

## Topic 28

### Domain Stakeholders

- The **prerequisite** for following this part of the lecture is that you have followed lectures over-viewing
  - ★ software development in general and
  - ★ domain engineering in particular.

- The **objective** is
  - ★ to ensure that you carefully consider and include the concerns of all relevant stakeholders
  - ★ when in future you are developing
    - ◇ domain descriptions,
    - ◇ requirements prescriptions and
    - ◇ software designs.
- The **treatment** is from systematic to formal (sketches).

### Stakeholders

**Characterisation 9.150** By a domain *stakeholder* we shall understand

- a person, or a group of persons, “united” somehow in their common interest in, or dependency on the domain; or
- an institution, an enterprise, or a group of such, (again) characterised (and, again, loosely) by their common interest in, or dependency on the domain

### General Application Stakeholders

**Characterisation 9.151** • By *general application domain stakeholders* we understand stakeholders whose primary interest

- ★ is neither the projects which develop software (from domains, via requirements to software design),
- ★ nor the products evolving from such projects.
- Instead we mean stakeholders from
- typically non-IT business areas

- The **aims** are
  - ★ to introduce the concept of (domain) stakeholders,
  - ★ to distinguish between different categories of stakeholders, and
  - ★ to sketch a fairly advanced (also formalised) example of enterprise stakeholders.

### Introduction

- At the very outset of any phase of development,
  - ★ whether the universe of discourse be some
  - ★ domain model development,
  - ★ requirements development or
  - ★ software design,
- it is important to identify all possibly relevant stakeholders.
- Throughout the development phase it is then important to ensure that each stakeholder (group) is properly “taken care of”, i.e., their concerns are properly modelled.

- Obviously we could “equate” institutions and enterprises with groups of one or more persons.
- For pragmatic reasons of identification (i.e., “discovery”) it is, in cases, sometimes easier, we believe, to think of institutions and enterprises.

- Thus general application domain stakeholders are typically those whom we can characterise as from such domains as:
  - ★ transportation, manufacturing, mining, financial industries,
  - ★ public government, the service sector, etc.

**Example 9.94 Railway Train System Stakeholders:** When modelling, i.e., describing, the domain of railways, one may be well advised in considering the following stakeholder groups — listed in an order that may reflect the view of the first group:

- owners (e.g., stockholders or a government),
- management (consisting of
  - ★ executive management,
  - ★ mid-level management,
  - ★ operational (“floor”) management (i.e., “white collar workers”), etc.),
- railway staff at large (“people on the floor” other than “floor” management, i.e., “blue collar” workers — and possibly arranged into several stakeholder groups including families),
- customers (passengers and freightors (people etc., sending and receiving freight)),
- users (people coming to send off or receive passengers, and people coming to send off or receive freight),

- agents (travel bureaus, and logistics firms),
- railway infrastructure companies,<sup>10</sup>
- suppliers
  - ★ (of day-to-day materiel (consumables), of new railway infrastructure components (i.e., lines, tracks, signals, etc.), and of information technology and software),
- railway regulatory agency or agencies,
- politicians “at large”, and
- the general public, “at large”.

<sup>10</sup>So we are considering a train service operator, in contrast to those who own (and operate) the rail set. In many countries these are two distinct groups of enterprises.

- The above example is typical of the kind of rough sketch, or even narrative, documentation text that the software developer has to produce in the course of describing a domain.
- But the above list is merely indicative, not final.
- It is also given here to “augment” our characterisation of what is meant by the stakeholder concept.
- Thus you can take this listing as a cue to construct similar stakeholder listings for other domains.

### Software Development Stakeholders

One can identify two extremes of software (SW) developments: turnkey software and commercial off-the-shelf software (COTS).

#### Turnkey Software Development Stakeholders

**Characterisation 9.152** • By *turnkey software* we understand software that is developed — usually from “almost scratch” — in very specific response to a specific client/developer contract

**Characterisation 9.153** • By a *turnkey software development stakeholder* we thus understand a stakeholder from the software developer or from that client domain

- Stakeholders from this “extrovert” domain are (thus) typically:
  - ★ The client
    - ◇ contract management,
    - ◇ client users
    - ◇ and customers, affected by the contracted software; and
  - ★ the software house
    - ◇ contract management,
    - ◇ software engineers
    - ◇ and supporting technicians.

### Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) SW Development Stakeholders

- By COTS we mean
  - ★ generic kinds of software, i.e.,
  - ★ software whose functionality is as much, or more, decided upon by the makers of the software than by the customers and users of the software;
  - ★ software which is expected to cover, or actually covers the needs of many clients,
  - ★ and which the maker thus expects to sell in dozens, hundreds or thousands of copies.

### Characterisation 9.154 COTS Stakeholder:

- By *COTS stakeholders* we (thus) typically mean:
  - ★ people from software houses:
    - ◇ software house owners and management (at least two groups),
    - ◇ marketing, sales and service departments (three groups),
    - ◇ the programmers, i.e., the software engineers,
    - ◇ distributors of the software, and
    - ◇ other software houses which base tailor-made software development on COTS;
  - ★ as well as people from the application domains for which the software house makes these products:
    - ◇ customers (clients) and
    - ◇ users

### Purpose of Listing Stakeholders

- Lest we forget it, let us remind ourselves why we wish to systematically record all possibly relevant stakeholder groups:
  - ★ It is so that we can systematically and “near exhaustively” consider all relevant stakeholder groups,
  - ★ when we now go on to ascertain their view of, their perspective of, the universe of discourse — here the domain.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design	Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
Stakeholder Perspectives	April 5, 2006, 09:20	Richard Preussen, Peter, Ole 2000 Kiga Lengde, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/3/s49/3/s49	Page 803, Topic: 28, Foli: 17	

## Stakeholder Perspectives

**Characterisation 9.155** By a *stakeholder perspective* we understand

- the, or an, understanding of the domain shared by
- the specifically identified stakeholder group —
- a view that may differ from one stakeholder group to another stakeholder group of the same domain

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design	Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
Stakeholder Perspectives	April 5, 2006, 09:20	Richard Preussen, Peter, Ole 2000 Kiga Lengde, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/3/s49/3/s49	Page 805, Topic: 28, Foli: 19	

- ★ And thus two or more such group perspectives can give rise to
  - ◇ inconsistent, and/or
  - ◇ conflicting
 overall views on domain attributes and facets.
- We shall return to the above issues when we later treat the methodological concerns of domain acquisition and validation.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design	Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
Perspectives of General Applications	April 5, 2006, 09:20	Richard Preussen, Peter, Ole 2000 Kiga Lengde, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/3/s49/3/s49	Page 807, Topic: 28, Foli: 21	

- ★ All of the above stakeholder groups have perspectives that primarily focus on their shared domain: the general application area.
- ★ This is in contrast to the perspectives of stakeholders of the software house, the developer with whom the client contracts.
- ★ Besides wishing to secure, in their perspectives, the professional integrity of their company, the *software house developer* perspectives include those of satisfying the client.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design	Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
Perspectives of General Applications	April 5, 2006, 09:20	Richard Preussen, Peter, Ole 2000 Kiga Lengde, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/3/s49/3/s49	Page 809, Topic: 28, Foli: 23	

- The management groups have the following kinds of functions.
  - ★ Strategic management has to do with upgrading or downsizing, i.e., converting an enterprise's resources from one form to another — making sure that resources are available for tactical management.
  - ★ Tactical management has to do with temporal, typically medium- to long-term scheduling and spatially allocating these resources, in preparation for operations management.
  - ★ Operations management plans final (usually short-term) scheduling and allocation of (resource-consuming) tasks, in preparation for actual enterprise ("floor") operations.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design	Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
3 Stakeholder Perspectives	April 5, 2006, 09:20	Richard Preussen, Peter, Ole 2000 Kiga Lengde, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/3/s49/3/s49	Page 804, Topic: 28, Foli: 18	

- For each stakeholder group we have to investigate (elicit, acquire, and analyse) its perspective with respect to
  - ★ each of the possible domain attributes, as covered next, and
  - ★ each of the possible domain facets, as covered thereafter.
- With respect to stakeholder perspectives we may be prepared to observe
  - ★ that one and the same phenomenon may be considered by two different groups to possess
    - ◇ not quite commensurate attributes,
    - ◇ and not quite commensurate facets.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design	Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
3.1 Perspectives of General Applications	April 5, 2006, 09:20	Richard Preussen, Peter, Ole 2000 Kiga Lengde, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/3/s49/3/s49	Page 806, Topic: 28, Foli: 20	

## Perspectives of General Applications

- The stakeholder perspectives for general application domains are generally of several concerns:
  - ★ *Client executive and other upper-level management* expects computing systems to improve their company's competitiveness, financial position, etc.
  - ★ *Tactical and operational management* usually have perspectives that pertain to management and organisational issues.
  - ★ *Nonmanagement staff* usually have perspectives that pertain to their daily work and to its interface with customers.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design	Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
3.1 Perspectives of General Applications	April 5, 2006, 09:20	Richard Preussen, Peter, Ole 2000 Kiga Lengde, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/3/s49/3/s49	Page 808, Topic: 28, Foli: 22	

### Example 9.95 Resource Management:

- We now present a rather lengthy example that illustrates the interface between a number of stakeholder perspectives.
- The stakeholders are (simplifying):
  - ★ an enterprise's top level, executive management (who plan, take and follow up on strategic decisions),
  - ★ its line management (who plan, take and follow up on tactical decisions),
  - ★ its operations management (who plan, take and follow up on operational decisions)
  - ★ and the enterprise "workers" (who carry out decisions through tasks).

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design	Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
3.1 Perspectives of General Applications	April 5, 2006, 09:20	Richard Preussen, Peter, Ole 2000 Kiga Lengde, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/3/s49/3/s49	Page 810, Topic: 28, Foli: 24	

- After some analysis we arrive at the following:
  - ★ Let **R**, **Rn**, **L**, **T**, **E** and **A** stand for resources, resource names, spatial locations, times, enterprises (with their estimates, service and/or production plans, orders on hand, etc.), respectively tasks (actions).
  - ★ **SR**, **TR** and **OR** stand for strategic, tactical and operational resource views, respectively.
    - ◇ **SR** expresses (temporal) schedules: which sets of resources are either bound or free in which (pragmatically speaking: overall, i.e., "larger") time intervals.
    - ◇ **TR** expresses temporal and spatial allocations of sets of resources, in certain (pragmatically speaking: model finer-grained, i.e., "smaller") time intervals, and to certain locations.
    - ◇ **OR** expresses that certain actions, **A**, are to be, or are being applied to (parameter-named) resources in certain time intervals.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design		Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
Perspectives of General Applications		Page 811, Topic: 28, Foli: 25	Richard Piresen Plank, DK-2800 Kong Lyngby, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/c04/c04-nrengt	April 5, 2006, 09:20		

**type**  $R, R_n, L, T, E$   
 $RS = R\text{-set}$   
 $SR = (T \times T) \xrightarrow{m} RS, \quad SRS = SR\text{-inset}$   
 $TR = (T \times T) \xrightarrow{m} RS \xrightarrow{m} L, \quad TRS = TR\text{-set}$   
 $OR = (T \times T) \xrightarrow{m} RS \xrightarrow{m} A$   
 $A = (R_n \xrightarrow{m} RS) \xrightarrow{\sim} (R_n \xrightarrow{m} RS)$

**value**  
 $obs.R_n: R \rightarrow R_n$   
 $sr_m: RS \rightarrow E \times E \xrightarrow{\sim} E \times (SRS \times SR)$   
 $tr_m: SR \rightarrow E \times E \xrightarrow{\sim} E \times (TRS \times TR)$   
 $or_m: TR \rightarrow E \times E \xrightarrow{\sim} E \times OR$   
 $p: RS \times E \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$   
 $ope: OR \rightarrow TR \rightarrow SR \rightarrow (E \times E \times E \times E) \rightarrow E \times RS$

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design		Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
3.1 Perspectives of General Applications		Page 812, Topic: 28, Foli: 26	Richard Piresen Plank, DK-2800 Kong Lyngby, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/c04/c04-nrengt	April 5, 2006, 09:20		

- We introduce a number of functions.
- These partial, including loosely specified, and in cases nondeterministic functions **sr<sub>m</sub>**, **tr<sub>m</sub>** and **or<sub>m</sub>** stand for strategic, tactical, respectively operations resource management.
- Let **p** be a predicate which determines whether the enterprise can continue to operate (with its state and in its environment, **e**), or not.
- To keep our model small we have had to resort to a “trick”:
  - ★ putting all the facts knowable and needed in order for management to function adequately into **E**.
  - ★ Besides the enterprise itself, **E** also models its environment:
  - ★ that part of the world which affects the enterprise.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design		Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
Perspectives of General Applications		Page 813, Topic: 28, Foli: 27	Richard Piresen Plank, DK-2800 Kong Lyngby, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/c04/c04-nrengt	April 5, 2006, 09:20		

- Management functions:
  - ★ *Strategic resource management:*
    - ◇  $sr_m(rs)(e, e''''')$ .
    - ◇ Let us call the result  $(e', (srs, sr))$ .
    - ◇ **sr<sub>m</sub>** proceeds on the basis of the enterprise: as it is now (**e**), and as one would like it to become  $(e''''')$ , as well as its current resources (**rs**).
    - ◇ **sr<sub>m</sub>** “ideally estimates” all possible strategic resource acquisitions (upgrading) and/or downsizings (divestments) (**srs**).
    - ◇ And **sr<sub>m</sub>** selects one desirable strategic resource schedule (**sr**).

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design		Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
3.1 Perspectives of General Applications		Page 814, Topic: 28, Foli: 28	Richard Piresen Plank, DK-2800 Kong Lyngby, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/c04/c04-nrengt	April 5, 2006, 09:20		

- ★ The “estimation” is heuristic.
  - ◇ Too little is normally known to compute **sr<sub>m</sub>** algorithmically.
  - ◇ One can, however, based on careful analysis of **sr<sub>m</sub>**’s pre/postconditions, usually provide some form of computerised decision support for strategic management.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design		Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
Perspectives of General Applications		Page 815, Topic: 28, Foli: 29	Richard Piresen Plank, DK-2800 Kong Lyngby, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/c04/c04-nrengt	April 5, 2006, 09:20		

- ★ *Tactical resource management:*
  - ◇  $tr_m(sr)(e, e''''')$ .
  - ◇ Let us call the result  $(e'', (trs, tr))$ .
  - ◇ **tr<sub>m</sub>** proceeds on the basis of the enterprise: as it is now (**e**), and as one would like it to become  $(e''''')$ , as well as one chosen strategic resource view (**sr**).
  - ◇ **tr<sub>m</sub>** “ideally calculates” all possible tactical resource possibilities (**trs**).
  - ◇ And **tr<sub>m</sub>** selects one desirable tactical resource schedule and allocation (**tr**).
- ★ Again, **tr<sub>m</sub>** cannot be fully algorithmitised.
  - ◇ But some combinations of partial answer computations and decision support can be provided.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design		Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
3.1 Perspectives of General Applications		Page 816, Topic: 28, Foli: 30	Richard Piresen Plank, DK-2800 Kong Lyngby, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/c04/c04-nrengt	April 5, 2006, 09:20		

- ★ *Operations resource management:*
  - ◇  $or_m(tr)(e, e''''')$ .
  - ◇ Let us call the result  $(e''''', or)$ .
  - ◇ **or<sub>m</sub>** proceeds on the basis of the enterprise: as it is now (**e**), and as one would like it to become  $(e''''')$ , as well as one chosen tactical resource view (**tr**).
  - ◇ And **or<sub>m</sub>** effectively decides on one operations resource view (**or**).
- ★ Typically **or<sub>m</sub>** can be algorithmitised — applying standard operations research techniques.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design		Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
Perspectives of General Applications		Page 817, Topic: 28, Foli: 31	Richard Piresen Plank, DK-2800 Kong Lyngby, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/c04/c04-nrengt	April 5, 2006, 09:20		

- ★ *Actual enterprise operation:*
  - ◇ **ope**,
  - ◇ enables, but does not guarantee,
  - ◇ some “common” view of the enterprise.
    - **ope** depends on the views of the enterprise, its context, state and environment, **e**, as “passed down” by management;
    - and **ope** applies, according to prescriptions kept in the enterprise context and state, actions, **a**, to named  $(rn:R_n)$  sets of resources.
  - ◇ The above account is, obviously, rather idealised,
  - ◇ but, we hope it is indicative of what is going on.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING: Domains, Requirements and Software Design		Volume 3	Department of Computer Science and Engineering Institute of Informatics and Mathematical Modelling Technical University of Denmark
3.1 Perspectives of General Applications		Page 818, Topic: 28, Foli: 32	Richard Piresen Plank, DK-2800 Kong Lyngby, Denmark
home:/db/vollf/c04/c04-nrengt	April 5, 2006, 09:20		

- Relating the above schematic example to, for example, the railway domain we may suggest:
  - ★ Resources **R** include access to (not necessarily ownership of) the rail net, rights to rent passenger train carriages and locomotives, staff, monies, etc.
  - ★ Strategic resources is, for example, about needing additional or changed rail net access rights, needing further or different kinds of train sets, etc.
  - ★ Strategic resource management, **sr<sub>m</sub>**, typically brings many operators together, negotiating with rail infrastructure owners about access rights and with train set leasing (and lease finance) companies for rental of train sets, etc. **srs:SRS** designates all possible outcomes of a company’s own strategic planning; **sr:SR** designates a negotiated solution.

- \* Tactical resources is, for example, now about the rostering of train staff (crew allocation), allocation of train sets to maintenance locations, etc.
- \* Tactical resource management, **trm**, typically involves negotiation with trade unions, with maintenance units, etc. **trs:TRS** designates all possible outcomes of a company's own tactical planning (its negotiating options); **tr:TR** designates a negotiated solution.
- To give a further abstraction of the “life cycle” of the enterprise, we idealise it, as now shown:

value  
enterprise:  $RS \simeq E \simeq \mathbf{Unit}$   
enterprise(rs)(e)  $\equiv$   
**if** p(rs)(e) **then**  
    **let** (e',(srs,sr)) = srm(rs)(e,e'''),  
    (e'',(trs,tr)) = trm(sr)(e,e'''),  
    (e''',or) = orm(tr)(e,e'''),  
    (e''',rs') = ope(or)(tr)(sr)(e,e',e'',e''') **in**  
    **let** e'''' : E · p(e'''' , e'''' ) **in**  
    enterprise(rs)(e'''' ) **end end**  
**else stop end**

p: E × E → **Bool**

- The **enterprise** reinvocation argument, **rs'**,
  - \* a result of operations,
  - \* is intended to reflect the use of strategically, tactically and operationally acquired,
  - \* spatially and task allocated and scheduled resources,
  - \* including partial consumption, “wear and tear”, loss, replacements, etc.
- The **let**  $e'''' : E \cdot p(e'''' , e'''' )$  **in** ... shall model a changing environment.

- There were two forms of recursion at play here:
  - \* The simple tail-recursion (i.e., the recursive invocation of **enterprise**),
  - \* and the recursive “build-up” of the enterprise state  $e''''$ .
- The former is trivial.

- The latter is the interesting one:
  - \* Solution, by iteration towards some acceptable, not necessarily minimal fix-point, “mimics” the way the three levels of management and the “floor” operations change that state and “pass it around, up and down” the management hierarchy.
  - \* The **operate** function “unifies” the views that different management levels have of the enterprise, and influences their decision making.
  - \* Dependence on **E** also models potential interaction between enterprise management and, conceivably, all other stakeholders.

- We remind the listener that — in the previous example — we are “only” modelling the **domain!**
- That model is, obviously, sketchy.
- But we believe it portrays important facets of domain modelling and stakeholder perspectives.
- The stakeholders were, to repeat: strategy (“executive”) management (**srm**, **p**), tactical (“line”) management (**trm**), operations (“floor”) management (**orm**) and the workers (**ope**).
- The perspective being modelled focused on two aspects:
  - \* their individual jobs, as “modelled” by the “functions” (**srm**, **p**, **trm**, **orm**, **ope**),
  - \* and their interactions, as “modelled” by the passing around of arguments (**e**, **e'**, **e''**, **e''''**, **e''''''**).

- The **let**  $e'''' : E \cdot p(e'''' , e'''' )$  **in** ... ,
  - \* which “models” the changing environment,
thus summarises the perspectives of “all other” stakeholders!
- We are modelling a domain with all its imperfections:
  - \* We are not specifying anything algorithmically;
  - \* all functions are rather loosely, hence partially defined; in fact only their signature is given.
  - \* This means that we model well managed as well as badly, sloppily or disastrously managed enterprises.

- We can, of course, define a great number of predicates on the enterprise state and its environment (**e:E**),
- and we can partially characterise intrinsics — facts that must always be true of an enterprise, no matter how.
- If we “programme-specified” the enterprise then we would not be modelling the domain of enterprises, but a specifically “business process engineered” enterprise.
- Or we would be into requirements engineering — we claim. ■

## Perspectives of Software Development

- If the application domain is that of software development itself
  - ★ either just domain engineering,
  - ★ or just requirements engineering,
  - ★ or just software design,
  - ★ or the first two, the last two, or all three of the above,as is the subject domain of these lectures,

## Discussion: Stakeholders and Their Perspectives General

- This lecture has discussed the concept of stakeholders.
- In subsequent lectures we shall take up the thread and, occasionally, indicate where we differentiate, in our descriptions, etc., between perspectives of different stakeholders.
- In a subsequent lecture's treatment of business processes and management and organisation we may occasionally refer to the need for special descriptions of stakeholder perspective.

### Principle 9.38 Domain Stakeholder Perspective:

- At the very outset of a development project
- define, together with designated domain stakeholders,
- their roles, their "jurisdictions" and their "rights and duties".
- Be prepared, throughout a project, to revise the roles of domain stakeholders

- Typically such communications deal, as we shall see later, with:
  - ★ role assignments,
  - ★ acquisition and
  - ★ validation

### Tools 9.12 Domain Stakeholder Liaison:

- The tools mentioned under information documents (Slide 277) apply equally well here

- then the domain stakeholders are primarily
  - ★ the software house owners and upper management,
  - ★ the software engineers and their immediate managers,
  - ★ the technicians who support the work of the software engineers,
  - ★ and the suppliers of technology (hardware and software) that support the work of management, software engineers and technicians.

## Principles, Techniques and Tools

### Principle 9.37 Domain Stakeholder:

- At the very outset of a development project
- identify all possible and potential domain stakeholders.
- It is better to include too many, than forget some who can later create a nuisance, or more, when rightfully intervening.
- Be prepared, throughout a project, to revise the list of domain stakeholders

### Techniques 28 Domain Stakeholder Liaison:

- Maintain, openly inspectable, lists of all contemplated, respectively of all actual domain stakeholders.
- Liaise regularly with all actual domain stakeholders.
- Inform all other (contemplated) domain stakeholders of "what's going on".
- Write down in clear (natural, yet legally binding) language the role of each actual stakeholder.
- Maintain a dossier of all communications with all domain stakeholders.

- Typically such communications deal, as we shall see later, with:
  - ★ role assignments,
  - ★ acquisition and
  - ★ validation

### Tools 9.12 Domain Stakeholder Liaison:

- The tools mentioned under information documents (Slide 277) apply equally well here