Contents

| Al | ostra | .ct | | ii | |
|----|---|--------|--|----|--|
| Ac | knov | wledgn | nents | iv | |
| 1 | Introduction | | | | |
| | 1.1 | Game | Theory | 2 | |
| | 1.2 | Game | Refinement Theory | 4 | |
| | 1.3 | Gamifi | ication | 6 | |
| | | 1.3.1 | Game Elements | 7 | |
| | | 1.3.2 | Game Design | 7 | |
| | | 1.3.3 | Non-game Context | 8 | |
| | 1.4 | Proble | em Statement | 8 | |
| | 1.5 | Struct | ture of The Thesis | 10 | |
| 2 | An | Overvi | iew of Game Refinement Theory | 11 | |
| | 2.1 | Chapt | er Introduction | 11 | |
| | 2.2 | Game | Progress Model in Boardgame | 12 | |
| | 2.3 | Game | Refinement Model in Continuous Movement Game | 14 | |
| | 2.4 | Chapt | er Summary | 17 | |
| 3 | Evolutionary Changes of MOBA game: From DotA to DOTA2 | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Chapt | er Introduction | 18 | |
| | 3.2 | MOBA | A Game | 19 | |
| | 3.3 | From | DotA to DOTA2 | 20 | |
| | | 3.3.1 | The Remarkable Changes of DotA Era | 20 | |
| | | 3.3.2 | Reborn: From DotA to DOTA 2 | 21 | |

| | | 3.3.3 The Remarkable Changes of DOTA 2 Era | 23 |
|------------|-----|--|----|
| | 3.4 | Analysis of DOTA2 by Using Game Refinement Theory | 27 |
| | | 3.4.1 Game Progress of DOTA2 | 27 |
| | | 3.4.2 Game Refinement Measure | 31 |
| | | 3.4.3 Rule Changes in 2011-2013: Towards More Skillful | 33 |
| | | 3.4.4 Rule Changes in 2014-2016: Towards More Popular | 34 |
| | | 3.4.5 High Prize | 35 |
| | 3.5 | Weak Point and Improvement | 35 |
| | | 3.5.1 Complex Game Information | 35 |
| | | 3.5.2 Improvement of Maintaining the Diversity of Heroes | 36 |
| | 3.6 | Chapter Summary | 37 |
| 4 | Ans | alyzing Gamification of Language-Learning Platform Duolingo | 39 |
| . ± | 4.1 | | 39 |
| | 4.2 | | 40 |
| | 7.2 | | 40 |
| | | | 41 |
| | 4.3 | Quantifying the Game Sophistication of Duolingo with focus on Course | |
| | 1.0 | Structure | 43 |
| | | 4.3.1 Data Collection | |
| | 4.4 | Chapter Summary | |
| | -7 | Const. Co | |
| 5 | An | Analysis of Gamification Effect of Frequent-Flyer Program | 48 |
| | 5.1 | Chapter Introduction | 48 |
| | 5.2 | Gamification | 50 |
| | | 5.2.1 Tier System | |
| | | 5.2.2 Points System | 52 |
| | 5.3 | Analyzing Gamification Effect | |
| | 5.4 | Chapter Summary | 55 |
| 6 | Μe | easuring the point system in the Starbucks Loyalty Program | 57 |
| | | Chapter Introduction | |
| | | Research Background | |

| | 6.3 | Starbucks Loyalty Program "My Starbucks Rewards" | 59 |
|------------------|--------|---|-----|
| | | 6.3.1 US | 59 |
| | | 6.3.2 China | 61 |
| | 6.4 | Assessment Methodology and Data Collection | 62 |
| | 6.5 | Evolution of Point System in Starbucks US Loyalty Program | 62 |
| | 6.6 | Analyzing the Point System | 63 |
| | 6.7 | Chapter Summary | 65 |
| 7 | Co | mprehensive Evaluation of the Game Elements of Hotel Loyalty Pro- | |
| | grai | m · | 67 |
| | 7.1 | Chapter Introduction | 67 |
| | 7.2 | Overview of Five Hotel Loyalty Programs | 70 |
| | | 7.2.1 Comparison of Hotel Loyalty Program | 71 |
| | | 7.2.2 Gamification Mechanics | 71 |
| | 7.3 | Analytic Hierarchy Process | 73 |
| | 7.4 | Game Refinement Theory | 75 |
| | | 7.4.1 Evaluation of Search Space for Customers | 77 |
| | | 7.4.2 Evaluation of Tier System and Point System | 78 |
| | | 7.4.3 Effectiveness of Points System | 82 |
| | 7.5 | Return on Investment | 84 |
| | 7.6 | Chapter Summary | 87 |
| 8 | Cor | nclusion and Future Work | 91 |
| | 8.1 | Concluding Remarks | 91 |
| | 8.2 | Answer to RQ1 and RQ2 | 93 |
| | 8.3 | Answer to Problem Statement | 95 |
| | 8.4 | Future Work | 95 |
| Bi | ibliog | graphy | 97 |
| \mathbf{P}_{1} | ublic | ations 1 | .05 |

List of Figures

| 2-1 | Illustration of one level of game tree | 15 |
|-----|---|----|
| 3-1 | History of M♠BA | 20 |
| 3-2 | The New Interface | 22 |
| 3-3 | Sceen shot of special boosters that spawn on the map | 26 |
| 3-4 | Screen shot of scan ability to detect any enemy Heroes in an area | 27 |
| 3-5 | Screen shot of level-up | 28 |
| 3-6 | Screen shot of Gold and Experience | 30 |
| 3-7 | GR values and prize pool of DOTA2 in 2011-2016 | 33 |
| 4-1 | GR when starting from Milestone 1 | 46 |
| 4-2 | GR values when starting from Milestone 3 | 47 |
| 5-1 | Measures of game refinement for tiers system from four FFPs | 53 |
| 5-2 | The trend of GR_P with increasing number of free segment redemption | 55 |
| 6-1 | Evolution timeline of Starbucks loyalty program | 60 |
| 6-2 | Game refinement value for point system in Gold Level with increasing num- | |
| | ber of free cups redemption | 64 |
| 7-1 | Comparison of five famous hotel groups | 71 |
| 7-2 | AHP modeling of hotel rewards selection | 74 |
| 7-3 | Consolidated decision matrix | 76 |
| 7-4 | Breakdown by each node and consistency check | 77 |
| 7-5 | Measures of game refinement (GR_T) for hotel loyalty program with focus | |
| | on qualifying nights: five hotel groups compared | 79 |
| 7-6 | Correlation Analysis | 89 |

| 8-1 | Interpretation of the Contribution(Grey Area) | 92 |
|-----|--|----|
| 8-2 | Interpretation of the relationship between tiers system and points system. | |
| | Both systems aim to bring customers to Loyalty Guest position in a differ- | |
| | ent path | 93 |

List of Tables

| 2.1 | Measures of game refinement for traditional boardgames | 14 |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.2 | Measures of game refinement for boardgames and sports games | 17 |
| 3.1 | Top 10 Game Awarding Prize money in 2015 | 24 |
| 3.2 | GR of Levelling up | 29 |
| 3.3 | Hero Kills | 31 |
| 3.4 | Measures of game refinement for DOTA2 series and prize at TI championship | 32 |
| 3.5 | Tournament prize in sports, mind sports and e-sports compared | 35 |
| 3.6 | Radiant: Team 1 | 36 |
| 3.7 | Dire: Team 2 | 36 |
| 4.1 | Popular languages in <i>Duolingo</i> | 44 |
| 4.2 | Measures of game refinement for <i>Duolingo</i> language course | 44 |
| 4.3 | Measures of game refinement for each milestone in EFSS course | 46 |
| 5.1 | Eastern Miles | 50 |
| 5.2 | Phoenix Miles | 51 |
| 5.3 | Sky Pearl Club | |
| 5.4 | Fortune Wings Club | 51 |
| 5.5 | Measures of game refinement for points system in Fortune Wings Club | 55 |
| 6.1 | GR_P values of different versions of Starbucks US loyalty program (point | |
| | system) in earning a free item in Gold Level | 62 |
| 6.2 | Differences between My Starbucks Rewards in US and China | 63 |
| 7.1 | The elite membership requirement and benefit: five hotel groups compared | 73 |
| 7.2 | Table of relative scores (2, 4, 6, 8 values in-between) | 75 |

| 7.3 | The Result of Computer Simulation by AHP | 76 |
|------|---|----|
| 7.4 | Measures of game refinement GR for hotel groups in different locations | |
| | (Shanghai/Tokyo/Paris) | 78 |
| 7.5 | Tiers system (Qualifying nights) | 78 |
| 7.6 | Measures of game refinement (GR_P) for hotel loyalty program in Shang- | |
| | hai/Tokyo/Paris with focus on free nights: five hotel groups compared $$. $$ | 81 |
| 7.7 | The ranking of points system based on GR_P values with considering the | |
| | location | 83 |
| 7.8 | The hotel loyalty program satisfaction of the US News & World Report | |
| | 2016-2017 | 83 |
| 7.9 | ROI of hotel loyalty program in Shanghai/Tokyo/Paris for different mem- | |
| | bership: five hotel groups compared | 85 |
| 7.10 | Evaluations of a hotel loyalty program with the quantity of customer mem- | |
| | bership(Million), AHP, game refinement measure 1, game refinement mea- | |
| | sure 2 and ROI in Shanghai/Tokyo/Paris: five hotel groups compared $$ | 86 |
| 8.1 | The Range of Game Refinement Value | 94 |