

Filter theory of bounded residuated lattice ordered monoids

Dana Šalounová

VŠB–Technical University Ostrava
Czech Republic

`dana.salounova@vsb.cz`

Algebra and Substructural Logics

Nomi, June 8–10 2010

Outline

- Background
- Filters and congruences
- Types of filters of non-commutative $R\ell$ -monoids
- Examples

Bounded $R\ell$ -monoids

Definition

A **bounded $R\ell$ -monoid** is an algebra $M = (M; \odot, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \rightsquigarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$ satisfying:

- $(M; \odot, 1)$ is a monoid;
- $(M; \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice;
- $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ iff $y \leq x \rightsquigarrow z$;
- $(x \rightarrow y) \odot x = x \wedge y = y \odot (y \rightsquigarrow x)$.

Bounded $R\ell$ -monoids

Remarks

- $(M; \vee, \wedge)$ is distributive,
- \odot distributes over the lattice operations,
- bounded $R\ell$ -monoids form a variety of algebras,
- they are a particular case of bounded non-commutative residuated lattices (satisfying divisibility),
- they can be recognized as bounded integral generalized BL -algebras,
- they are FL_w -algebras satisfying divisibility.

Bounded Rl -monoids

Additional unary operations:

$$x^- := x \rightarrow 0$$

$$x^\sim := x \rightsquigarrow 0$$

In what follows, an Rl -monoid is a bounded Rl -monoid.

If " \odot " is commutative then an Rl -monoid is called **commutative**.
In such a case, " \rightarrow " = " \rightsquigarrow " and " $-$ " = " \sim ".

Bounded Rl -monoids

Additional unary operations:

$$x^- := x \rightarrow 0$$

$$x^\sim := x \rightsquigarrow 0$$

In what follows, an Rl -monoid is a bounded Rl -monoid.

If " \odot " is commutative then an Rl -monoid is called **commutative**.
In such a case, " \rightarrow " = " \rightsquigarrow " and " $-$ " = " \sim ".

Bounded Rl -monoids

Additional unary operations:

$$x^- := x \rightarrow 0$$

$$x^\sim := x \rightsquigarrow 0$$

In what follows, an Rl -monoid is a bounded Rl -monoid.

If " \odot " is commutative then an Rl -monoid is called **commutative**.
In such a case, " \rightarrow " = " \rightsquigarrow " and " $-$ " = " \sim ".

Special cases of $R\ell$ -monoids

Characterization of the algebras of propositional logics in the class of $R\ell$ -monoids:

An $R\ell$ -monoid M is

- a pseudo BL -algebra iff

$$(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1 = (x \rightsquigarrow y) \vee (y \rightsquigarrow x);$$

algebras of Hájek's non-commutative basic fuzzy logic

- a GMV -algebra (pseudo MV -algebra) iff

$$x^{-\rightsquigarrow} = x = x^{\rightsquigarrow-};$$

algebras of non-commutative Łukasiewicz logic

- a Heyting algebra iff

$$x \odot x = x \quad (" \odot " = " \wedge ").$$

algebras of intuitionistic logic

Special cases of Rl -monoids

Characterization of the algebras of propositional logics in the class of Rl -monoids:

An Rl -monoid M is

- a pseudo BL -algebra iff

$$(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1 = (x \rightsquigarrow y) \vee (y \rightsquigarrow x);$$

algebras of Hájek's non-commutative basic fuzzy logic

- a GMV -algebra (pseudo MV -algebra) iff

$$x^{-\rightsquigarrow} = x = x^{\rightsquigarrow-};$$

algebras of non-commutative Łukasiewicz logic

- a Heyting algebra iff

$$x \odot x = x \quad (" \odot " = " \wedge ").$$

algebras of intuitionistic logic

Special cases of Rl -monoids

Characterization of the algebras of propositional logics in the class of Rl -monoids:

An Rl -monoid M is

- a **pseudo BL -algebra** iff

$$(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1 = (x \rightsquigarrow y) \vee (y \rightsquigarrow x);$$

algebras of Hájek's non-commutative basic fuzzy logic

- a **GMV -algebra** (pseudo MV -algebra) iff

$$x^{-\rightsquigarrow} = x = x^{\rightsquigarrow-};$$

algebras of non-commutative Łukasiewicz logic

- a **Heyting algebra** iff

$$x \odot x = x \quad (" \odot " = " \wedge ").$$

algebras of intuitionistic logic

Special cases of Rl -monoids

Characterization of the algebras of propositional logics in the class of Rl -monoids:

An Rl -monoid M is

- a **pseudo BL -algebra** iff

$$(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1 = (x \rightsquigarrow y) \vee (y \rightsquigarrow x);$$

algebras of Hájek's non-commutative basic fuzzy logic

- a **GMV -algebra** (pseudo MV -algebra) iff

$$x^{-\rightsquigarrow} = x = x^{\rightsquigarrow-};$$

algebras of non-commutative Łukasiewicz logic

- a **Heyting algebra** iff

$$x \odot x = x \quad (" \odot " = " \wedge ").$$

algebras of intuitionistic logic

Properties of bounded $R\ell$ -monoids

1. $x \leq y \iff x \rightarrow y = 1 \iff x \rightsquigarrow y = 1.$
2. $x \leq y \implies z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y, \quad z \rightsquigarrow x \leq z \rightsquigarrow y.$
3. $x \leq y \implies y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z, \quad y \rightsquigarrow z \leq x \rightsquigarrow z.$
4. $x \rightarrow x = 1 = x \rightsquigarrow x, \quad 1 \rightarrow x = x = 1 \rightsquigarrow x,$
 $x \rightarrow 1 = 1 = x \rightsquigarrow 1.$
5. $(x \rightarrow y) \odot x \leq x \leq y \rightarrow (x \odot y),$
 $x \odot (x \rightsquigarrow y) \leq y \leq x \rightsquigarrow (x \odot y).$
6. $1^{-\sim} = 1 = 1^{\sim-}, \quad 0^{-\sim} = 0 = 0^{\sim-}.$
7. $x \leq x^{-\sim}, \quad x \leq x^{\sim-}.$
8. $x^{-\sim-} = x^{-}, \quad x^{\sim--} = x^{\sim}.$
9. $x^{-} \odot x = 0 = x \odot x^{\sim}.$
10. $x \leq y \implies y^{-} \leq x^{-}, \quad y^{\sim} \leq x^{\sim}.$

Properties of bounded $R\ell$ -monoids

$$12. \quad x \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow y, \quad x \leq (x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow y.$$

$$13. \quad y \leq x \rightarrow y, \quad y \leq x \rightsquigarrow y.$$

$$14. \quad x \rightsquigarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightsquigarrow z).$$

$$15. \quad x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \odot y) \rightarrow z, \\ x \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow z) = (y \odot x) \rightsquigarrow z.$$

$$16. \quad x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightsquigarrow (x \rightarrow z), \\ x \rightsquigarrow y \leq (y \rightsquigarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightsquigarrow z).$$

$$17. \quad y \rightarrow z \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z), \\ y \rightsquigarrow z \leq (x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightsquigarrow (x \rightsquigarrow z).$$

$$18. \quad x \rightarrow y \leq y^- \rightsquigarrow x^-, \quad x \rightsquigarrow y \leq y^\sim \rightarrow x^\sim.$$

$$19. \quad x \rightarrow (y \wedge z) = (x \rightarrow y) \wedge (x \rightarrow z), \\ x \rightsquigarrow (y \wedge z) = (x \rightsquigarrow y) \wedge x \rightsquigarrow z.$$

Filters

A non-empty subset F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called a *filter* of M if

- (F1) $x, y \in F$ imply $x \odot y \in F$;
- (F2) $x \in F, y \in M, x \leq y$ imply $y \in F$.

▸ References

Filters

A non-empty subset F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called a *filter* of M if

$$(F1) \quad x, y \in F \text{ imply } x \odot y \in F;$$

$$(F2) \quad x \in F, y \in M, x \leq y \text{ imply } y \in F.$$

► References

Denote by $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the complete lattice of filters of M .

Infima in $\mathcal{F}(M)$ coincide with intersections.

$\mathcal{F}(M)$ is a complete Heyting algebra, hence

$$G \cap \bigvee_{i \in I} F_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} (G \cap F_i), \text{ for any } G, F_i \in \mathcal{F}(M), i \in I.$$

Filters and congruences

A non-empty subset F of an Rl -monoid M is called a *filter* of M if

- (F1) $x, y \in F$ imply $x \odot y \in F$;
 (F2) $x \in F, y \in M, x \leq y$ imply $y \in F$.

► References

A filter F is called *normal* if for each $x, y \in M$

- (F3) $x \rightarrow y \in F \iff x \rightsquigarrow y \in F$.

normal filters of $M \iff$ kernels of congruences on M ;

If F is a normal filter of M , then F is the kernel of the unique congruence $\Theta(F)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in \Theta(F) &\iff (x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x) \in F \\ &\iff (x \rightsquigarrow y) \wedge (y \rightsquigarrow x) \in F. \end{aligned}$$

Filters and congruences

A non-empty subset F of an Rl -monoid M is called a *filter* of M if

- (F1) $x, y \in F$ imply $x \odot y \in F$;
 (F2) $x \in F, y \in M, x \leq y$ imply $y \in F$.

► References

A filter F is called *normal* if for each $x, y \in M$

- (F3) $x \rightarrow y \in F \iff x \rightsquigarrow y \in F$.

normal filters of $M \iff$ kernels of congruences on M ;

If F is a normal filter of M , then F is the kernel of the unique congruence $\Theta(F)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle \in \Theta(F) &\iff (x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x) \in F \\ &\iff (x \rightsquigarrow y) \wedge (y \rightsquigarrow x) \in F. \end{aligned}$$

Filters and deductive systems



Dvurečenskij A., Rachůnek J. (2006)

Probabilistic averaging in bounded $R\ell$ -monoids. Semigroup Forum 72.

$H \subseteq M$ is a filter of M iff

H is a deductive system of M

Filters and deductive systems

A subset D of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called a *deductive system* of M if

$$(d1) \quad 1 \in D;$$

$$(d2) \quad x \in D, x \rightarrow y \in D \text{ imply } y \in D.$$



Dvurečenskij A., Rachůnek J. (2006)

Probabilistic averaging in bounded $R\ell$ -monoids. Semigroup Forum 72.

$H \subseteq M$ is a filter of M iff

H is a deductive system of M iff

H satisfies (1) and

$$(d2') \quad x \in D, x \rightsquigarrow y \in D \text{ imply } y \in D.$$

Filters and deductive systems

A subset D of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called a *deductive system* of M if

$$(d1) \quad 1 \in D;$$

$$(d2) \quad x \in D, x \rightarrow y \in D \text{ imply } y \in D.$$



Dvurečenskij A., Rachůnek J. (2006)

Probabilistic averaging in bounded $R\ell$ -monoids. Semigroup Forum 72.

$H \subseteq M$ is a filter of M iff

H is a deductive system of M iff

H satisfies (1) and

$$(d2') \quad x \in D, x \rightsquigarrow y \in D \text{ imply } y \in D.$$

Implicative filters

A subset F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called an *implicative filter* of M if

- (1) $1 \in F$;
- (2) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \in F, x \rightsquigarrow y \in F$ imply $x \rightarrow z \in F$,
 $x \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow z) \in F, x \rightarrow y \in F$ imply $x \rightsquigarrow z \in F$.

Proposition

Every implicative filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is a filter of M .

Implicative filters

A subset F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called an *implicative filter* of M if

- (1) $1 \in F$;
- (2) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \in F, x \rightsquigarrow y \in F$ imply $x \rightarrow z \in F$,
 $x \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow z) \in F, x \rightarrow y \in F$ imply $x \rightsquigarrow z \in F$.

Proposition

Every implicative filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is a filter of M .

Implicative filters

For a filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M and $a \in M$:

$$M_a := \{x \in M : a \rightarrow x \in F\}.$$

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then F is an implicative filter of M if and only if M_a is a filter of M for every $a \in M$.

Implicative filters

For a filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M and $a \in M$:

$$M_a := \{x \in M : a \rightarrow x \in F\}.$$

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then F is an implicative filter of M if and only if M_a is a filter of M for every $a \in M$.

Implicative filters

Proposition

If F is an implicative filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , then $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) \in F$ and $x \rightsquigarrow (x \odot x) \in F$ for any $x \in M$.

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then F is implicative in M if and only if $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) \in F$, for any $x \in M$.

Theorem

If F_1 and F_2 are normal filters of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , $F_1 \subseteq F_2$ and F_1 is an implicative filter of M , then F_2 is also an implicative filter of M .

Implicative filters

Proposition

If F is an implicative filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , then $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) \in F$ and $x \rightsquigarrow (x \odot x) \in F$ for any $x \in M$.

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then F is implicative in M if and only if $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) \in F$, for any $x \in M$.

Theorem

If F_1 and F_2 are normal filters of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , $F_1 \subseteq F_2$ and F_1 is an implicative filter of M , then F_2 is also an implicative filter of M .

Implicative filters – theorems

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then F is implicative in M if and only if $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) \in F$, for any $x \in M$.

1.

If F is a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , then F is an implicative filter of M if and only if the quotient $R\ell$ -monoid M/F is a Heyting algebra.

2.

If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid, then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① M is a Heyting algebra.
- ② Every normal filter of M is implicative.
- ③ $\{1\}$ is an implicative filter of M .

Implicative filters – theorems

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then F is implicative in M if and only if $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) \in F$, for any $x \in M$.

1.

If F is a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , then F is an implicative filter of M if and only if the quotient $R\ell$ -monoid M/F is a Heyting algebra.

2.

If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid, then the following conditions are equivalent.

- 1 M is a Heyting algebra.
- 2 Every normal filter of M is implicative.
- 3 $\{1\}$ is an implicative filter of M .

Implicative filters – propositions

1.

If F is an implicative filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , then

(b) $\forall x, y \in M$;

$$y \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) \in F \implies y \rightarrow x \in F,$$

$$y \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow x) \in F \implies y \rightsquigarrow x \in F.$$

2.

If F is a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M satisfying (b), then

(c) $\forall x, y, z \in M$;

$$z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) \in F \implies (z \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightsquigarrow x) \in F,$$

$$z \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow x) \in F \implies (z \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow (z \rightarrow x) \in F.$$

Implicative filters – propositions

3.

If a filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M satisfies (c), then

(d) $\forall x, y, z \in M;$

$$z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)) \in F, z \in F \implies y \rightsquigarrow x \in F,$$

$$z \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow x)) \in F, z \in F \implies y \rightarrow x \in F.$$

4.

If a normal filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M satisfies (d), then F is implicative.

Implicative filters

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① F is an *implicative filter* of M .
- ② $y \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) \in F \implies y \rightarrow x \in F$,
 $y \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow x) \in F \implies y \rightsquigarrow x \in F$.
- ③ $z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) \in F \implies (z \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow (z \rightsquigarrow x) \in F$,
 $z \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow x) \in F \implies (z \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow (z \rightarrow x) \in F$.
- ④ $z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)) \in F, z \in F \implies y \rightsquigarrow x \in F$,
 $z \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow x)) \in F, z \in F \implies y \rightarrow x \in F$.

Boolean filters

A filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called *Boolean* if for any $x \in M$,

$$(3) \quad x \vee x^- \text{ and } x \vee x^\sim \in F.$$

Theorem

If F_1 and F_2 are filters of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , $F_1 \subseteq F_2$ and F_1 is a Boolean filter of M , then F_2 is also a Boolean filter of M .

Theorem

If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid, then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① M is a Boolean algebra.
- ② Every filter of M is Boolean.
- ③ $\{1\}$ is a Boolean filter of M .

Boolean filters

A filter F of an Rl -monoid M is called *Boolean* if for any $x \in M$,

$$(3) \quad x \vee x^- \text{ and } x \vee x^{\sim} \in F.$$

Theorem

If F_1 and F_2 are filters of an Rl -monoid M , $F_1 \subseteq F_2$ and F_1 is a Boolean filter of M , then F_2 is also a Boolean filter of M .

Theorem

If M is an Rl -monoid, then the following *conditions are equivalent*.

- ① M is a Boolean algebra.
- ② Every filter of M is Boolean.
- ③ $\{1\}$ is a Boolean filter of M .

Boolean filters

Lemma

If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid and $x \in M$ is such that

$$x \vee x^- = x \vee x^{\sim} = 1,$$

then $x \wedge x^- = x \wedge x^{\sim} = 0$.

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① F is a Boolean filter of M .
- ② M/F is a Boolean algebra.

Theorem

Every normal Boolean filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is implicative in M .

Boolean filters

Lemma

If M is an $R\ell$ -monoid and $x \in M$ is such that

$$x \vee x^- = x \vee x^{\sim} = 1,$$

then $x \wedge x^- = x \wedge x^{\sim} = 0$.

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① F is a Boolean filter of M .
- ② M/F is a Boolean algebra.

Theorem

Every normal Boolean filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is implicative in M .

Boolean filters

Lemma

If M is an Rl -monoid and $x \in M$ is such that

$$x \vee x^- = x \vee x^{\sim} = 1,$$

then $x \wedge x^- = x \wedge x^{\sim} = 0$.

Theorem

Let F be a normal filter of an Rl -monoid M . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① F is a Boolean filter of M .
- ② M/F is a Boolean algebra.

Theorem

Every normal Boolean filter of an Rl -monoid M is implicative in M .

Boolean filters – theorems

1.

Let F be a filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① F is a **Boolean filter** of M .
- ② $x \rightarrow (y^- \rightsquigarrow y) \in F \implies x \rightarrow y \in F$,
 $x \rightsquigarrow (y^\sim \rightarrow y) \in F \implies x \rightsquigarrow y \in F$.
- ③ $(x \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow x \in F \implies x \in F$,
 $(x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F \implies x \in F$.

2.

A filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is Boolean if and only

- (4) $\forall x \in M; (x^- \rightsquigarrow x) \rightarrow x \in F$ and $(x^\sim \rightarrow x) \rightsquigarrow x \in F$.

Boolean filters – theorems

1.

Let F be a filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① F is a **Boolean filter** of M .
- ② $x \rightarrow (y^- \rightsquigarrow y) \in F \implies x \rightarrow y \in F$,
 $x \rightsquigarrow (y^\sim \rightarrow y) \in F \implies x \rightsquigarrow y \in F$.
- ③ $(x \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow x \in F \implies x \in F$,
 $(x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F \implies x \in F$.

2.

A filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is Boolean if and only

- (4) $\forall x \in M; (x^- \rightsquigarrow x) \rightarrow x \in F$ and $(x^\sim \rightarrow x) \rightsquigarrow x \in F$.

Positive implicative filters

A subset F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called a *positive implicative filter* of M if

- (1) $1 \in F$;
- (5) $x \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightsquigarrow y) \in F, x \in F$ imply $y \in F$,
 $x \rightsquigarrow ((y \rightsquigarrow z) \rightarrow y) \in F, x \in F$ imply $y \in F$.

A positive implicative filter of M is a filter of M .

Theorem

A filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is positive implicative if and only if F is a Boolean filter of M .

Positive implicative filters

A subset F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called a *positive implicative filter* of M if

- (1) $1 \in F$;
- (5) $x \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightsquigarrow y) \in F, x \in F$ imply $y \in F$,
 $x \rightsquigarrow ((y \rightsquigarrow z) \rightarrow y) \in F, x \in F$ imply $y \in F$.

A positive implicative filter of M is a filter of M .

Theorem

A filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is positive implicative if and only if F is a Boolean filter of M .

Positive implicative filters

A subset F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called a *positive implicative filter* of M if

- (1) $1 \in F$;
- (5) $x \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightsquigarrow y) \in F, x \in F$ imply $y \in F$,
 $x \rightsquigarrow ((y \rightsquigarrow z) \rightarrow y) \in F, x \in F$ imply $y \in F$.

A positive implicative filter of M is a filter of M .

Theorem

A filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is positive implicative if and only if F is a Boolean filter of M .

Corollary

Every normal positive implicative filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is implicative in M .

Positive implicative filters

A subset F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is called a *positive implicative filter* of M if

- (1) $1 \in F$;
- (5) $x \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightsquigarrow y) \in F, x \in F$ imply $y \in F$,
 $x \rightsquigarrow ((y \rightsquigarrow z) \rightarrow y) \in F, x \in F$ imply $y \in F$.

A positive implicative filter of M is a filter of M .

Theorem

A filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is positive implicative if and only if F is a Boolean filter of M .

Corollary

Every normal positive implicative filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M is implicative in M .

Maximal and prime filters

Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid and F be a filter of M . Then F is called

- **maximal** if F is a dual atom in the lattice $\mathcal{F}(M)$;
- **prime** if $F \neq M$ and $x \vee y \in F$ implies $x \in F$ or $y \in F$ for any $x, y \in M$.



Dvurečenskij A., Rachůnek J. (2006)

Probabilistic averaging in bounded $R\ell$ -monoids. Semigroup Forum 72.

Maximal and prime filters

Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid and F be a filter of M . Then F is called

- **maximal** if F is a dual atom in the lattice $\mathcal{F}(M)$;
- **prime** if $F \neq M$ and $x \vee y \in F$ implies $x \in F$ or $y \in F$ for any $x, y \in M$.



Dvurečenskij A., Rachůnek J. (2006)

Probabilistic averaging in bounded $R\ell$ -monoids. Semigroup Forum 72.

Remark

- Every maximal filter is prime.
- If F is a maximal and normal filter of M , then M/F is a linearly ordered MV -algebra.

Maximal and prime filters

Theorem

If F is a normal filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① F is a maximal and Boolean filter of M
- ② F is a maximal and implicative filter of M .
- ③ $\forall x, y \in M \setminus F; x \rightarrow y \in F$ and $y \rightarrow x \in F$.
- ④ M/F is a two-element Boolean algebra.
- ⑤ F is a prime and Boolean filter of M .
- ⑥ F is a proper filter of M such that $x \in F$ or $x^- \in F$, and $x \in F$ or $x^\sim \in F$, for any $x \in M$.

Fantastic and GMV -filters

Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid and F be a filter of M . Then F is called

- *fantastic* if

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} y \rightarrow x \in F &\implies ((x \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F, \\ y \rightsquigarrow x \in F &\implies ((x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow x \in F; \end{aligned}$$

Fantastic and GMV -filters

Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid and F be a filter of M . Then F is called

- *fantastic* if

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} y \rightarrow x \in F &\implies ((x \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F, \\ y \rightsquigarrow x \in F &\implies ((x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow x \in F; \end{aligned}$$



Haveshki, M., Saeid, A.B., Eslami, E. (2006)

Some types of filters in BL-algebras. Soft Computing 10.

Fantastic and GMV-filters

Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid and F be a filter of M . Then F is called

- *fantastic* if

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} y \rightarrow x \in F &\implies ((x \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F, \\ y \rightsquigarrow x \in F &\implies ((x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow x \in F; \end{aligned}$$

- a *GMV-filter* if for any $x \in M$,

$$(7) \quad x^{-\sim} \rightarrow x \in F, \quad x^{\sim-} \rightarrow x \in F.$$

Proposition

If F is a fantastic filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , then F is a GMV-filter of M .

Fantastic and GMV-filters

Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid and F be a filter of M . Then F is called

- *fantastic* if

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} y \rightarrow x \in F &\implies ((x \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F, \\ y \rightsquigarrow x \in F &\implies ((x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow x \in F; \end{aligned}$$

- a *GMV-filter* if for any $x \in M$,

$$(7) \quad x^{-\sim} \rightarrow x \in F, \quad x^{\sim-} \rightarrow x \in F.$$

Proposition

If F is a fantastic filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M , then F is a GMV-filter of M .

Filters of good $R\ell$ -monoids

An $R\ell$ -monoid M is called *good* if it satisfies the identity

$$x^{-\rightsquigarrow} = x^{\rightsquigarrow-}.$$

Theorem

If F is a filter of a *good* $R\ell$ -monoid M , then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① F is a fantastic filter of M .
- ② F is a GMV-filter of M .
- ③ $x \rightarrow u \in F, y \rightarrow u \in F \implies ((x \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow u \in F,$
 $x \rightsquigarrow u \in F, y \rightsquigarrow u \in F \implies ((x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow u \in F.$
- ④ $z \rightarrow (y \rightsquigarrow x) \in F, z \in F \implies ((x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow x \in F,$
 $z \rightsquigarrow (y \rightarrow x) \in F, z \in F \implies ((x \rightarrow y) \rightsquigarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F.$

Boolean, GMV- and fantastic filters

Theorem

Every Boolean filter of an $R\ell$ -monoid M (a good $R\ell$ -monoid, respectively) is a GMV-filter (a fantastic filter, respectively) of M .

Theorem

Let M be an $R\ell$ -monoid (a good $R\ell$ -monoid, respectively). Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① M is a GMV-algebra.
- ② Every filter of M is a GMV-filter (a fantastic filter, respectively) of M .
- ③ $\{1\}$ is a GMV-filter (a fantastic filter, respectively) of M .

Boolean, GMV- and fantastic filters

Theorem

A normal filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M (of a good $R\ell$ -monoid M , respectively) is a GMV-filter (a fantastic filter, respectively) of M if and only if M/F is a GMV-algebra.

Theorem

If a maximal filter F of an $R\ell$ -monoid M (of a good $R\ell$ -monoid M , respectively) is normal, then F is a GMV-filter (a fantastic filter, respectively) and M/F is linearly ordered.

Examples

Example 1

Let $M_1 = \{0, a, b, 1\}$, the operations " \odot " and " \rightarrow " be defined by the following tables:

\odot	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	a	a
b	0	a	b	b
1	0	a	b	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	1	1	1
a	a	1	1	1
b	0	a	1	1
1	0	a	b	1

- M_1 is a BL -algebra;
- $F_1 = \{b, 1\}$ is a filter of M_1 ;

Examples

Example 1

Let $M_1 = \{0, a, b, 1\}$, the operations " \odot " and " \rightarrow " be defined by the following tables:

\odot	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	a	a
b	0	a	b	b
1	0	a	b	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	1	1	1
a	a	1	1	1
b	0	a	1	1
1	0	a	b	1

- M_1 is a BL -algebra;
- $F_1 = \{b, 1\}$ is a filter of M_1 ;
- $a \rightarrow (a \odot a) = a \notin F_1$, hence F_1 is not implicative.

Examples

Example 1

Let $M_1 = \{0, a, b, 1\}$, the operations " \odot " and " \rightarrow " be defined by the following tables:

\odot	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	a	a
b	0	a	b	b
1	0	a	b	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	1	1	1
a	a	1	1	1
b	0	a	1	1
1	0	a	b	1

- M_1 is a BL -algebra;
- $F_1 = \{b, 1\}$ is a filter of M_1 ;
- $a \rightarrow (a \odot a) = a \notin F_1$, hence F_1 is not implicative.

Examples

Example 2

Consider the real interval $[0, 1]$ and define

$$x \odot y = \min\{x, y\} = x \wedge y \quad \text{and} \quad x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x > y. \end{cases}$$

- $M_2 = [0, 1]$ is a BL -algebra;
- $F_2 = [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is a filter of M_2 ;

Examples

Example 2

Consider the real interval $[0, 1]$ and define

$$x \odot y = \min\{x, y\} = x \wedge y \quad \text{and} \quad x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x > y. \end{cases}$$

- $M_2 = [0, 1]$ is a BL -algebra;
- $F_2 = [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is a filter of M_2 ;
- $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) = x \rightarrow (x \wedge x) = 1$ for any $x \in M_2$,
it is implicative;

Examples

Example 2

Consider the real interval $[0, 1]$ and define

$$x \odot y = \min\{x, y\} = x \wedge y \quad \text{and} \quad x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x > y. \end{cases}$$

- $M_2 = [0, 1]$ is a BL -algebra;
- $F_2 = [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is a filter of M_2 ;
- $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) = x \rightarrow (x \wedge x) = 1$ for any $x \in M_2$,
it is implicative;
- if $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$, then $x \vee x^- = x \notin F_2$,

Examples

Example 2

Consider the real interval $[0, 1]$ and define

$$x \odot y = \min\{x, y\} = x \wedge y \quad \text{and} \quad x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x > y. \end{cases}$$

- $M_2 = [0, 1]$ is a BL -algebra;
- $F_2 = [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is a filter of M_2 ;
- $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) = x \rightarrow (x \wedge x) = 1$ for any $x \in M_2$,
it is implicative;
- if $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$, then $x \vee x^- = x \notin F_2$,
therefore F_2 is not Boolean,
consequently it is not positive implicative.

Examples

Example 2

Consider the real interval $[0, 1]$ and define

$$x \odot y = \min\{x, y\} = x \wedge y \quad \text{and} \quad x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x > y. \end{cases}$$

- $M_2 = [0, 1]$ is a BL -algebra;
- $F_2 = [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is a filter of M_2 ;
- $x \rightarrow (x \odot x) = x \rightarrow (x \wedge x) = 1$ for any $x \in M_2$,
it is implicative;
- if $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$, then $x \vee x^- = x \notin F_2$,
therefore F_2 is not Boolean,
consequently it is not positive implicative.

Examples

Example 3

Let $M_3 = \{0, a, b, 1\}$, the operations " \odot " and " \rightarrow " be defined by the following tables:

\odot	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	a
b	0	0	a	b
1	0	a	b	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	1	1	1
a	b	1	1	1
b	a	b	1	1
1	0	a	b	1

- M_3 is a BL -algebra;
- $F_3 = \{1\}$ is a fantastic filter of M_3 ;

Examples

Example 3

Let $M_3 = \{0, a, b, 1\}$, the operations " \odot " and " \rightarrow " be defined by the following tables:

\odot	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	a
b	0	0	a	b
1	0	a	b	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	1	1	1
a	b	1	1	1
b	a	b	1	1
1	0	a	b	1

- M_3 is a BL -algebra;
- $F_3 = \{1\}$ is a fantastic filter of M_3 ;
- e.g. $b \vee b^- = b \notin F_3$, hence F_3 is not positive implicative.

Examples

Example 3

Let $M_3 = \{0, a, b, 1\}$, the operations " \odot " and " \rightarrow " be defined by the following tables:

\odot	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	a
b	0	0	a	b
1	0	a	b	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	1	1	1
a	b	1	1	1
b	a	b	1	1
1	0	a	b	1

- M_3 is a BL -algebra;
- $F_3 = \{1\}$ is a fantastic filter of M_3 ;
- e.g. $b \vee b^- = b \notin F_3$, hence F_3 is not positive implicative.

Examples

Remark

The operations " \oplus " and " \odot " in GMV -algebras are mutually dual and the dual algebra to any GMV -algebra is a GMV -algebra as well. The notions as "filter", "normal filter", etc., will be replaced by the dual notions "ideal", "normal ideal", etc.

Example 4

Let G be the multiplicative group of all matrices of the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x > 0.$$

Denote $X = (x, y)$, then $X^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{x}, -\frac{y}{x}\right)$, $E = (1, 0)$.

$$G^+ = \{(x, y) : x > 1\} \cup \{(x, y) : x = 1, y \geq 0\}.$$

Then G with G^+ is a linearly ordered group,

$U = (2, 0)$ is a strong unit of G .

Examples

Remark

The operations " \oplus " and " \odot " in GMV -algebras are mutually dual and the dual algebra to any GMV -algebra is a GMV -algebra as well. The notions as "filter", "normal filter", etc., will be replaced by the dual notions "ideal", "normal ideal", etc.

Example 4

Let G be the multiplicative group of all matrices of the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x > 0.$$

Denote $X = (x, y)$, then $X^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{x}, -\frac{y}{x}\right)$, $E = (1, 0)$.

$$G^+ = \{(x, y) : x > 1\} \cup \{(x, y) : x = 1, y \geq 0\}.$$

Then G with G^+ is a linearly ordered group,

$U = (2, 0)$ is a strong unit of G .

Examples

Remark

The operations " \oplus " and " \odot " in GMV -algebras are mutually dual and the dual algebra to any GMV -algebra is a GMV -algebra as well. The notions as "filter", "normal filter", etc., will be replaced by the dual notions "ideal", "normal ideal", etc.

Example 4

Let G be the multiplicative group of all matrices of the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x > 0.$$

Denote $X = (x, y)$, then $X^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{x}, -\frac{y}{x}\right)$, $E = (1, 0)$.

$$G^+ = \{(x, y) : x > 1\} \cup \{(x, y) : x = 1, y \geq 0\}.$$

Then G with G^+ is a linearly ordered group,

$U = (2, 0)$ is a strong unit of G .

Examples

$A = \Gamma(G, U)$ is a linearly ordered GMV -algebra.

$A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$, where

$A_1 = \{(1, y) : y \geq 0\}$, $A_2 = \{(x, y) : 1 < x < 2\}$,

$A_3 = \{(2, y) : y \leq 0\}$.

A_1 is a maximal and normal ideal of A .

Denote $M = A_1 \cup A_3$, M is a non-commutative subalgebra of A , the operations can be described as follows:

Let $(x, y), (v, w) \in M$. Then

$$(x, y) \oplus (v, w) = \begin{cases} (1, y + u), & \text{if } x = v = 1, \\ (2, xw + y), & \text{if } xv = 1 \text{ and } xw + y \leq 0, \\ (2, 0), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(x, y)^- = \left(\frac{2}{x}, -\frac{2y}{x}\right), \quad (x, y)^\sim = \left(\frac{2}{x}, -\frac{y}{x}\right).$$

Examples

$A = \Gamma(G, U)$ is a linearly ordered GMV -algebra.

$A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$, where

$$A_1 = \{(1, y) : y \geq 0\}, \quad A_2 = \{(x, y) : 1 < x < 2\},$$

$$A_3 = \{(2, y) : y \leq 0\}.$$

A_1 is a maximal and normal ideal of A .

Denote $M = A_1 \cup A_3$, M is a non-commutative subalgebra of A , the operations can be described as follows:

Let $(x, y), (v, w) \in M$. Then

$$(x, y) \oplus (v, w) = \begin{cases} (1, y + u), & \text{if } x = v = 1, \\ (2, xw + y), & \text{if } xv = 1 \text{ and } xw + y \leq 0, \\ (2, 0), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(x, y)^- = \left(\frac{2}{x}, -\frac{2y}{x}\right), \quad (x, y)^\sim = \left(\frac{2}{x}, -\frac{y}{x}\right).$$

Examples

A_1 is a normal ideal also in the GMV -algebra M .

The ideal A_1 is Boolean:

- If $(1, y) \in A_1$, then (since A_1 is an ideal of M)
 $(1, y) \wedge (1, y)^-, (1, y) \wedge (1, y)^\sim \in A_1$.
- Let $(2, y) \in A_3$, i.e. $y \leq 0$. Then $(2, y)^- = (1, -y)$,
 since $-y \geq 0$, we have $(2, y)^- \in A_1$.

Similarly, $(2, y)^\sim = (1, -\frac{y}{2})$, $-\frac{y}{2} \geq 0$,
 hence $(2, y)^\sim \in A_1$.

That means A_1 is a normal Boolean ideal of M and, moreover,
 since A_1 is a maximal ideal of M , we get that the quotient algebra
 M/A_1 is a two-element Boolean algebra.

Examples

A_1 is a normal ideal also in the GMV -algebra M .

The ideal A_1 is Boolean:

- If $(1, y) \in A_1$, then (since A_1 is an ideal of M)
 $(1, y) \wedge (1, y)^-, (1, y) \wedge (1, y)^\sim \in A_1$.
- Let $(2, y) \in A_3$, i.e. $y \leq 0$. Then $(2, y)^- = (1, -y)$,
 since $-y \geq 0$, we have $(2, y)^- \in A_1$.

Similarly, $(2, y)^\sim = (1, -\frac{y}{2})$, $-\frac{y}{2} \geq 0$,
 hence $(2, y)^\sim \in A_1$.

That means A_1 is a normal Boolean ideal of M and, moreover,
 since A_1 is a maximal ideal of M , we get that the quotient algebra
 M/A_1 is a two-element Boolean algebra.

Examples

A_1 is a normal ideal also in the GMV -algebra M .

The ideal A_1 is Boolean:

- If $(1, y) \in A_1$, then (since A_1 is an ideal of M)
 $(1, y) \wedge (1, y)^-, (1, y) \wedge (1, y)^\sim \in A_1$.
- Let $(2, y) \in A_3$, i.e. $y \leq 0$. Then $(2, y)^- = (1, -y)$,
 since $-y \geq 0$, we have $(2, y)^- \in A_1$.

Similarly, $(2, y)^\sim = (1, -\frac{y}{2})$, $-\frac{y}{2} \geq 0$,
 hence $(2, y)^\sim \in A_1$.

That means A_1 is a normal Boolean ideal of M and, moreover,
 since A_1 is a maximal ideal of M , we get that the quotient algebra
 M/A_1 is a two-element Boolean algebra.

Examples

A_1 is a normal ideal also in the GMV -algebra M .

The ideal A_1 is Boolean:

- If $(1, y) \in A_1$, then (since A_1 is an ideal of M)
 $(1, y) \wedge (1, y)^-, (1, y) \wedge (1, y)^\sim \in A_1$.
- Let $(2, y) \in A_3$, i.e. $y \leq 0$. Then $(2, y)^- = (1, -y)$,
 since $-y \geq 0$, we have $(2, y)^- \in A_1$.

Similarly, $(2, y)^\sim = \left(1, -\frac{y}{2}\right)$, $-\frac{y}{2} \geq 0$,
 hence $(2, y)^\sim \in A_1$.

That means A_1 is a normal Boolean ideal of M and, moreover,
 since A_1 is a maximal ideal of M , we get that the quotient algebra
 M/A_1 is a two-element Boolean algebra.

Examples

A_1 is a normal ideal also in the GMV -algebra M .

The ideal A_1 is Boolean:

- If $(1, y) \in A_1$, then (since A_1 is an ideal of M)
 $(1, y) \wedge (1, y)^-, (1, y) \wedge (1, y)^\sim \in A_1$.
- Let $(2, y) \in A_3$, i.e. $y \leq 0$. Then $(2, y)^- = (1, -y)$,
 since $-y \geq 0$, we have $(2, y)^- \in A_1$.

Similarly, $(2, y)^\sim = \left(1, -\frac{y}{2}\right)$, $-\frac{y}{2} \geq 0$,
 hence $(2, y)^\sim \in A_1$.

That means A_1 is a normal Boolean ideal of M and, moreover,
 since A_1 is a maximal ideal of M , we get that the quotient algebra
 M/A_1 is a two-element Boolean algebra.

