Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

Topic 47
Domain Verification and Validation

- The **prerequisite** for following this (part of the) lecture is that you have a reasonable grasp of the previous stages of domain engineering: from domain acquisition, via analysis and concept formation, to domain description (i.e., domain modelling).
- The **aims** are
  - ⋆ to briefly introduce the concepts of domain verification (including model checking and testing) and validation, and
  - ⋆ to cover some of the attendant principles and techniques.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

- The **objective** is
  - ⋆ to complete your education and training so as to become a professional domain engineer.
- The **treatment** is informal.

The Right Domain — The Domain Right

- Domain Validation: Validate to get the right domain.
- Domain Verification: Verify (model check, test) to get the domain right.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

Introduction

- Let us first review where we are in the process of describing the domain development process and its method principles and techniques:
  - ⋆ (i) First we focused on the core aspects of domain modelling: The "whats" and "hows" of a domain model. We could call this the "production technology".
    - ◇ (i.1) We covered the concepts of abstraction of phenomena and concepts, and
    - ◇ (i.2) the attributes and facets of what is being described in domain models.
    - ◇ (i.3) We covered, in between, the issues of stakeholders and their perspectives.
  - ⋆ That coverage explained "what" a domain model should contain, the abstractions possible, the facets "mirrored", and — notably — with respect to the stakeholders and the perspectives to be dealt with.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

- (ii) Then we focused more on "how". In contrast to "production technology" we could call this "how" the "process technology".
  - ⋆ (ii.1) First, we focused on the process, principles and techniques of domain acquisition, that which "begins" the domain development work.
  - ⋆ (ii.2) Then we covered the process, principles and techniques of domain analysis and concept formation.
- After domain acquisition, domain analysis and concept formation follows the domain modelling proper.
  - ⋆ Finally, we focus on domain validation and verification — the topic of this lecture.
- The purpose of the above review has been to put
  - ⋆ the somehow "reverse" ordering of the previous lectures "straight"
  - ⋆ with respect to the ordering of the domain development processes.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

- We can now summarise the domain development process (even before we have covered the notions of verification and validation).
  - ⋆ After producing the appropriate informative documents:
    - ◇ needs and ideas, concepts, scope and span, synopsis, and contracts,
  - ⋆ one proceeds to identifying domain stakeholders and establishing liaison with members of domain stakeholder groups.
  - ⋆ Then we move on to domain acquisition:
    - ◇ interviews, studies, questionnaire formulation and domain stakeholders' replies to these, ending wit domain description unit indexing and an elicitation report.
  - ⋆ This acquisition is followed by domain analysis and concept formation.
  - ⋆ Then we do the actual domain modelling.
  - ⋆ And, finally, we perform domain verification and validation.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

Domain Verification

In this lecture (as we shall also do in in a later lecture on requirements validation and verification) we use the term verification to also cover the concepts of model checking and testing.

Characterisation 14.200 By *domain verification* we shall understand

- a process, and the resulting (analytic) documents,
- in which some domain descriptions
- are being analysed in order to ascertain whether what is being described
- satisfies certain (claimed or otherwise expected) properties

. ■

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

- So what — really — is the difference between domain validation and domain verification?
  - ⋆ In validation we examine the domain model to make sure we are modelling what the domain stakeholders think that domain is: *Validation gets the right domain model.*
  - ⋆ In verification we examine whether our domain model "hangs together," such as the domain engineers want it to be: *Verification gets the domain model right.*
- Verification is adjoint to validation:
  - ⋆ Both validation and verification are needed.
  - ⋆ Usually verification precedes validation.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

- Verification work typically proceeds as follows:
  - ⋆ Desired properties of the domain model — properties that do not transpire immediately from the domain description —
  - ⋆ are formulated, informally or formally.
  - ⋆ Then
    - ◇ "proofs" by "verbal" arguments,
    - ◇ or some form of symbolic testing,
    - ◇ or formal proofs,
    - ◇ or model checking,
  - ⋆ are performed in order to check that the desired property holds of the domain model.

- So verification, to us, includes, rearranging the terms a bit,
  - ⋆ informal reasoning:
    - ◇ "proofs" by "verbal" arguments and
    - ◇ testing;
  - ⋆ formal reasoning:
    - ◇ formal proofs and
    - ◇ model checking.
  - ⋆ By informal reasoning we shall, however, mean "proofs" by "verbal" arguments.

Informal Reasoning

Characterisation 14.201 By *informal reasoning* we shall understand

- a carefully phrased
- series of arguments,
- which, as a whole,
- convinces an audience of the validity of what is concluded

. ■

- Human beings often reason,
- but are not always careful in doing so.
- Informal reasoning demands great care.

Testing

Characterisation 14.202 By *testing* we shall understand

- that a domain description is
- provided with set values for all relevant arguments (the test data),
- with the description then being evaluated ("executed") for those arguments.
- The test then results in a "final value" of the description for those arguments

. ■

- Such a "final value" may be a complicated quantity.
- Typical final values could be
  - ⋆ an execution sequence, or a trace of description points,
  - ⋆ with a set of variable values for each step in the sequence (i.e., a trace).

- In another way of phrasing it:
  - ⋆ Testing is a systematic search for a counterexample
  - ⋆ to a claim (or proof) of correctness.
- Testing, till recently, has basically been a heuristics-based science.
- An important part of testing is text analysis.
- If domain description parts have been formalised, then theory-based testing technologies have been or can be developed and can be used for testing.

Formal Proofs

Characterisation 14.203 By a *formal proof* we shall understand

- a given domain description,
- a statement (a theorem) to be proved and
- a proof that the domain description satisfies the statement:
  - ⋆ This proof refers to a proof system for the language in which the domain description is expressed (axioms and inference rules),
  - ⋆ and is otherwise a sequence, composed from steps,
  - ⋆ where each step in the sequence is like a theorem (a lemma), a statement, and
  - ⋆ where pairs of steps in the proof sequence are related, i.e., are justified, by the axioms and the inference rules

. ■

Model Checking

Characterisation 14.204 By *model checking* we shall understand

- *a method for formally verifying usually concurrent systems,*
- *whose usually extremely large, practically speaking infinite state systems,*
- *have been reduced to manageable finite-state systems.*

- We augment this characterisation by the following:
  - ⋆ In model checking a somehow executable abstraction of the thing to be checked is programmed.
  - ⋆ That model is then subject to certain forms of executions in which specified properties are checked.
  - ⋆ These executions, for example, check whether the model is able to enter certain states or not

. ■

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

- Domain descriptions about such finite-state systems are typically expressed as temporal logic formulas.
- Efficient symbolic algorithms are used
  - ⋆ to traverse the (state machine) model defined by the system
  - ⋆ and to check if the domain description holds or not,
  - ⋆ i.e., whether the model execution "enters" appropriate states,
  - ⋆ albeit for a "reduced" set of possible states of systems.
- Extremely large state-spaces can often be traversed in minutes.

© Dines Bjørner, Fredsvej 11, DK–2840 Holte, Denmark
E-mails: db@imm.dtu.dk, bjorner@gmail.com, dines@bjorner.biz; URL: www.imm.dtu.dk/~db

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

Domain Validation

Characterisation 14.205 By *domain validation* we shall understand

- a process, and the resulting (analytic) documents,
- in which some domain descriptive documents are being coinspected by domain stakeholders and domain engineers, and
- in which whatever is being described
  - ⋆ is being positively and/or negatively reviewed
    - ◇ with reference to the elicitation report and
    - ◇ with respect to whatever the stakeholders might now realise about their domain.

© Dines Bjørner, Fredsvej 11, DK–2840 Holte, Denmark
E-mails: db@imm.dtu.dk, bjorner@gmail.com, dines@bjorner.biz; URL: www.imm.dtu.dk/~db

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

  - ⋆ This includes pointing out, if necessary,
    - ◇ inconsistencies,
    - ◇ incompletenesses,
    - ◇ conflicts and
    - ◇ errors of description
  - ⋆ that may change the elicitation report

.

- Domain validation is possibly interwoven with domain verification work — more on this later in the lecture.

© Dines Bjørner, Fredsvej 11, DK–2840 Holte, Denmark
E-mails: db@imm.dtu.dk, bjorner@gmail.com, dines@bjorner.biz; URL: www.imm.dtu.dk/~db

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

The Domain Validation Documents

- In order to perform domain validations, the validators need the following (input) documents:
  - ⋆ the list of domain stakeholders;
  - ⋆ the domain acquisition documents:
    - ◇ questionnaire,
    - ◇ and the collection of indexed description units;
  - ⋆ the rough-sketch, terminology, narrative, and possibly — if produced — the formalisation documents that constitute the domain description proper;
  - ⋆ and the domain analysis and concept formation documents.
  - ⋆ That is, the validators need access to basically all documents produced (so far) in the domain modelling effort.

© Dines Bjørner, Fredsvej 11, DK–2840 Holte, Denmark
E-mails: db@imm.dtu.dk, bjorner@gmail.com, dines@bjorner.biz; URL: www.imm.dtu.dk/~db

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

- In order to complete domain validation, the validators produce the following (output) documents:
  - ⋆ a possibly updated domain stakeholder document;
  - ⋆ possibly updated domain acquisition documents;
  - ⋆ possibly updated rough sketches, terminology, narrative, and — if relevant — the formalisation documents;
  - ⋆ possibly updated domain analysis and concept formation documents; and
  - ⋆ a domain validation report.
- We now cover some aspects of the necessarily informal validation process.

© Dines Bjørner, Fredsvej 11, DK–2840 Holte, Denmark
E-mails: db@imm.dtu.dk, bjorner@gmail.com, dines@bjorner.biz; URL: www.imm.dtu.dk/~db

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

The Domain Validation Process

- Domain validation proceeds as follows:
  - ⋆ Domain engineers "sit together" with stakeholders and review, line by line, the domain model,
  - ⋆ holding it up against the previously elicited domain description units,
  - ⋆ while then noting down any discrepancies.

© Dines Bjørner, Fredsvej 11, DK–2840 Holte, Denmark
E-mails: db@imm.dtu.dk, bjorner@gmail.com, dines@bjorner.biz; URL: www.imm.dtu.dk/~db

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

- In doing domain validation, domain stakeholders usually read the informal, yet precise and detailed narrative descriptions.
- No assumption is made as to their ability to read formalisations.
- On the contrary: It is assumed that they cannot read formal specifications.
- For reasonably large-scale projects the customer may hire professional consultants who can also study the formalisations.
- This is just like future ship owners hiring Lloyd's Register of Shipping to check ship designs in preparation for insurance companies to take on insurance risks.

© Dines Bjørner, Fredsvej 11, DK–2840 Holte, Denmark
E-mails: db@imm.dtu.dk, bjorner@gmail.com, dines@bjorner.biz; URL: www.imm.dtu.dk/~db

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark
Richard Petersens Plads, DK-2800 Kgs.Lyngby, Denmark

- Domain validation (and verification) ends with a signed domain validation (and verification) report.
  - ⋆ This report either OKs the domain model, or
  - ⋆ points out required corrections
    - ◇ in the elicitation report,
    - ◇ in the domain analysis and concept formation report, and
    - ◇ in the domain model.

© Dines Bjørner, Fredsvej 11, DK–2840 Holte, Denmark
E-mails: db@imm.dtu.dk, bjorner@gmail.com, dines@bjorner.biz; URL: www.imm.dtu.dk/~db

Domain Development Iterations

- Thus domain validation (and verification) can be an iterative process, alternating possibly with
  - ⋆ further domain verification,
  - ⋆ further elicitation report work,
  - ⋆ further domain analysis and concept formation work,
  - ⋆ and with further domain modelling work.
- The domain validation process may end with further domain validation (and verification) work.

Discussion
General

- We have treated aspects of domain validation and verification — in the same lecture since they relate in many ways.
- And we have used the term verification, primarily to stand for formal proofs, but, secondarily, also for model checks and tests.

Principles, Techniques and Tools

- We summarise:

Principle 14.77 *Domain Validation:* To ensure that the domain described is the right domain. ■

Principle 14.78 *Domain Verification:* To uncover a domain theory, i.e., to get the domain descriptions right. ■

Techniques 55 *Domain Validation:* In summary, human, collaborative document inspection. ■

Techniques 56 *Domain verification* techniques,

- based on formal descriptions, include those that enable
  - ⋆ formal verification (of posed lemmas and theorems),
  - ⋆ model checking, and
  - ⋆ tests,

  while domain verification techniques,
- based on informal descriptions, basically amount to
  - ⋆ informal, concise reasoning

. ■

Tools 14.19 Since *domain validation* is basically an informal process, the tools are those that support

- document cross-referencing between domain description units and narrative domain descriptions and domain terminologies,
- and data mining based on such documents

. ■

Tools 14.20 *Domain verification*

- based on formal descriptions requires such tools as, for example,
  - ⋆ proof assistants and theorem provers,
  - ⋆ model checkers, and
  - ⋆ test generators and tester monitors;

  whereas domain verification
- based on informal descriptions basically requires
  - ⋆ human reasoning

. ■