

Overview of Task 4

establish complexity analysis of constrained rewriting

- task 1 defines complexity of constrained rewriting
- in plan we start from 2013 but HZ, GM & NH work in this September

Q

- how to measure complexity of conditional part?
- how to handle built-in data types?
- how to automate analysis?

MEMBERS

Zankl, Moser, Cynthia
Innsbruck

Gramlich, DC
Vienna

Hirokawa
JAIST

Complexity Analysis for Ordinary Rewriting

Example of TRS

TRS \mathcal{R}

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{add}(x, 0) \rightarrow x & \text{mul}(x, 0) \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{add}(x, \text{s}(y)) \rightarrow \text{s}(\text{add}(x, y)) & \text{mul}(x, \text{s}(y)) \rightarrow \text{add}(x, \text{mul}(y, x)) \end{array}$$

rewriting

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mul}(\text{s}(0), \text{s}(0)) &\rightarrow \text{add}(\text{s}(0), \text{mul}(\text{s}(0), 0)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{add}(\text{s}(0), 0) \\ &\rightarrow \text{s}(\text{add}(0, 0)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{s}(0) \end{aligned}$$

let $\mathbf{n} := \text{s}^n(0)$. how many steps do we need to compute

$$\text{mul}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \quad ?$$

Runtime Complexity

DEFINITION

for TRS \mathcal{R} over signature \mathcal{F}

- $\mathcal{D} := \{\text{root}(\ell) \mid \ell \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}\}$ defined symbols
- $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{D}$ constructor symbols

DEFINITION runtime complexity

$\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) = \max\{\text{dh}(t, \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}) \mid t \text{ is basic term of size up to } n\}$, where

- $\text{dh}(t, \rightarrow) = \max\{k \mid t \rightarrow^k u \text{ for some } u\}$
- $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is basic term if t_1, \dots, t_n contain no defined symbols

EXAMPLE

$\text{add}(\text{s}(\text{s}(0)), \text{s}(x))$ is basic but $\text{mul}(\text{s}(\text{add}(0, \text{s}(0)), \text{s}(x)))$ is not basic

Quiz

TRS \mathcal{R}

$$\begin{aligned}x - 0 &\rightarrow x \\ s(x) - s(y) &\rightarrow x - y\end{aligned}$$

e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}10 - 3 &\rightarrow 9 - 2 \\ &\rightarrow 8 - 1 \\ &\rightarrow 7 - 0 \\ &\rightarrow 7\end{aligned}$$

QUESTIONS

- $rc_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^3)$ (cubic) ? — yes
- $rc_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^2)$ (quadratic) ? — yes
- $rc_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n)$ (linear) ? — yes
- $rc_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(1)$ (constant) ?! — no

Quiz

TRS \mathcal{R}

$$x + 0 \rightarrow x$$

$$x \times 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$x + s(y) \rightarrow s(x + y)$$

$$x \times s(y) \rightarrow x \times y + x$$

QUESTIONS

- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^3)$ (cubic) ? — yes
- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^2)$ (quadratic) ? — yes
- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n)$ (linear) ? — no:

$$t_n := \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$$

Quiz

TRS \mathcal{R}

$$\text{append}(\text{nil}, ys) \rightarrow ys$$

$$\text{append}(x : xs, ys) \rightarrow x : \text{append}(xs, ys)$$

e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{append}(1 : 2 : 3 : \text{nil}, 4 : 5 : \text{nil}) &\rightarrow 1 : \text{append}(2 : 3 : \text{nil}, 4 : 5 : \text{nil}) \\ &\rightarrow 1 : 2 : \text{append}(3 : \text{nil}, 4 : 5 : \text{nil}) \\ &\rightarrow 1 : 2 : 3 : \text{append}(\text{nil}, 4 : 5 : \text{nil}) \\ &\rightarrow 1 : 2 : 3 : 4 : 5 : \text{nil}\end{aligned}$$

QUESTIONS

- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^3)$ (cubic) ? — yes
- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^2)$ (quadratic) ? — yes
- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n)$ (linear) ? — yes

Quiz

TRS \mathcal{R}

$$\text{append}(\text{nil}, ys) \rightarrow ys$$

$$\text{rev}(\text{nil}) \rightarrow \text{nil}$$

$$\text{append}(x : xs, ys) \rightarrow x : \text{append}(xs, ys) \quad \text{rev}(x : xs) \rightarrow \text{append}(\text{rev}(xs), x : \text{nil})$$

e.g.

$$\text{rev}(1 : 2 : 3 : \text{nil}) \rightarrow \text{append}(\text{rev}(2 : 3 :), 1 : \text{nil})$$

$$\rightarrow \text{append}(\text{append}(\text{rev}(3 : \text{nil}), 2 : \text{nil}), 1 : \text{nil})$$

$$\rightarrow \text{append}(\text{append}(\text{append}(\text{rev}(\text{nil}), 3 : \text{nil}), 2 : \text{nil}), 1 : \text{nil})$$

$$\rightarrow \text{append}(\text{append}(\text{append}(\text{nil}, 3 : \text{nil}), 2 : \text{nil}), 1 : \text{nil})$$

$$\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 3 : 2 : 1 : \text{nil}$$

QUESTIONS

- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^3)$ (cubic) ? — yes
- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^2)$ (quadratic) ? — yes
- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n)$ (linear) ? — no

Is Runtime Complexity Adequate Measure?

- consider TRS \mathcal{R} of Ackerman function:

$$\text{ack}(s^m(0), s^n(0)) \rightarrow s^{\text{ack}(n,m)}(0)$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

- $\text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(1) \dots$



Dal Lago & Martini, 2009; Avanzini & Moser, 2010

THEOREM

for finite TRS

polynomial (innermost) runtime complexity

induces

polytime computability

Complexity of Conditions

how to measure complexity of conditional part?

EXAMPLE

consider \mathcal{R}

$$f(x, y) \rightarrow c \quad \text{if } x \times x < y$$

we have

$$f(5, 100) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} 1$$

- all basic terms reach normal form in $O(1)$ steps, but
- computational costs should not be $O(1)$

☞ we have to count $x \times x < y$ in some way

Base k Integer (Bignum Arithmetic) TRSs

for instance $k = 10$, TRS \mathcal{B}_k is

$$\begin{array}{llll} 0 + 0 \rightarrow 0 & 1 + 0 \rightarrow 1 & \dots & 9 + 0 \rightarrow 9 \\ 0 + 1 \rightarrow 1 & 1 + 1 \rightarrow 2 & \dots & 9 + 1 \rightarrow 1 : 0 \\ 0 + 2 \rightarrow 2 & 1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 & \dots & 9 + 2 \rightarrow 1 : 1 \\ 0 + 3 \rightarrow 3 & 1 + 3 \rightarrow 4 & \dots & 9 + 3 \rightarrow 1 : 2 \\ 0 + 4 \rightarrow 4 & 1 + 4 \rightarrow 5 & \dots & 9 + 4 \rightarrow 1 : 3 \\ 0 + 5 \rightarrow 5 & 1 + 5 \rightarrow 6 & \dots & 9 + 5 \rightarrow 1 : 4 \\ 0 + 6 \rightarrow 6 & 1 + 6 \rightarrow 7 & \dots & 9 + 6 \rightarrow 1 : 5 \\ 0 + 7 \rightarrow 7 & 1 + 7 \rightarrow 8 & \dots & 9 + 7 \rightarrow 1 : 6 \\ 0 + 8 \rightarrow 8 & 1 + 8 \rightarrow 9 & \dots & 9 + 8 \rightarrow 1 : 7 \\ 0 + 9 \rightarrow 9 & 1 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 0 & \dots & 9 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 8 \\ x + (y : z) \rightarrow y : (x + z) & & & 0 : x \rightarrow x \\ (x : y) + z \rightarrow x : (y + z) & & & x : (y : z) \rightarrow (x + y) : z \end{array}$$

CONJECTURE

$$\text{irc}_{\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{B}_k}(n) \in \mathbf{P} \iff \text{irc}_{\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{B}_\ell}(n) \in \mathbf{P} \text{ for all } k, \ell \geq 2$$

Constructor-Restricted Polynomial Interpretations

Bonfante & Cichon & Marion & Touzet, JFP'01



DEFINITION

constructor-restricted polynomial interpretation of degree d is algebra \mathcal{A} such that

- carrier is \mathbb{N}
 - $f_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is polynomial of at most degree d if $f \in \mathcal{D}$
 - $f_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n + c_f$ if f is constructor
- $\ell >_{\mathcal{A}} r$ if $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{A}}(\ell) > [\alpha]_{\mathcal{A}}(r)$ for all $\alpha : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

THEOREM

let \mathcal{A} be monotone constructor-restricted polynomial interpretation

$$\mathcal{R} \subseteq >_{\mathcal{A}} \implies \text{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^d)$$

Runtime Complexity Analysis

TRS \mathcal{R}

$$x + 0 \rightarrow x$$

$$x \times 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$x + s(y) \rightarrow s(x + y)$$

$$x \times s(y) \rightarrow x \times y + x$$

constructor-restricted polynomial interpretation \mathcal{A} of degree 2

$$0_{\mathcal{A}} = 1$$

$$+_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 2XY + 2X + 2Y + 2$$

$$s_{\mathcal{A}}(s) = X + 1$$

$$+_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = X + 2Y$$

$$\wedge \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(x + 0) & = \alpha(x) + 2 & > x \\ [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(x + s(y)) & = \alpha(x) + 2\alpha(y) + 2 & > \alpha(x) + 2\alpha(y) + 1 \\ [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(x \times 0) & = 4\alpha(x) + 4 & > 1 \\ [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(x \times s(y)) & = \dots & > \dots \end{array} \right. = \begin{array}{l} [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(x) \\ [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(s(x + y)) \\ [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(0) \\ [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(x \times y + x) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $\alpha : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. hence $rc_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \in O(n^2)$

how to find interpretation?  QF_NIA SMT solver

Example

TRS \mathcal{R}

$$x + 0 \rightarrow x$$

$$x \times 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$x + s(y) \rightarrow s(x + y)$$

$$x \times s(y) \rightarrow x \times y + x$$

take well-founded strictly monotone interpretations

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = a$$

$$+_{\mathbb{N}}(X, Y) = dXY + eX + fY + g$$

$$s_{\mathbb{N}}(X) = bX + c$$

$$\times_{\mathbb{N}}(X, Y) = iXY + jX + kY + l$$

then $\mathcal{R} \subseteq >_{\mathbb{N}}$ corresponds to

$$\models \bigwedge \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(x + 0) & = bdX + eX + af + g \\ & > X & \\ & & = [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(x) \\ [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(x + s(y)) & = bXY + eX + bfY + cf + g \\ & > bdXY + beX + bfY + bg + c & = [\alpha]_{\mathbb{N}}(s(x + y)) \\ & ... & \end{array} \right\}$$
$$\wedge \left[\bigwedge \{b, e, f, j, k > 0, a, c, g, l \geq 0\} \right]$$

Shifting Method

TRS \mathcal{R}

$$x + 0 \rightarrow x$$

$$x \times 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$x + s(y) \rightarrow s(x + y)$$

$$x \times s(y) \rightarrow x \times y + x$$

take well-founded strictly monotone interpretations

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = a$$

$$+_{\mathbb{N}}(X, Y) = dXY + eX + fY + g$$

$$s_{\mathbb{N}}(X) = bX + c$$

$$\times_{\mathbb{N}}(X, Y) = iXY + jX + kY + l$$

$\mathcal{R} \subseteq >_{\mathbb{N}}$ if

$$\models \bigwedge \left\{ \begin{array}{l} bd + e \geq 1, af + g > 0 \\ b \geq bd, e \geq be \\ bf \geq bfcf + g > bg + c \\ \dots \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\wedge \bigwedge \{b, e, f, j, k > 0, \quad a, c, g, l \geq 0\}$$

Questions about Automation

usually we employ

- interpretations on \mathbb{N}
- shifting method to eliminate quantifiers
- QF_NIA SMT solver to find satisfiable coefficients

however,

- interpretations on \mathbb{R} seem more powerful
Neurauter et al. 2010, Middeldorp et al. 2011
- shifting method misses several examples
Neurauter et al. 2010
- actually we want to find minimal interpretations

QUESTION

experiments by Giesl (1995) showed ineffectiveness of QE-CAD

is this still valid observation?

Travel Plans

- Hirokawa visits Innsbruck from Sep 2 (Sun) to Sep 15 (Sat)
- probably Moser visits Kanazawa in March to attend
PR 2013: 3rd Workshop on Proof Theory and Rewriting