

Constructor-based Inductive Theorem Prover (CITP) - part 1

Lecture Note 03

December 27, 2013

Introduction

- tool for proving inductive properties of **Observational Transition Systems (OTS)**
- implemented on top of **Maude**
<http://www.jaist.ac.jp/~danielmg/citp.html>
- underlying logic - **constructor-based order-sorted preorder algebra**

Specifications

$$SP \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{signature } \mathit{Sig}(SP) \\ \text{set of axioms } \mathit{Ax}(SP) \\ \text{class of models } \mathit{Mod}(SP) \end{array} \right.$$

A specification is constructed from

- 1 basic specifications (Σ, Γ) by applying
- 2 specification building operators

Order-Sorted Signatures

Order-sorted signature (S, \leq, F, F^c) :

- $$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1. & S \\ 2. & (S, \leq) \\ 3. & F = (F_{w \rightarrow s})_{w \in S^*, s \in S} \\ 4. & F^c \subseteq F \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{— set of sorts} \\ \text{— poset} \\ \text{— funct. symb.} \\ \text{— constructors} \end{array}$$

Assumptions:

each (S, \leq, F, F^c) is **sensible**: for all $\left(\begin{array}{l} \bullet \sigma \in F_{w \rightarrow s} \\ \bullet \sigma \in F_{w' \rightarrow s'} \\ \bullet w \equiv_{\leq} w' \end{array} \right)$ we have $s \equiv_{\leq} s'$

Numbers

Example

```
mod* NUMBERS
{ [Zero < Nat]
  op 0 :  -> Zero {constr}
  op s_ :  Nat -> Nat {constr}
  op _+_ :  Nat Nat -> Nat
}
```

$\text{Sig}(\text{NUMBERS})$:

- **constrained** sorts:
 - Zero has one constructor $\{0 : -> \text{Zero}\}$
 - Nat has two constructors $\{0 : -> \text{Zero}, s_ : \text{Nat} -> \text{Nat}\}$
- **no loose** sorts
- **constructor terms** (formed from constructors and variables of loose sorts):
 $0, s\ 0, s\ s\ 0, \dots$

Lists

Example

```
mod! LIST
{ [Elt Empty < List]
  op empty : -> Empty {constr}
  op con : Elt List -> List {constr}
}
```

$Sig(LIST)$:

- **constrained** sorts:
 - Empty has one constructor {empty : -> Empty}
 - List has two constructors
 {empty : -> Empty, con : Elt List -> List}
- **loose** sorts : Elt
- **constructor terms** (formed from constructors and variables of loose sorts):
 empty, con (X1,empty), con (X2,con (X1,empty)),
 con (X3,con (X2,con (X1,empty))),

Order-Sorted Algebras

$$(S, \leq, F)\text{-algebras } A: \begin{cases} 1. & s \in S & \rightsquigarrow & A_s \\ 2. & s \leq s' & \rightsquigarrow & A_s \subseteq A_{s'} \\ 3. & \sigma : W \rightarrow S & \rightsquigarrow & A_{\sigma:W \rightarrow S} : A_W \rightarrow A_S \end{cases} \quad \text{such that}$$

functions “agree” on common arguments:

$$\text{for all } \left(\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \sigma : W \rightarrow S \\ \bullet \quad \sigma' : W' \rightarrow S' \\ \bullet \quad W \equiv_{\leq} W' \\ \bullet \quad \bar{a} \in A_W \cap A_{W'} \end{array} \right) \text{ we have } A_{\sigma:W \rightarrow S}(\bar{a}) = A_{\sigma':W' \rightarrow S'}(\bar{a})$$

Example

```
mod* NUM
{ [Zero < Nat]
  op 0 :  -> Zero
  op s_ :  Nat -> Nat
  op _+_ :  Nat Nat -> Nat
}
```

$\text{Sig}(\text{NUM})$ -algebras:

- Natural numbers \mathbb{N}
 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \mathbb{N}_{\text{Zero}} = \{0\} \\ \bullet \mathbb{N}_{\text{Nat}} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ \bullet \mathbb{N}_0 = 0 \\ \bullet \mathbb{N}_s : \mathbb{N}_{\text{Nat}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\text{Nat}}, \quad \mathbb{N}_s(n) = n + 1 \\ \bullet \mathbb{N}_+ : \mathbb{N}_{\text{Nat}} \times \mathbb{N}_{\text{Nat}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\text{Nat}}, \quad \mathbb{N}_+(n, m) = n + m \end{array} \right.$

Order-Sorted Algebras

$$\bullet \text{ Integers } \mathbb{Z} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \mathbb{Z}_{\text{zero}} = \{0\} \\ \bullet \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Nat}} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ \bullet \mathbb{Z}_0 = 0 \\ \bullet \mathbb{Z}_s : \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Nat}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Nat}}, \\ \bullet \mathbb{Z}_+ : \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Nat}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Nat}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Nat}}, \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{Z}_s(n) = n + 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}_+(n, m) = n + m \end{array}$$

$$\bullet \mathbb{Z}_2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet (\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\text{zero}} = \{\hat{0}\}, \\ \bullet (\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\text{Nat}} = \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{Z}_2)_0 = \hat{0} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{Z}_2)_s : (\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\text{Nat}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\text{Nat}}, \quad \begin{array}{l} (\mathbb{Z}_2)_s(\hat{0}) = \hat{1} \\ (\mathbb{Z}_2)_s(\hat{1}) = \hat{0} \end{array} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{Z}_2)_+ : (\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\text{Nat}} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\text{Nat}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\text{Nat}}, \quad \begin{array}{l} (\mathbb{Z}_2)_+(\hat{0}, \hat{0}) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)_+(\hat{1}, \hat{1}) = \hat{0} \\ (\mathbb{Z}_2)_+(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)_+(\hat{1}, \hat{0}) = \hat{1} \end{array} \end{array}$$

- **ground terms** have unique interpretations into the models

$$ss0 + (0 + s0) \rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} \overset{\mathbb{N}}{\rightsquigarrow} & 3 \\ \overset{\mathbb{Z}}{\rightsquigarrow} & 3 \\ \overset{\mathbb{Z}_2}{\rightsquigarrow} & \hat{1} \end{cases}$$

- **terms with variables** have one interpretation for each valuation of the variables

$$s(sx + y) \rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} \overset{\mathbb{N}}{\rightsquigarrow}_f & 11 & \text{where } f : \{x, y\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, & \begin{array}{l} f(x) = 2 \\ f(y) = 7 \end{array} \\ \overset{\mathbb{Z}}{\rightsquigarrow}_g & 8 & \text{where } g : \{x, y\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, & \begin{array}{l} g(x) = 5 \\ g(y) = 1 \end{array} \\ \overset{\mathbb{Z}_2}{\rightsquigarrow}_h & \hat{1} & \text{where } h : \{x, y\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2, & \begin{array}{l} h(x) = \hat{0} \\ h(y) = \hat{1} \end{array} \end{cases}$$

Term Algebra

$\Sigma = (S, \leq, F)$ sensible. T_Σ is defined recursively:

- 1
 - $F_{\rightarrow s} \subseteq (T_\Sigma)_s$
 - $$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sigma \in F_{s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s} \\ t_1 \in (T_\Sigma)_{s_1} \\ \vdots \\ t_n \in (T_\Sigma)_{s_n} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (T_\Sigma)_s$$
 - $s \leq s' \Rightarrow (T_\Sigma)_s \subseteq (T_\Sigma)_{s'}$
- 2 if $\sigma \in F_{s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s}$ the $(T_\Sigma)_\sigma : (T_\Sigma)_{s_1} \times \dots \times (T_\Sigma)_{s_n} \rightarrow (T_\Sigma)_s$ is defined by $(T_\Sigma)_\sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n)$

Satisfaction Relation

A is a (S, \leq, F) -algebra

- $A \models_{(S, \leq, F)} (\forall X)(l = r)$ iff $(u_1 = v_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (u_n = v_n)$ iff

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} A_{u_1}^f = A_{v_1}^f \\ \vdots \\ A_{u_n}^f = A_{v_n}^f \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow A_l^f = A_r^f$$

for all $f : X \rightarrow A$ we have

- $E \models_{(S, \leq, F)} \varepsilon$ iff for all (S, \leq, F) -models A we have $A \models_{(S, \leq, F)} E$ implies $A \models_{(S, \leq, F)} \varepsilon$

Reachable Order-Sorted Algebras

- **Reachable** (S, \leq, F, F^c) -**algebras** consist of interpretations of constructor terms
 A is reachable iff for all $a \in A$ there is $\left(\begin{array}{l} \bullet \text{ constructor term } t[x_1, \dots, x_n] \\ \bullet \text{ valuation } f : \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow A \end{array} \right)$
 s.t.

$$A_{t[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_{t[x_1 \leftarrow f(x_1), \dots, x_n \leftarrow f(x_n)]} = a$$

- $E \models_{(S, \leq, F, F^c)} \varepsilon$ iff for all (S, \leq, F, F^c) -models A we have $A \models_{(S, \leq, F, F^c)} E$
 implies $A \models_{(S, \leq, F, F^c)} \varepsilon$

Remark

- \mathbb{N} is a reachable $\text{Sig}(\text{NUMBERS})$ -algebra: for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mathbb{N}_{(s^n 0)} = n$
- \mathbb{Z} is not reachable: there is no constructor term $s^n 0$ such that $\mathbb{Z}_{(s^n 0)} = -1$
- \mathbb{Z}_2 is reachable: $(\mathbb{Z}_2)_0 = \hat{0}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_2)_1 = \hat{1}$
- $T_{\text{Sig}(\text{NUMBERS})}$ is not reachable: there is no constr. term $s^n 0$ s.t.
 $(T_{\text{Sig}(\text{NUMBERS})})_{s^n 0} = 0 + 0$

Basic Specifications

$$SP = ((S, \leq, F, F^c), E)$$

- $Sig(SP) = (S, \leq, F, F^c)$
- $Ax(SP) = E$
- $Mod(SP) = \{A \in Mod(S, \leq, F, F^c) \mid A \models E\}$
consists of all reachable algebras satisfying E

Example

```
mod* NUMBERS+  
{ [Zero < Nat]  
  op 0 :  -> Zero {constr}  
  op s_ :  Nat -> Nat {constr}  
  op _+_ :  Nat Nat -> Nat  
  vars M N : Nat .  
  eq 0 + N = N .  
  eq s M + N = s (M + N) . }
```

SUM

- SP_1, SP_2 specifications s.t. $Sig(SP_1) = Sig(SP_2) = \Sigma$
- $SP_1 \cup SP_2$ the summation of SP_1 and SP_2
 - 1 $Sig(SP_1 \cup SP_2) = \Sigma$
 - 2 $\mathbb{A}x(SP_1 \cup SP_2) = \mathbb{A}x(SP_1) \cup \mathbb{A}x(SP_2)$
 - 3 $\mathbb{M}od(SP_1 \cup SP_2) = \mathbb{M}od(SP_1) \cap \mathbb{M}od(SP_2)$

TRANS

- $Sig(SP) \xrightarrow{\iota} \Sigma$
- $SP * \iota$ the translation of SP by ι
 - ① $Sig(SP * \iota) = \Sigma$
 - ② $\mathbb{A}x(SP) = \iota(\mathbb{A}x(SP)) = \mathbb{A}x(SP)$
 - ③ $Mod(SP * \iota) = \{M \in Mod(\Sigma) \mid M \upharpoonright_{Sig(SP)} \in Mod(SP)\}$

$SP * \iota$ -models consists of $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \text{ } SP\text{-models} \\ + \\ \bullet \text{ interpretation of the new symbols in } \Sigma \end{array} \right.$

Remark

If SP is formed from basic specification, SUM, and TRANS then SP is equivalent to $(Sig(SP), E)$, where E is a set of conditional equations.

Example

```
mod* NUMBERS+*
{ protecting NUMBERS+
  op *_* : Nat Nat -> Nat .
  eq 0 * N = 0 .
  eq s M * N = (M * N) + N . }
```

```
mod* PNAT+*
{ protecting PNAT+
  op *_* : Nat Nat -> Nat .
  eq 0 * N = 0 .
  eq s M * N = (M * N) + N . }
```

- $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \dots \in \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbf{NUMBERS} + *)$, where the algebras \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}_n interprets $_ * _ : \text{Nat Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$ in the obvious way
- $\mathbb{N} \in \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbf{PNAT} + *)$, $\mathbb{Z}_n \notin \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbf{PNAT} + *)$

Initiality

$\Sigma = (S, \leq, F, F^c)$ sensible.

1 **Σ -congruence** $\equiv (\equiv_s)_{s \in S}$ on a Σ -algebra A

- \equiv_s is an equivalence relation on A_s (reflexive, symmetric and transitive)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \bullet \sigma \in F_{s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s} \\ \bullet \left. \begin{array}{l} a_1 \equiv_{s_1} a'_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \equiv_{s_n} a'_n \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow A_\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n) \equiv_s A_\sigma(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \end{array} \right\}$$

- if $s \leq s'$ then $a \equiv_s a'$ iff $a \equiv_{s'} a'$

2 **Quotient algebra** A_{\equiv}

- $(A_{\equiv})_s = (A_s)_{\equiv_s}$
- $(A_{\equiv})_\sigma : (A_{\equiv})_{s_1} \times (A_{\equiv})_{s_n} \rightarrow (A_{\equiv})_s$ is defined by
 $(A_{\equiv})_\sigma(a_1/\equiv_{s_1}, \dots, a_n/\equiv_{s_n}) = A_\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)/\equiv_s$

- E set of conditional Σ -equations: $t \equiv_E t'$ iff $E \models t = t'$
- $(T_\Sigma)_{\equiv_E}$ is reachable if (Σ, E) is sufficient complete

Definition (Sufficient Completeness)

SP is **sufficient complete** if for all $t \in T_{\text{Sig}(SP)}$ there exists a constructor term $t' \in T_{\text{Sig}(SP)}$ s.t. $SP \models t = t'$.

- `NUMBERS` is not sufficient complete (`_ + _` is underspecified)
- `NUMBERS+` is suff. complete which implies that it has initial model.

```
mod* NUMBERS+
{ [Zero < Nat]
op 0 : -> Zero {constr}
op s_ : Nat -> Nat {constr}
op _ + _ : Nat Nat -> Nat
vars M N : Nat .
eq 0 + N = N .
eq s M + N = s(M + N) . }
```

```
mod! PNAT+
{ [Zero < Nat]
op 0 : -> Zero {constr}
op s_ : Nat -> Nat {constr}
op _ + _ : Nat Nat -> Nat
vars M N : Nat .
eq 0 + N = N .
eq s M + N = s(M + N) . }
```

Exercise

Prove that NUMBERS^+ is sufficient complete.