

# Quasi-subtractive varieties (part II)

Tomasz Kowalski, Francesco Paoli, Matthew Spinks

University of Cagliari (well, Lisbon, actually)

June 8, 2010

# Good and bad congruences

Congruences on an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **subtractive** variety can be:

# Good and bad congruences

Congruences on an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **subtractive** variety can be:

- Good: these  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  which are determined by  $1/\theta$ .

# Good and bad congruences

Congruences on an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **subtractive** variety can be:

- Good: these  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  which are determined by  $1/\theta$ .
- Bad: these that are not.

# Good and bad congruences

Congruences on an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **subtractive** variety can be:

- Good: these  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  which are determined by  $1/\theta$ .
- Bad: these that are not.

But at least  $1/\theta$  always behave!

# Good and bad congruences

Congruences on an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **subtractive** variety can be:

- Good: these  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  which are determined by  $1/\theta$ .
- Bad: these that are not.

But at least  $1/\theta$  always behave!

## Proposition

*Quasi-subtractivity deals with varieties where  $1/\theta$  may not behave. Subsets that behave **can be** bigger than  $1/\theta$ . Call them **open filters** generated by  $1/\theta$ .*

# Good and bad congruences

Congruences on an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **subtractive** variety can be:

- Good: these  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  which are determined by  $1/\theta$ .
- Bad: these that are not.

But at least  $1/\theta$  always behave!

## Proposition

*Quasi-subtractivity deals with varieties where  $1/\theta$  may not behave. Subsets that behave **can be** bigger than  $1/\theta$ . Call them **open filters** generated by  $1/\theta$ .*

Congruences on an  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **quasi-subtractive** variety can be:

## Good and bad congruences

Congruences on an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **subtractive** variety can be:

- Good: these  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  which are determined by  $1/\theta$ .
- Bad: these that are not.

But at least  $1/\theta$  always behave!

### Proposition

*Quasi-subtractivity deals with varieties where  $1/\theta$  may not behave. Subsets that behave **can be** bigger than  $1/\theta$ . Call them **open filters** generated by  $1/\theta$ .*

Congruences on an  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **quasi-subtractive** variety can be:

- Good:  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  such that (i)  $1/\theta$  is an open filter and (ii)  $\theta$  is determined by  $1/\theta$ .



# Good and bad congruences

Congruences on an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **subtractive** variety can be:

- Good: these  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  which are determined by  $1/\theta$ .
- Bad: these that are not.

But at least  $1/\theta$  always behave!

## Proposition

*Quasi-subtractivity deals with varieties where  $1/\theta$  may not behave. Subsets that behave **can be** bigger than  $1/\theta$ . Call them **open filters** generated by  $1/\theta$ .*

Congruences on an  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **quasi-subtractive** variety can be:

- Good:  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  such that (i)  $1/\theta$  **is** an open filter and (ii)  $\theta$  is determined by  $1/\theta$ .
- Bad: such that (i) holds but not (ii).

# Good and bad congruences

Congruences on an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **subtractive** variety can be:

- Good: these  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  which are determined by  $1/\theta$ .
- Bad: these that are not.

But at least  $1/\theta$  always behave!

## Proposition

*Quasi-subtractivity deals with varieties where  $1/\theta$  may not behave. Subsets that behave **can be** bigger than  $1/\theta$ . Call them **open filters** generated by  $1/\theta$ .*

Congruences on an  $\mathbf{A}$  from a **quasi-subtractive** variety can be:

- Good:  $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$  such that (i)  $1/\theta$  is an open filter and (ii)  $\theta$  is determined by  $1/\theta$ .
- Bad: such that (i) holds but not (ii).
- Ugly: all the rest.

# Open filters

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be quasi-subtractive, and  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ .

## Definition

An **open filter term** in the variables  $\mathbf{x}$  is an  $n + m$ -ary term  $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  such that  $\Box \mathbf{x} \approx 1$  implies  $\Box p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \approx 1$

If  $\Box$  is the identity, open filter terms are precisely **ideal terms** in the sense of Gumm-Ursini.

# Open filters

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be quasi-subtractive, and  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ .

## Definition

An **open filter term** in the variables  $\mathbf{x}$  is an  $n + m$ -ary term  $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  such that  $\Box \mathbf{x} \approx 1$  implies  $\Box p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \approx 1$

If  $\Box$  is the identity, open filter terms are precisely **ideal terms** in the sense of Gumm-Ursini.

## Definition

An **open filter** of  $\mathbf{A}$  is a subset  $F \subseteq A$  such that:

- ① if  $p$  is an open filter term, and  $\mathbf{a} \in F, \mathbf{b} \in A$ , then  $p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in F$
- ②  $a \in F$  iff  $\Box a \in F$

# Open filter generation

For a set  $X \subseteq A$ , we put  $\Gamma(X)$  to be the closure of  $X$  under open filter terms, and  $\uparrow X$  to be  $\Box^{-1}(X)$ .

# Open filter generation

For a set  $X \subseteq A$ , we put  $\Gamma(X)$  to be the closure of  $X$  under open filter terms, and  $\uparrow X$  to be  $\square^{-1}(X)$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a quasi-subtractive variety,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $F \subseteq A$  is an open filter iff  $F = \uparrow\{1/\theta\}$  for some congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathbf{A}$ .*

# Open filter generation

For a set  $X \subseteq A$ , we put  $\Gamma(X)$  to be the closure of  $X$  under open filter terms, and  $\uparrow X$  to be  $\square^{-1}(X)$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a quasi-subtractive variety,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $F \subseteq A$  is an open filter iff  $F = \uparrow\{1/\theta\}$  for some congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathbf{A}$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a quasi-subtractive variety,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $X \subseteq A$ . The open filter  $\uparrow\Gamma X$  generated by  $X$  is precisely  $\uparrow\Gamma X$ .*

# Open filter generation

For a set  $X \subseteq A$ , we put  $\Gamma(X)$  to be the closure of  $X$  under open filter terms, and  $\uparrow X$  to be  $\square^{-1}(X)$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a quasi-subtractive variety,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $F \subseteq A$  is an open filter iff  $F = \uparrow\{1/\theta\}$  for some congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathbf{A}$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a quasi-subtractive variety,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $X \subseteq A$ . The open filter  $\langle X \rangle$  generated by  $X$  is precisely  $\uparrow\Gamma X$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a quasi-subtractive variety, and  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ . The set of open filters of  $\mathbf{A}$  under the operations of  $\_ \cap \_$  and  $\uparrow\Gamma(\_ \cup \_)$ , forms an algebraic modular lattice.*



# Open and flat subvarieties

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be quasi-subtractive. The subvariety  $\mathcal{V}_O$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  defined by  $\Box x \approx x$  we call **open**. Any subvariety whose intersection with  $\mathcal{V}_O$  is trivial, we call **flat**.

# Open and flat subvarieties

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be quasi-subtractive. The subvariety  $\mathcal{V}_O$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  defined by  $\Box x \approx x$  we call **open**. Any subvariety whose intersection with  $\mathcal{V}_O$  is trivial, we call **flat**.

## Lemma

*Let  $\mathcal{V}_F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  be flat. Then, there exists a unary term  $\Box x$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_O \models \Box x \approx x$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F \models \Box x \approx 1$ .*

# Open and flat subvarieties

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be quasi-subtractive. The subvariety  $\mathcal{V}_O$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  defined by  $\Box x \approx x$  we call **open**. Any subvariety whose intersection with  $\mathcal{V}_O$  is trivial, we call **flat**.

## Lemma

*Let  $\mathcal{V}_F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  be flat. Then, there exists a unary term  $\Box x$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_O \models \Box x \approx x$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F \models \Box x \approx 1$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  be varieties. We write  $\mathcal{V}_1 \times_s \mathcal{V}_2$  for the class  $\{\mathbf{A} \leftrightarrow_s \mathbf{B}_1 \times \mathbf{B}_2 : \mathbf{B}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ and } \mathbf{B}_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2\}$ .

# Open and flat subvarieties

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be quasi-subtractive. The subvariety  $\mathcal{V}_O$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  defined by  $\Box x \approx x$  we call **open**. Any subvariety whose intersection with  $\mathcal{V}_O$  is trivial, we call **flat**.

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{V}_F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  be flat. Then, there exists a unary term  $\Box x$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_O \models \Box x \approx x$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F \models \Box x \approx 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  be varieties. We write  $\mathcal{V}_1 \times_s \mathcal{V}_2$  for the class  $\{\mathbf{A} \leftrightarrow_s \mathbf{B}_1 \times \mathbf{B}_2 : \mathbf{B}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ and } \mathbf{B}_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2\}$ .

## Theorem

If  $\Box$  commutes with all operations not preserving  $\{1\}$  on all algebras in  $\mathcal{V}_O \cup \mathcal{V}_F$ , then  $\mathcal{V}_O \vee \mathcal{V}_F = \mathcal{V}_O \times_s \mathcal{V}_F$ .

# Known results as corollaries

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a quasi-subtractive variety with open and flat subvarieties  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  such that, for some binary term  $x \circ y$  and unary term  $\Box$ , the following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{V}_O \models \Box x \approx 1, \quad x \circ 1 \approx x$
- 2  $\mathcal{V}_F \models \Box x \approx x, \quad 1 \circ x \approx x.$

# Known results as corollaries

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a quasi-subtractive variety with open and flat subvarieties  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  such that, for some binary term  $x \circ y$  and unary term  $\Box$ , the following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{V}_O \models \Box x \approx 1, \quad x \circ 1 \approx x$
- 2  $\mathcal{V}_F \models \Box x \approx x, \quad 1 \circ x \approx x.$

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  be as above. Then  $\mathcal{V}_O \vee \mathcal{V}_F = \mathcal{V}_O \times \mathcal{V}_F.$*

# Known results as corollaries

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a quasi-subtractive variety with open and flat subvarieties  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  such that, for some binary term  $x \circ y$  and unary term  $\Box$ , the following hold:

- 1  $\mathcal{V}_O \models \Box x \approx 1, \quad x \circ 1 \approx x$
- 2  $\mathcal{V}_F \models \Box x \approx x, \quad 1 \circ x \approx x.$

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  be as above. Then  $\mathcal{V}_O \vee \mathcal{V}_F = \mathcal{V}_O \times \mathcal{V}_F.$

Some known direct decomposition theorems become corollaries. Such are the decomposition theorems for certain varieties of residuated lattices, due to Jónsson-Tsinakis and Galatos-Tsinakis, or for *sircomonoids* due to Raftery-Van Alten.

## Unknown results as corollaries

Varieties  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  are **independent** if there is a binary term  $x \star y$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_1 \models x \star y = x$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2 \models x \star y = y$ .



## Unknown results as corollaries

Varieties  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  are **independent** if there is a binary term  $x \star y$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_1 \models x \star y = x$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2 \models x \star y = y$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  be varieties of groups. Then,  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  are independent if and only if there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_1 \models x^k = e$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2 \models x^{k-1} = e$ , up to renumbering of  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$ . Moreover, if both  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  are nontrivial, then  $k > 2$ .*

# Unknown results as corollaries

Varieties  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  are **independent** if there is a binary term  $x \star y$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_1 \models x \star y = x$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2 \models x \star y = y$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  be varieties of groups. Then,  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  are independent if and only if there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_1 \models x^k = e$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2 \models x^{k-1} = e$ , up to renumbering of  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$ . Moreover, if both  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  are nontrivial, then  $k > 2$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety of groups. The following are equivalent.*

- 1  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfies the identities  $x^{k(k-1)} = e$  and  $(xy)^{1-k}(zu)^k = x^{1-k}z^ky^{1-k}u^k$  for some  $k > 1$ .
- 2  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_2$ , for independent varieties  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$ .

# Transfer of CEP and AP

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  constitute an open-flat decomposition of a quasi-subtractive variety  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then, the variety  $\mathcal{V}$  has CEP if and only if both  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  have CEP.*

# Transfer of CEP and AP

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  constitute an open-flat decomposition of a quasi-subtractive variety  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then, the variety  $\mathcal{V}$  has CEP if and only if both  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  have CEP.*

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  constitute an open-flat decomposition of a quasi-subtractive variety  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then,*

- 1  $\mathcal{V}$  has AP iff  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  have AP,
- 2  $\mathcal{V}$  has SAP iff  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$  have SAP.

# Open contractions

For any term  $t(\mathbf{x})$ , we define its **open translation**  $t^\square$  inductively:

- $x^\square = x$ , for a variable  $x$ ,
- $o^\square(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \square o(t_1^\square, \dots, t_k^\square)$ , for a  $k$ -ary basic operation  $o$  and terms  $t_1, \dots, t_k$ .

# Open contractions

For any term  $t(\mathbf{x})$ , we define its **open translation**  $t^\square$  inductively:

- $x^\square = x$ , for a variable  $x$ ,
- $o^\square(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \square o(t_1^\square, \dots, t_k^\square)$ , for a  $k$ -ary basic operation  $o$  and terms  $t_1, \dots, t_k$ .

On  $A^\square = \{a \in A : \square a = a\}$ , we define operations, putting  $(o^\square)_{o \in O}$ , where  $O$  is the set of all basic operations in the type. Then  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is the algebra  $\langle A^\square, (o^\square)_{o \in O} \rangle$ .

# Open contractions

For any term  $t(\mathbf{x})$ , we define its **open translation**  $t^\square$  inductively:

- $x^\square = x$ , for a variable  $x$ ,
- $o^\square(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \square o(t_1^\square, \dots, t_k^\square)$ , for a  $k$ -ary basic operation  $o$  and terms  $t_1, \dots, t_k$ .

On  $A^\square = \{a \in A : \square a = a\}$ , we define operations, putting  $(o^\square)_{o \in O}$ , where  $O$  is the set of all basic operations in the type. Then  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is the algebra  $\langle A^\square, (o^\square)_{o \in O} \rangle$ . Since  $\square$  is idempotent, everything is hunky-dory. In particular, the construction is functorial.

# Open contractions

For any term  $t(\mathbf{x})$ , we define its **open translation**  $t^\square$  inductively:

- $x^\square = x$ , for a variable  $x$ ,
- $o^\square(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \square o(t_1^\square, \dots, t_k^\square)$ , for a  $k$ -ary basic operation  $o$  and terms  $t_1, \dots, t_k$ .

On  $A^\square = \{a \in A : \square a = a\}$ , we define operations, putting  $(o^\square)_{o \in O}$ , where  $O$  is the set of all basic operations in the type. Then  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is the algebra  $\langle A^\square, (o^\square)_{o \in O} \rangle$ . Since  $\square$  is idempotent, everything is hunky-dory. In particular, the construction is functorial. But we need another slide ...



# Functoriality

## Lemma

Let  $h: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  be a homomorphism. Then,  $h|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}: \mathbf{A}^\square \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\square$  is a homomorphism, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbf{B} \\
 \square \downarrow & & \square \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{A}^\square & \xrightarrow{h|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}} & \mathbf{B}^\square
 \end{array}$$

commutes. In particular, if  $\theta$  is a congruence on  $\mathbf{A}$ , then  $\theta|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}$  is a congruence on  $\mathbf{A}^\square$ .

# Functoriality

## Lemma

Let  $h: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  be a homomorphism. Then,  $h|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}: \mathbf{A}^\square \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\square$  is a homomorphism, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbf{B} \\
 \square \downarrow & & \square \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{A}^\square & \xrightarrow{h|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}} & \mathbf{B}^\square
 \end{array}$$

commutes. In particular, if  $\theta$  is a congruence on  $\mathbf{A}$ , then  $\theta|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}$  is a congruence on  $\mathbf{A}^\square$ .

Suppose  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ . In general,  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  may not belong to  $\mathcal{V}$ . Things begin to improve if the open translation preserves some structure.

# Divide ...

Let  $\mathcal{V}^\square = \{\mathbf{A}^\square : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . An open contraction  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is:

# Divide ...

Let  $\mathcal{V}^\square = \{\mathbf{A}^\square : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . An open contraction  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is:

- **smooth**, if  $\square^\square$  and  $\rightarrow^\square$  coincide on  $A^\square$  with  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ .

# Divide ...

Let  $\mathcal{V}^\square = \{\mathbf{A}^\square : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . An open contraction  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is:

- **smooth**, if  $\square^\square$  and  $\rightarrow^\square$  coincide on  $A^\square$  with  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ .
- **contractive**, if  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  implies  $\mathbf{A}^\square \in \mathcal{V}$ .

## Divide ...

Let  $\mathcal{V}^\square = \{\mathbf{A}^\square : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . An open contraction  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is:

- **smooth**, if  $\square^\square$  and  $\rightarrow^\square$  coincide on  $A^\square$  with  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ .
- **contractive**, if  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  implies  $\mathbf{A}^\square \in \mathcal{V}$ .
- **invertible**, if for every algebra  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  and every congruence  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  there is a congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\varphi = \theta|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}$ .

## Divide ...

Let  $\mathcal{V}^\square = \{\mathbf{A}^\square : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . An open contraction  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is:

- **smooth**, if  $\square^\square$  and  $\rightarrow^\square$  coincide on  $A^\square$  with  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ .
- **contractive**, if  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  implies  $\mathbf{A}^\square \in \mathcal{V}$ .
- **invertible**, if for every algebra  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  and every congruence  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  there is a congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\varphi = \theta|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}$ .

Some scattered facts on these:

## Divide ...

Let  $\mathcal{V}^\square = \{\mathbf{A}^\square : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . An open contraction  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is:

- **smooth**, if  $\square^\square$  and  $\rightarrow^\square$  coincide on  $A^\square$  with  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ .
- **contractive**, if  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  implies  $\mathbf{A}^\square \in \mathcal{V}$ .
- **invertible**, if for every algebra  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  and every congruence  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  there is a congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\varphi = \theta|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}$ .

Some scattered facts on these:

- There exist non-smooth open translations.



## Divide ...

Let  $\mathcal{V}^\square = \{\mathbf{A}^\square : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . An open contraction  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is:

- **smooth**, if  $\square^\square$  and  $\rightarrow^\square$  coincide on  $A^\square$  with  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ .
- **contractive**, if  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  implies  $\mathbf{A}^\square \in \mathcal{V}$ .
- **invertible**, if for every algebra  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  and every congruence  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  there is a congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\varphi = \theta|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}$ .

Some scattered facts on these:

- There exist non-smooth open translations.
- The notions are pairwise independent (e.g., translation from  $\ell$ -groups to their negative cones is invertible, but not contractive; translation from residuated lattices to negative cones is contractive but not invertible).

## Divide ...

Let  $\mathcal{V}^\square = \{\mathbf{A}^\square : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . An open contraction  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is:

- **smooth**, if  $\square^\square$  and  $\rightarrow^\square$  coincide on  $A^\square$  with  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ .
- **contractive**, if  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  implies  $\mathbf{A}^\square \in \mathcal{V}$ .
- **invertible**, if for every algebra  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  and every congruence  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  there is a congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\varphi = \theta|_{\mathbf{A}^\square}$ .

Some scattered facts on these:

- There exist non-smooth open translations.
- The notions are pairwise independent (e.g., translation from  $\ell$ -groups to their negative cones is invertible, but not contractive; translation from residuated lattices to negative cones is contractive but not invertible).
- A subvariety of a contractive variety may fail to be contractive.

## ... et impera

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be quasi-subtractive with witness terms  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ . If  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is smooth, then  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is subtractive with witness term  $\rightarrow^\square$ .*

## ... et impera

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be quasi-subtractive with witness terms  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ . If  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is smooth, then  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is subtractive with witness term  $\rightarrow^\square$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be smooth and contractive. Then, the class  $\mathcal{V}^\square$  is a variety and it coincides with  $\mathcal{V}_O$ , the open subvariety of  $\mathcal{V}$ .*

## ... et impera

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be quasi-subtractive with witness terms  $\square$  and  $\rightarrow$ . If  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is smooth, then  $\mathbf{A}^\square$  is subtractive with witness term  $\rightarrow^\square$ .

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be smooth and contractive. Then, the class  $\mathcal{V}^\square$  is a variety and it coincides with  $\mathcal{V}_O$ , the open subvariety of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be smooth and invertible and  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ . Suppose  $F$  is a  $\mathcal{V}^\square$ -open filter on  $\mathbf{A}^\square$ . Then,  $\uparrow F$  is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -open filter on  $\mathbf{A}$ .

## Bases for contractive varieties

An identity  $t(\mathbf{x}) \approx s(\mathbf{x})$  will be called **stable** if it survives open translation, that is, if

- $\mathcal{V} \models t(\mathbf{x}) \approx s(\mathbf{x})$ , and
- $\mathcal{V} \models t^\square(\square\mathbf{x}) \approx s^\square(\square\mathbf{x})$ ,

where  $t^\square$  and  $s^\square$  are the respective open translations of  $t$  and  $s$ .

# Bases for contractive varieties

An identity  $t(\mathbf{x}) \approx s(\mathbf{x})$  will be called **stable** if it survives open translation, that is, if

- $\mathcal{V} \models t(\mathbf{x}) \approx s(\mathbf{x})$ , and
- $\mathcal{V} \models t^\square(\square\mathbf{x}) \approx s^\square(\square\mathbf{x})$ ,

where  $t^\square$  and  $s^\square$  are the respective open translations of  $t$  and  $s$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be quasi-subtractive. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1  *$\mathcal{V}$  is contractive;*
- 2  *$\mathcal{V}$  has a basis of stable identities;*
- 3 *every basis of  $\mathcal{V}$  consists of stable identities;*
- 4 *the equational theory of  $\mathcal{V}$  consists of stable identities.*

# Examples of open contractions

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of quasi-MV algebras, and  $\Box x = x \oplus 0$ ,  $x \rightarrow y = \neg x \oplus y$ . Then,  $\mathcal{V}^\Box \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  is the variety of MV algebras.



# Examples of open contractions

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of quasi-MV algebras, and  $\Box x = x \oplus 0$ ,  $x \rightarrow y = \neg x \oplus y$ . Then,  $\mathcal{V}^\Box \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  is the variety of MV algebras.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of residuated lattices, and  $\Box x = x \wedge 1$ ,  $x \rightarrow y = x \setminus y$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}^\Box \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  is the variety of integral residuated lattices, and the translation is known as the negative cone contraction.

# Examples of open contractions

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of quasi-MV algebras, and  $\Box x = x \oplus 0$ ,  $x \rightarrow y = \neg x \oplus y$ . Then,  $\mathcal{V}^\Box \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  is the variety of MV algebras.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of residuated lattices, and  $\Box x = x \wedge 1$ ,  $x \rightarrow y = x \setminus y$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}^\Box \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  is the variety of integral residuated lattices, and the translation is known as the negative cone contraction.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of  $\ell$ -groups, and  $\Box x = x \wedge 1$ ,  $x \rightarrow y = x^{-1}y$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}^\Box$  is the variety of negative cones of  $\ell$ -groups, and  $\mathcal{V} \vee \mathcal{V}^\Box = \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}^\Box$ .

# Open contractions as translations between logics

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of interior algebras and  $\Box x$  be the interior operator. Then  $\mathcal{V}^{\Box}$  is the variety of Heyting algebras, and the open translation is the usual Gödel translation.

# Open contractions as translations between logics

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of interior algebras and  $\Box x$  be the interior operator. Then  $\mathcal{V}^\Box$  is the variety of Heyting algebras, and the open translation is the usual Gödel translation.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of Heyting algebras and  $\Box x = \neg\neg x$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}^\Box \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  is the variety of Boolean algebras, and the open translation is the usual Glivenko translation.

# Open contractions as translations between logics

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of interior algebras and  $\Box x$  be the interior operator. Then  $\mathcal{V}^\Box$  is the variety of Heyting algebras, and the open translation is the usual Gödel translation.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of Heyting algebras and  $\Box x = \neg\neg x$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}^\Box \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  is the variety of Boolean algebras, and the open translation is the usual Glivenko translation.

## Example

Same for any varieties  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  of FL-algebras, such that  $\mathcal{V}$  has the **Glivenko property** with respect to  $\mathcal{W}$ . Actually, **left** or **right Glivenko property** suffices.

# Some open problems

## Problem

*Develop a theory of open contractions. In particular, investigate the properties of smoothness, contractivity and invertibility.*

## Some open problems

### Problem

*Develop a theory of open contractions. In particular, investigate the properties of smoothness, contractivity and invertibility.*

### Problem

*If  $\mathcal{V}$  decomposes **subdirectly** into  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$ , can a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$  be obtained algorithmically from bases for  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$ ? If the decomposition is direct, the answer is well-known to be affirmative.*

## Some open problems

### Problem

*Develop a theory of open contractions. In particular, investigate the properties of smoothness, contractivity and invertibility.*

### Problem

*If  $\mathcal{V}$  decomposes **subdirectly** into  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$ , can a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$  be obtained algorithmically from bases for  $\mathcal{V}_O$  and  $\mathcal{V}_F$ ? If the decomposition is direct, the answer is well-known to be affirmative.*

### Problem

*Develop a theory of translations. What properties do they preserve? Under what conditions?*



# Some open problems

## Problem

*Sometimes (e.g., in RLs) Gumm-Ursini ideals and open filters do not coincide, yet there are only good congruences. What general feature is responsible for this property?*

## Some open problems

### Problem

*Sometimes (e.g., in RLs) Gumm-Ursini ideals and open filters do not coincide, yet there are only good congruences. What general feature is responsible for this property?*

### Problem

*Develop a commutator theory for open filters.*

## Some open problems

### Problem

*Sometimes (e.g., in RLs) Gumm-Ursini ideals and open filters do not coincide, yet there are only good congruences. What general feature is responsible for this property?*

### Problem

*Develop a commutator theory for open filters.*

### Problem

*Investigate the bad and the ugly congruences. Do they have something to do with presence of types 1 and 2 in the sense of Tame Congruence Theory?*

## Some open problems

### Problem

*Open-flat decompositions are intimately connected with certain weakenings of independence (see T.K. and F. Paoli, “Joins and subdirect products of varieties”, Algebra Universalis, in print). Investigate this connection in some detail.*

## Some open problems

### Problem

*Open-flat decompositions are intimately connected with certain weakenings of independence (see T.K. and F. Paoli, “Joins and subdirect products of varieties”, Algebra Universalis, in print). Investigate this connection in some detail.*

### Problem

*Prove direct and/or subdirect decomposition theorems for some interesting classes of groupoids (maybe loops?).*

## Some open problems

### Problem

*Open-flat decompositions are intimately connected with certain weakenings of independence (see T.K. and F. Paoli, “Joins and subdirect products of varieties”, Algebra Universalis, in print). Investigate this connection in some detail.*

### Problem

*Prove direct and/or subdirect decomposition theorems for some interesting classes of groupoids (maybe loops?).*

### Problem

*How does the **super-amalgamation** property (SupAP) in the sense of Maximova fare with respect to open-flat decompositions?*