Performance Analysis of VoIP Codecs over BE WiMAX Network
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Abstract—IEEE802.16 technology due to its outstanding larger coverage area, high data rates, inexpensive equipments, ease of deployment and guaranteed QoS make it a suitable candidate for future broadband wireless access networks. The Mobile WiMAX has been designed to provide Broadband Internet service to mobile users. The VoIP is flexible and provides low cost telephony to customers over the existing IP infrastructure. However, there are still many challenges that need to be addressed to provide a steady and good quality voice connection over the best-effort Internet. In this paper we evaluate the performance of different VoIP codecs over the best effort WiMAX network. The network performance metrics such as jitter, one way delay, and packet loss and user perception metric that is Mean Opinion Score have been used to evaluate the performance of VoIP codecs. The simulation is performed in QualNet simulator with varying values of Packet size, number of calls and jitter buffer sizes. Our results indicate that varying the jitter buffer size and packetization time affects the quality of voice over the best effort network.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Multimedia applications are gaining much of the user attention with the advent of new broadband technologies [3]. In recent decades user desires have switched from net surfing and email to multimedia services, such as VoIP & video conferencing and video streaming, etc. To address the specific user needs for rich multimedia applications, the service providers are looking for broadband wireless network. The IEEE 802.16 standard [1] [2] has been designed as an access network to fulfill the user needs of multimedia applications. WMAN provides cost effective infrastructure to service providers and promised QoS to end users without increasing the complexity in the core network as well as at the user side WiMAX is easy to deploy and integrate with the existing IP core network, which acts as a backbone infrastructure. The IP core offers the support of integrate with the existing IP core network, which acts as an IP core network for voice applications. Their main aim was to block these calls in entering network, deploying the required applications for streaming video, FTP and VoIP telephony, the configuration of QoS parameters within the WiMAX core network as well as in the WiMAX access network, and QoS configurations within the WiMAX core network for voice applications. Simulations are performed using the QualNet simulator for different codecs under different packetization time, buffer size and with different network load.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we survey and comment on closely related work. In section 3, we present our simulation design model; describe our evaluation methodology and some important configuration parameters for both the base station and the application. In section 4, we present our results and analyze the performance of a given VoIP application under different network conditions. Finally, section 5 concludes the paper, with outline of future work.

II. RELATED WORK

Many studies have been conducted in WiMAX to evaluate and analyze the VoIP performance, one closely related work to our was published by Ricardo et al, in [6], the authors measured the capacity of WiMAX link using BE and the performance of mixed traffic. In this study, the authors did not evaluate the VoIP performance regarding RTP jitter and delay. Fauzia et al, in [5], identified and defined a mechanism for free VoIP flows in a wireless network. Their main aim was to block these calls in entering network or to impose charges to obtain services. Another study by K.A. shuaib [4], identified the mobility influence over all throughput, the packet loss and delay with the main focus on signal strength. The researcher does not report the results with respect to the VoIP flows and QoS parameters. Scalabrino et al, [3], in their pioneer work, focused on VoIP performance using testbed. In this study, the authors mainly concentrated on the call quality measurement using the R-factor instead of the MOS. As I. Adhicandra stated in [8], with the use of ertPS instead of the UGS scheduling class, the BE performance can be increased because ertPS use a silence suppression mechanism. In this paper, the authors
only consider the data over BE and also do not provide detail about the voice codec.

In this study, we explore the same methodology as in [6]. However, we evaluate the performance of various VoIP codecs with different performance metrics, and especially with and without RTP jitter buffer effects. Also, we evaluate the variant packet stay time in the jitter buffer, in order to select best one from experiments. Compared with the other works mentioned above, the measurements reports for the Mobile WiMAX are very rare. To the best of our knowledge, our study is one of the first which analyzes the performance of the VoIP codecs over the BE traffic class with respect to the RTP metrics.

III. METHODOLOGY

In our study, we use the QualNet 4.5 simulator [10], which has a support of fixed and mobile WiMAX, to analyze the performance of VoIP under a given scenario. We designed two scenarios, one for the evaluation of the VoIP traffic behavior over the BE class with the RTP jitter buffer, and the second one without it.

Fig. 1. Network topology for simulation experiments

As shown in figure 1 and Table 1, there is one BS, while the number of SSs varies up to 40. Others network configuration parameters are used in the simulation are defined in Table 1. We assume that there are three groups of users as depicted in figure 1. They are placed around the BS in a circular fashion. The first group consist of 10 users who are obtaining a YouTube video stream from a video server (the Video traffic generator acts as a background video stream server) at 314 Kbps (320*240, 24 f/s) and some of them have smart phone video at 324 Kbps (320*240, 24 f/s), almost which both of them have the same data rate with a 20ms mean inter-packet arrival time. The second group also contains 10 active users during the whole simulation time. Half of them are using the FTP server and having the file transfer service only in the downlink direction using TCP protocol at the rate of 512 Kbps, and video traces of one clip at a 410kpbs average data rate and in peak condition less than 4Mbps because the leaky bucket is not used, is run on the rest of users within the same group.

TABLE 1. NETWORK SIMULATION PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrain size</td>
<td>800 x 800 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cells</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of base station</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of SSs</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating frequency</td>
<td>2.5 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System bandwidth</td>
<td>10 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame size (msec)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame size ratio of DL to UL</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phy Scheme</td>
<td>OFDMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex scheme</td>
<td>TDD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation Technique</td>
<td>64QAM,16QAM, BPSK/QPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS transmit power</td>
<td>43 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS transmit power</td>
<td>23 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation time</td>
<td>1000s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last and important group consists of 20 users, and has VoIP flows with different date rates depending on the codecs selection and requirements. These users are using the Google talk VoIP application for communication; in simple word we are taking Google talk as a reference VoIP application to evaluate the performance under WiMAX networks. All these VoIP flows are running over the best effort scheduling class, which has lowest priority among all scheduling classes, because the Google talk application initiates the https session and secondly due to the freely available much popular in the broadband users. The referenced application uses different types of audio codecs such as (G711, PCMA, PCMU, iLBC, G729, G723 etc.), for further study referred to [9], to provide good voice quality to users, and codec selection depending on the available bandwidth. To evaluate the VoIP codecs performance in the WiMAX network, we are taking G711, G726, G728, G729 and G723 with data rates of 64Kbps, 32Kbps or 24Kbps, 16Kbps, 8Kbps and 5.3 Kbps respectively. For those codecs, standard voice frames duration is used during the whole simulation time.

IV. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

We evaluate the performance of the VoIP codecs in WiMAX using QualNet simulator. In this section, we discuss the performance of VoIP codecs in WiMAX under different network parameters and settings.
Scenario 1:
In the first case, we measure the performance of VoIP codecs against the various performance matrices by considering the variable sizes of the RTP jitter buffer. We used 30ms packet stay time in jitter buffer, and find out how it affected the voice quality.

Figures 2 and 3 show the average one-way delay and jitter with RTP buffer and 30ms packet stay time. The results show that, under a heavy load, the G711 did not perform well and has highest delays 380ms compared to other codecs. When the network becomes congested G711 reach to unsatisfied state while others codecs have normal behavior for each flow. In figure 3 G711 interestingly has minimum average jitter for two flows; no doubt it also has highest average jitter for some flows. Some time this type of situation is caused by wireless link quality. The average jitter for rest of codecs is almost same while G726 with 32Kbps and 24Kbps has ideal jitter under the simulated network setup.

Figure 4 shows the average MOS versus the selected codecs. G711 had acceptable MOS when the number of users was less and start to degrade as soon as the VoIP flows increased. Other Codec almost have average MOS of 2.8 but among them G726 and G723 have smooth score during the whole period.

Figure 5 shows the packet loss for each flow with respect to the selected codecs. G711 has a maximum packet loss of 4% only for two flows; G728 also has a maximum packet loss which is the same as G711. Rest of the codecs have almost same packet loss which is average 1% expect G723 (5.3Kbps) codec, which has the lower packet loss than others. The minimum packet loss of G726 and G723 makes them suitable for such type of BE network.

Figures 6 and 7 shows the average one way delay for G729 and G723 with different packet stay time in jitter buffer. For this purpose, only G723 and G729 are selected due to satisfactory quality under given network.
In this scenario, we evaluated the performance of VoIP flows without RTP jitter buffer over the BE scheduling class. Normally, the RTP jitter buffer is used to control unordered packets to improve the voice quality.

Figure 8 shows first five flows have symmetric delay, who arrived later those which have an asymmetric or unpredictable delay. We noticed G729 performed better than others codecs in this scenario as well as in first scenario too. Interestingly, almost all of the used codecs have an average delay of 120ms. Figure 9, indicates that G711 has higher jitter as compared to others. In contrast, G729 has lower jitter than others. The rest of the codecs have a different jitter value; around 600ms, which is undesirable for VoIP quality.
We evaluated the performance of VoIP codecs over the BE WiMAX network by performing the simulation experiments in QualNet Simulation tool. We measured the VoIP performance over the Best Effort scheduling class with two experiments, one with the RTP jitter buffer and other one without jitter buffer. We found that the VoIP flows have better voice quality with higher MOS under no RTP jitter buffer as compared with the RTP jitter Buffer. Packet loss ratio is also noted twice time lower instead with jitter buffer. We also evaluated the various values for the packet stay time in jitter buffer and best one was used in our experiments. From this analysis, we conclude that the RTP jitter buffer has a significant effect on the overall performance of the VoIP application especially in the best effort scheduling class due to its lowest priority.

In future research, we have a plan to analyze the performance of a given VoIP application scenarios in a mobile environment with respect to the impact of the different mobility models. As suggested in [7], we also have a plan to evaluate and develop an efficient scheduler for given approach to sustain the minimum quality level.
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