

Quasi-subtractive varieties

Tomasz Kowalski¹, Francesco Paoli¹, Matthew Spinks²

¹ Dept. of Education, University of Cagliari;

²Mathematical Institute, University of Bern.

Algebras in classical varieties have the property that their lattice of congruences is isomorphic to the lattice of some "special" subsets: e.g. normal subgroups of groups, two-sided ideals of rings, filters (or ideals) of Boolean algebras. Why do such pleasant theorems occur? A rather satisfactory explanation is usually provided along the following lines:

- In every member \mathbf{A} of a τ -regular variety \mathbb{V} - namely, a variety that arises as the equivalent algebraic semantics of an algebraisable deductive system - the lattice of congruences is isomorphic to the lattice of deductive filters on \mathbf{A} of the τ -assertional logic of \mathbb{V} ([1]; [2]; [3]).
- In the pointed case, if \mathbb{V} is 1-subtractive [7], then the deductive filters on $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{V}$ of the 1-assertional logic of \mathbb{V} coincide with the \mathbb{V} -ideals of \mathbf{A} in the sense of [5], which is even better due to the availability of a manageable concept of *ideal generation*.

However, ideal-congruence isomorphism theorems abound in the literature that are not subsumed by this picture: for example, the correspondence between open filters and congruences in pseudointerior algebras, or between deductive filters and congruences in residuated lattices, or between ideals and MV congruences in quasi-MV algebras. The aim of the present talk is to appropriately generalise the concepts of subtractivity and τ -regularity in such a way as to obtain a general framework encompassing all the previously mentioned results.

A variety \mathbb{V} whose type ν includes a nullary term 1 and a unary term \Box is called *quasi-subtractive* w.r.t. 1 and \Box iff there is a binary term \rightarrow of type ν s.t. \mathbb{V} satisfies the equations

- (i) $\Box x \rightarrow x \approx 1$ (iii) $\Box(x \rightarrow y) \approx x \rightarrow y$
(ii) $1 \rightarrow x \approx \Box x$ (iv) $\Box(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (\Box x \rightarrow \Box y) \approx 1$

If \Box is the identity, this definition collapses onto the standard definition of subtractive variety. If it is not, we call \mathbb{V} *properly* quasi-subtractive.

Examples include: subtractive varieties (and their nilpotent shifts), pseudointerior algebras, Boolean algebras with operators, residuated lattices, Nelson algebras, subresiduated lattices, basic algebras, quasi-MV algebras. Some of these varieties are not subtractive; some are but can be viewed as properly quasi-subtractive with a different choice of witness terms.

The most important tool for the investigation of quasi-subtractive varieties is the notion of *open filter*, which is to quasi-subtractive varieties as the concept of Gumm-Ursini ideal is to subtractive varieties. A \mathbb{V} -*open filter term* in the variables \vec{x} is an $n + m$ -ary term $p(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ of type ν s.t.

$$\{\Box x_i \approx 1 : i \leq n\} \vdash_{Eq(\mathbb{V})} \Box p(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \approx 1.$$

A \mathbb{V} -*open filter* of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{V}$ is a subset $F \subseteq A$ which is closed w.r.t. all \mathbb{V} -open filter terms p (i.e. whenever $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F, b_1, \dots, b_m \in A, p(\vec{a}, \vec{b}) \in F$) and such that for every $a \in A$, we have that $a \in F$ iff $\Box a \in F$.

Finally, a variety \mathbb{V} is called *weakly* $(\Box x, 1)$ -*regular* iff the $\{\Box x \approx 1\}$ -assertional logic $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V})$ of \mathbb{V} is strongly and finitely algebraisable.

We have achieved several results, including:

- 1) A proof that every quasi-subtractive variety \mathbb{V} is such that for all $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{V}$, every \mathbb{V} -open filter of \mathbf{A} is a τ -class of some $\theta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ (for $\tau = \{\Box x \approx 1\}$);
- 2) A proof that, if \mathbb{V} is quasi-subtractive and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{V}$, \mathbb{V} -open filters of \mathbf{A} coincide with deductive filters on \mathbf{A} of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V})$;
- 3) A manageable description of generated \mathbb{V} -open filters;
- 4) A decomposition of a quasi-subtractive variety as a subdirect product of a subtractive and a "flat" variety (together with conditions under which the decomposition is direct), having as corollaries the Galatos-Tsinakis decomposition theorem for GMV algebras [4] and the Jónsson-Tsinakis decomposition theorem for the join $\text{LG} \vee \text{IRL}$ in the lattice of subvarieties of residuated lattices [6];
- 5) An investigation of constructions generalising kernel contractions in residuated lattices;
- 6) A proof that, if \mathbb{V} is quasi-subtractive and weakly $(\Box x, 1)$ -regular and \mathbb{V}' is the equivalent algebraic semantics of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V})$, then in any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{V}$ there is a lattice isomorphism between the lattice of \mathbb{V} - \mathbb{V}' congruences on \mathbf{A} and the lattice of \mathbb{V} -open filters on \mathbf{A} .

In view of the examples mentioned above, we believe that the notion of quasi-subtractive variety could provide a common umbrella for the algebraic investigation of several families of logics, including substructural logics, modal logics, quantum logics, logics of constructive mathematics.

REFERENCES

- [1] Blok W.J., Pigozzi D., *Algebraizable Logics*, Memoirs of the AMS, number 396, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989.
- [2] Blok W.J., Raftery J.G., "Ideals in quasivarieties of algebras", in X. Caicedo and C.H. Montenegro (Eds.), *Models, Algebras and Proofs*, Dekker, New York, 1999, pp. 167-186.
- [3] Czelakowski, J., "Equivalential logics I", *Studia Logica*, 45, 1981, pp. 227-236.
- [4] Galatos N., Tsinakis C., "Generalized MV algebras", *Journal of Algebra*, 283, 1, 2005, pp. 254-291.
- [5] Gumm, H.P., Ursini, A., "Ideals in universal algebra", *Algebra Universalis*, 19, 1984, pp. 45-54.
- [6] Jónsson B., Tsinakis C., "Products of classes of residuated structures", *Studia Logica*, 77, 2004, pp. 267-292.
- [7] Ursini A., "On subtractive varieties I", *Algebra Universalis*, 31, 1994, pp. 204-222.