a * 2⟩                               (* Escape *)  
val b : int code = ⟨(1 + 2) * 2⟩  
# run b                                         (* Run *)  
- : int 6
```

Cross-Stage Persistence (CSP)

Reference to a variable defined outside of brackets

```
# let a = ⟨(% sqrt) (2 + 2)⟩
```

```
# run a
```

```
→ 2
```

Residualization with CSP

```
# let h = open_in "a.txt"
# let c = <(% input_line) (% h)>
# run c
(* returns first line of "a.txt" *)
# run c
(* returns the next line *)
# print_code c (* ??? *)
```

Writing the “print_code” function is not always feasible.

Our Goal

Rejecting unsafe residualization statically !

```
<1 + 2>    (* Residualizable *)
```

```
let h = open_in "a.txt" in
```

```
  <%(input_line) % h>    (* Not Residualizable *)
```


Why is writing “print_code” difficult?

- All values can be embedded by CSP
- Syntactic representations needed to residualize the code
 - Integers → OK
 - Functions → not always feasible
 - File handlers → impossible

We need syntactic representations of all values to mix residualization and CSP!

Our approach : $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$

An extension of λ^{\triangleright} [Tsukada&Igarashi '09]

- All core features of MetaOCaml
- Type safety
 - for programs written by programmers
 - for programs generated at run time
- Type-safe residualization

Ideas : Introducing residualizable code types

- Distinction between two kinds of code types
 - One is residualizable
 - Another can be used with CSP

An example program of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$

$\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$

let $a = \blacktriangleright_{\alpha}(1 + 2)$

$\longrightarrow^* \blacktriangleright_{\alpha}(1 + 2)$

let $b = \blacktriangleright_{\alpha}(\blacktriangleleft_{\alpha} a * 2)$

$\longrightarrow^* \blacktriangleright_{\alpha}((1 + 2) * 2)$

MetaOCaml

let $a = \langle 1 + 2 \rangle$

let $b = \langle \sim a * 2 \rangle$

An example program of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$

$\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$

```
# let a =  $\Lambda\beta.(\blacktriangleright_{\beta}(1 + 2))$   
   $\longrightarrow^*$   $\Lambda\beta.(\blacktriangleright_{\beta}(1 + 2))$   
# let b =  $\Lambda\alpha.\blacktriangleright_{\alpha}(\blacktriangleleft_{\alpha}(a \ \alpha) * 2)$   
   $\longrightarrow^*$   $\Lambda\alpha.\blacktriangleright_{\alpha}(\blacktriangleleft_{\alpha}\blacktriangleright_{\alpha}(1 + 2) * 2)$   
   $\longrightarrow^*$   $\Lambda\alpha.\blacktriangleright_{\alpha}((1 + 2) * 2)$   
# b  $\varepsilon$  (*  $\varepsilon$  : empty sequence *)  
   $\longrightarrow^*$   $(\blacktriangleright_{\alpha}((1 + 2) * 2))[\alpha := \varepsilon]$   
   $\longrightarrow^*$   $(1 + 2) * 2 \longrightarrow^*$  6
```

MetaOCaml

```
let a =  $\langle 1 + 2 \rangle$   
  
let b =  $\langle \sim a * 2 \rangle$   
  
.! b
```

An example program of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$ with CSP

let $f = \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x * 2$ **in**

$$\blacktriangleright_{\alpha} (\%_{\alpha} (f\ 1) + (\%_{\alpha} f)\ 1)$$

$$\longrightarrow_s^* \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} (\%_{\alpha} (1 * 2) + (\%_{\alpha} (\lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x * 2))\ 1)$$

$$\longrightarrow_s^* \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} (\%_{\alpha} 2 + \%_{\alpha} (\lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x * 2)\ 1)$$

The former application is evaluated but the latter is not.

An example program of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$ with CSP

let $f = \lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x * 2$ **in**

$(\Lambda\alpha.\blacktriangleright_{\alpha}((\%_{\alpha} f) 1)) \varepsilon$

$\longrightarrow^* (\Lambda\alpha.\blacktriangleright_{\alpha}((\%_{\alpha}(\lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x * 2)) 1)) \varepsilon$

$\longrightarrow^* (\lambda x : \mathbf{int}. x * 2) 1$

$\longrightarrow^* 2$

The substitution removes the “ $\%_{\alpha}$ ”.

Syntax of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$

Transition Variable (TV) ::= $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \dots$

- Level of nested brackets
- c.f. Environment classifiers [Taha&Nielsen '03]

Terms

$M ::= x \mid \lambda x : \tau. M \mid M_1 M_2 \mid \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} M \mid \blacktriangleleft_{\alpha} M$
 $\mid \Lambda \alpha. M \mid M A$ (A = a sequence of TV) $\mid \%_{\alpha} M$

Syntax of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$ (2)

Terms

$$M ::= x \mid \lambda x : \tau. M \mid M_1 M_2 \mid \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} M \mid \blacktriangleleft_{\alpha} M \\ \mid \Lambda \alpha. M \mid M A \text{ (A = a sequence of TV)} \mid \%_{\alpha} M$$

- $\Lambda \alpha. M$ = a binder of TV
- $M A$ = an application to a sequence of TVs
- $\varepsilon \in \text{TV}^*$ = a special symbol for empty sequence

$$(\Lambda \alpha. \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} M) (\beta \gamma) \longrightarrow \blacktriangleright_{\beta \gamma} M$$

$$(\Lambda \alpha. \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} M) (\varepsilon) \longrightarrow M$$

Full reduction and staged reduction

- Full reduction
 - Substitution-based
 - Only 3 rules
 - $(\lambda x : \tau. M) N \longrightarrow M[x := N]$
 - $(\Lambda \alpha. M) A \longrightarrow M[\alpha := A]$
 - $\blacktriangleleft_{\alpha} \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} M \longrightarrow M$
- Staged reduction (call-by-value, deterministic)
 - Evaluation contexts

Types

$$\tau ::= b \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \triangleright_{\alpha} \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau \mid \forall^{\varepsilon} \alpha. \tau$$

- $\triangleright_{\alpha} \tau$: a type of code of type τ
- $\forall \alpha. \tau, \forall^{\varepsilon} \alpha. \tau$: types for $\Lambda \alpha. M$
 - $\forall \alpha : \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} M$ is residualizable
 - $\forall^{\varepsilon} \alpha : \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} M$ is not residualizable
 - $\blacktriangleright_{\alpha} (\dots \%_{\alpha} M \dots)$ is OK

Type system of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$ (2)

Type judgment

$\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A M : \tau$

- Δ : a set of classifiers introduced by $\forall^{\varepsilon} \alpha$
- A : current stage (a sequence of classifiers)

$\vdash^A \Lambda \alpha. \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} (1 + 2) : \forall \alpha. \triangleright_{\alpha} \mathbf{int}$

$\vdash^A (\Lambda \alpha. \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} (1 + 2)) \varepsilon : \mathbf{int}$

$\not\vdash^A \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} ((\%_{\alpha} 1) + 2) : \triangleright_{\alpha} \mathbf{int}$

$\emptyset; \{\alpha\} \vdash^A \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} ((\%_{\alpha} 1) + 2) : \triangleright_{\alpha} \mathbf{int}$

Typing rules of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^{A\alpha} M : \tau}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} M : \triangleright_{\alpha} \tau} (\blacktriangleright)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A M : \triangleright_{\alpha} \tau}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^{A\alpha} \blacktriangleleft_{\alpha} M : \tau} (\blacktriangleleft)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A M : \tau \quad \alpha \in \Delta}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^{A\alpha} \%_{\alpha} M : \tau} (\%_{\alpha})$$

Typing rules of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$ (2)

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A M : \tau \quad \alpha \notin \text{FTV}(\Gamma) \cup \text{FTV}(A) \cup \Delta}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A \Lambda\alpha.M : \forall\alpha.\tau} \text{ (GEN)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A M : \forall\alpha.\tau}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A M B : \tau[\alpha := B]} \text{ (INS)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash^A M : \tau \quad \alpha \notin \text{FTV}(\Gamma) \cup \text{FTV}(A) \cup \Delta}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A \Lambda\alpha.M : \forall^\varepsilon\alpha.\tau} \text{ (GENE)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A M : \forall^\varepsilon\alpha.\tau \quad \beta \in \Delta \text{ whenever } \beta \in B}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash^A M B : \tau[\alpha := B]} \text{ (INSE)}$$

Type-safe residualization

Theorem : Type-safe residualization

If $\vdash^{\varepsilon} M : \triangleright_{\alpha} \tau$ is derivable then

- $M \longrightarrow_s^* \blacktriangleright_{\alpha} v^{\alpha}$ for some v^{α}
 - v^{α} : a value at stage α
- $\vdash^{\varepsilon} v^{\alpha} : \tau$ is derivable.

Well-typed programs of code types yield residualizable programs

Other properties of $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$

- Staged reduction \subset Full reduction
- Subject Reduction
- Strong Normalization
- Confluence
- Progress
 - under staged reduction

Related Work

- λ^{BN} [Benaissa et al. '99]
 - Explicit CSP operator “up”
 - for any kinds of values
 - as if any value has its syntactic representation
- λ^{α} [Taha&Nielsen '03]
 - Environment classifiers
 - No distinction between residualizable and nonresidualizable code

Conclusion

New typed multi-stage calculus $\lambda^{\triangleright\%}$

- All core features of MetaOCaml
- Full reduction and staged reduction
- Distinction between residualizable code types and non-residualizable code types
- Type-safe residualization
- (Subtyping for two kinds of code types)

CSP vs. Lifting

- Cross-Stage Persistence
 - Just a syntactic marker waiting for run to dissolve the surrounding brackets
- Lifting (in Partial Evaluation)
 - Converting a value into its syntactic representation