McShane-Whitney extensions and the Hahn-Banach theorem

**Iosif Petrakis** 

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich

Second Workshop on Mathematic Logic and its Applications Kanazawa 05.03.2018

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Overview of this talk

- Lipschitz functions, constructively
- The McShane-Whitney extension theorem
- From Hahn-Banach to McShane-Whitney
- From McShane-Whitney to Hahn-Banach

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Lipschitz functions, constructively

# Lipschitz functions

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Lip}(X,Y) &:= \bigcup_{\sigma \geq 0} \operatorname{Lip}(X,Y,\sigma), \\ \operatorname{Lip}(X,Y,\sigma) &:= \{ f \in \mathbb{F}(X,Y) \mid \forall_{x,y \in X} (\rho(f(x),f(y)) \leq \sigma d(x,y)) \}. \\ \end{split}$$
 If  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $\operatorname{Lip}(X)$  and  $\operatorname{Lip}(X,\sigma)$ , respectively.

$$\operatorname{Lip}(X,Y)\subseteq C_u(X,Y)$$

If  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(X, Y)$ , then f respects boundedness. If  $\mathbb{N}$  is with discrete metric, then  $\operatorname{id} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R} \in C_u(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{N})$  and  $\operatorname{id}(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{N}$ .

**Met**: the category of metric spaces with arrows between X, Y the set Lip(X, Y, 1).

## Proposition (P, 2016)

Let X be a totally bounded metric space. If  $f \in C_u(X)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are  $\sigma > 0$  and  $g^*, {}^*g \in \operatorname{Lip}(X, \sigma)$  s.t.

(i) 
$$f - \epsilon \leq g^* \leq f \leq {}^*g \leq f + \epsilon$$
.  
(ii) For every  $e \in \operatorname{Lip}(X, \sigma)$ ,  $e \leq f \Rightarrow e \leq g^*$ .  
(iii) For every  $e \in \operatorname{Lip}(X, \sigma)$ ,  $f \leq e \Rightarrow {}^*g \leq e$ .

## Corollary

If X is totally bounded, then Lip(X) is uniformly dense in  $C_u(X)$ .

Uniformly continuous functions "are" almost Lipschitz

## Definition

A function  $f: X \to Y$  is almost Lipschitz, if there is a modulus of almost Lipschitz-continuity  $\sigma_f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  s.t.

 $\forall_{\epsilon>0} (f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\epsilon}(X, Y, \sigma_f(\epsilon))),$ 

 $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\epsilon}(X, Y, \sigma) :\Leftrightarrow \forall_{x,y \in X} (\rho(f(x), f(y)) \leq \sigma d(x, y) + \epsilon).$ 

If f is almost Lipschitz, then f is uniformly continuous and respects boundedness.

## Theorem (Vanderbei, 2017)

Let X, Y be normed spaces and let  $C \subseteq X$  be convex. If  $f : C \rightarrow Y$  is uniformly continuous, then f is almost Lipschitz.

## Proof.

Constructive.

The uniform limit of almost Lipschitz functions is almost Lipschitz.

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(f) &:= \{ \sigma \geq 0 \mid \forall_{x,y \in X} (\rho(f(x), f(y)) \leq \sigma d(x, y)) \}, \\ M_0(f) &:= \{ \sigma_{x,y}(f) \mid (x, y) \in X_0 \}, \\ X_0 &:= \{ (x, y) \in X \times X \mid d(x, y) > 0 \}, \\ \sigma_{x,y}(f) &:= \frac{\rho(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)}. \end{split}$$

Classically, if  $f \in \text{Lip}(X, Y)$  and  $\exists \inf \Lambda(f)$ , then  $\exists \sup M_0(f)$ , and  $\sup M_0(f) = \inf \Lambda(f)$ .

#### Proposition

Let 
$$f \in \operatorname{Lip}(X, Y)$$
.  
(*i*) If  $\exists \sup M_0(f)$ , then  $\exists \inf \Lambda(f)$ , and  
 $\inf \Lambda(f) = \min \Lambda(f) = \sup M_0(f)$ .  
(*ii*) If  $\exists \inf \Lambda(f)$ , then  $\exists \operatorname{lub} M_0(f)$  and  $\operatorname{lub} M_0(f) = \inf \Lambda(f)$ .  
(*iii*) If  $\exists \operatorname{lub} M_0(f)$ , then  $\exists \inf \Lambda(f)$  and  $\inf \Lambda(f) = \operatorname{lub} M_0(f)$ .

 $L(f) := \sup M_0(f)$ , the Lipschitz constant of f,  $L^*(f) := \operatorname{lub} M_0(f)$ , the weak Lipschitz constant of f. **Open problem**: To find conditions on  $X, Y, f \in Lip(X, Y)$  such that L(f) and/or  $L^*(f)$  exist.

**Lebesgue**: If  $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitz, then f is differentiable almost everywhere.

**Rademacher**: Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open. If  $f : U \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is Lipschitz, then f is almost everywhere differentiable.

**Demuth** (1969): In RUSS there is a Lipschitz function  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is nowhere differentiable.

The McShane-Whitney extension theorem

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

**1.** McShane-Whitney extension theorem (1934): A real-valued Lipschitz function defined on any subset A of a metric space X is extended to a Lipschitz function defined on X.

**2.** It has a highly ineffective proof with the use of Zorn's lemma, similar to the proof of the analytic Hahn-Banach theorem.

**3.** It also admits a proof based on an explicit definition of two such extension functions. This definition, which involves the notions of infimum and supremum of a non-empty bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , can be carried out constructively only if we restrict to certain subsets A of a metric space X.

**4.** To determine metric spaces X and Y such that a similar extension theorem for Y-valued Lipschitz functions defined on a subset A of X holds is a non-trivial problem under active current study in classical analysis.

Definition

Let  $A \subseteq X$ . We call (X, A) a **McShane-Whitney pair**, if for every  $\sigma > 0$  and  $g \in \text{Lip}(A, \sigma)$  the functions  $g^*, {}^*g : X \to \mathbb{R}$  are well-defined,

$$g^*(x) := \sup\{g(a) - \sigma d(x, a) \mid a \in A\},$$
  
$$*g(x) := \inf\{g(a) + \sigma d(x, a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

Theorem (McShane-Whitney) If (X, A) is an MW-pair and  $g \in Lip(A, \sigma)$ , then: (i)  $g^*, *g \in Lip(X, \sigma)$ . (ii)  $g^*|_A = (*g)|_A = g$ . (iii)  $\forall_{f \in Lip(X, \sigma)}(f|_A = g \Rightarrow g^* \le f \le *g)$ . (iv) The pair  $(g^*, *g)$  is the unique pair of functions satisfying (i)-(iii).

In the constructive proof the properties of lub and glb are used, hence we could have defined  $g^*$ , \*g through lub and glb.

The following pairs (X, A) are MW-pairs:

(i) A is totally bounded subset of X.

(ii) X is totally bounded and A is located.

(iii) X is locally compact (totally bounded) and A is bounded and located.

(iv) A is dense in X. In this case  $g^* = {}^*g$ .

**Open problem**: to completely determine the MW-pairs.

Let (X, A) be a MW-pair and  $g \in Lip(A, \sigma)$ .

(i) The set A is located.

(ii) If  $\inf g$  and  $\sup g$  exist, then  $\inf *g$ ,  $\sup g^*$  exist and

$$\inf_{x\in X} {}^*g = \inf_{a\in A} g, \qquad \sup_{x\in X} g^* = \sup_{a\in A} g.$$

### Proposition (step-invariance)

If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$  such that (X, A), (X, B), (B, A) are MW-pairs and  $g \in \text{Lip}(A, \sigma)$ , for some  $\sigma > 0$ , then

$$g^{*x} = g^{*B*x}, \quad {}^{*x}g = {}^{*x*B}g.$$

Let (X, A) be a MW-pair and  $g \in Lip(A)$  such that L(g) exists. (i)  $g \in Lip(A, L(g))$ .

(ii) If f is an L(g)-Lipschitz extension of g, then L(f) exists and L(f) = L(g).

(iii)  $L(*g), L(g^*)$  exist and  $L(*g) = L(g) = L(g^*)$ .

#### Proposition

Let (X, A) be MW-pair,  $g_1 \in \operatorname{Lip}(A, \sigma_1), g_2 \in \operatorname{Lip}(A, \sigma_2)$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Lip}(A, \sigma)$ , for some  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma > 0$ . (i)  $(g_1 + g_2)^* \leq g_1^* + g_2^*$  and  $*(g_1 + g_2) \geq *g_1 + *g_2$ . (ii) If  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $(\lambda g)^* = \lambda g^*$  and  $*(\lambda g) = \lambda^* g$ . (iii) If  $\lambda < 0$ , then  $(\lambda g)^* = \lambda^* g$  and  $*(\lambda g) = \lambda g^*$ .

Let (X, ||.||) be a normed space,  $C \subseteq X$  convex, (X, C) a MW-pair, and  $g \in \operatorname{Lip}(C, \sigma)$ , for some  $\sigma > 0$ .

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

(i) If g is convex, then \*g is convex.

(ii) If g is concave, then  $g^*$  is concave.

### Definition

(X, A) is a **locally** MW-pair, if for every bounded  $B \subseteq A$  there is  $B \subseteq A' \subseteq A$  such that (X, A') is a MW-pair.

## Proposition (Bridges-Vîță)

Let Y be a located subset of a metric space X and T a totally bounded subset of X such that  $T \bowtie Y$ . Then there is  $S \subseteq X$  totally bounded such that  $T \cap Y \subseteq S \subseteq Y$ .

#### Proposition

(i) If X is locally totally bounded metric space and  $A \subseteq X$  located, then (X, A) is a locally MW-pair.

(ii) If A is a locally totally bounded subset of X, then (X, A) is a locally MW-pair.

## Hölder continuous functions of order $\alpha$

If  $\sigma \ge 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\operatorname{H\"ol}(X, Y, \sigma, \alpha) := \{ f \in \mathbb{F}(X, Y) \mid \forall_{x,y \in X} (\rho(f(x), f(y)) \le \sigma d(x, y)^{\alpha}) \},$   $\operatorname{H\"ol}(X, Y, \alpha) := \bigcup_{\sigma \ge 0} \operatorname{H\"ol}(X, Y, \sigma).$ If  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $\operatorname{H\"ol}(X, \sigma, \alpha)$  and  $\operatorname{H\"ol}(X, \alpha).$ If  $g : A \to \mathbb{R} \in \operatorname{Hol}(A, \sigma, \alpha)$ , then

$$g_{\alpha}^*(x) := \sup\{g(a) - \sigma d(x, a)^{\alpha} \mid a \in A\},$$

$${}^*g_{\alpha}(x) := \inf\{g(a) + \sigma d(x,a)^{\alpha} \mid a \in A\}.$$

MW-pairs w.r.t. Hölder continuous functions and similarly shown MW-extension.

## Definition

A modulus of continuity is a  $\lambda : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  s.t. (i)  $\lambda(0) = 0$ . (ii)  $\forall_{x,y \in [0, +\infty)} (\lambda(x + y) \le \lambda(x) + \lambda(y))$ . (iii) It is strictly increasing i.e.,  $\forall_{s,t \in [0, +\infty)} (s < t \rightarrow \lambda(s) < \lambda(t))$ . (iv) It is uniformly continuous on every bounded subset of  $[0, +\infty)$ .

$$\begin{split} S(X,Y,\lambda) &:= \{ f \in C_u(X,Y) \mid \forall_{x,y \in X} (\rho(f(x),f(y)) \leq \lambda(d(x,y)) \}, \\ S(X,\lambda) &:= \{ f \in C_u(X) \mid \forall_{x,y \in X} (|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \lambda(d(x,y)) \}, \end{split}$$

If  $\lambda_1(t) = \sigma t$ ,  $\lambda_2(t) = \sigma t^{lpha}$ , then

 $S(X, \lambda_1) = \operatorname{Lip}(X, \sigma),$  $S(X, \lambda_2) = \operatorname{H\"ol}(X, \sigma, \alpha).$ 

## Theorem (Bishop-Bridges)

Let (X, d) be a totally bounded metric space, M > 0 and  $\lambda$  a modulus of continuity. The set

$$S(\lambda, M) := \{f \in S(X, \lambda) \mid ||f||_{\infty} \leq M\}$$

is compact.

If 
$$g: A \to \mathbb{R} \in S(A, \lambda)$$
,  
 $g_{\lambda}^*(x) := \sup\{g(a) - \lambda(d(x, a)) \mid a \in A\},$   
 ${}^*g_{\lambda}(x) := \inf\{g(a) + \lambda(d(x, a)) \mid a \in A\}.$ 

MW-pairs w.r.t.  $\lambda$ -continuous functions and similarly shown MW-extension.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### From Hahn-Banach to McShane-Whitney

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Let (X, ||.||) be a normed space, A a non-trivial subspace of X such that (X, A) is an MW-pair, and let  $g \in Lip(A, \sigma)$  be linear.

(i) 
$$g^*(x_1 + x_2) \ge g^*(x_1) + g^*(x_2)$$
,  $*g(x_1 + x_2) \le *g(x_1) + *g(x_2)$ .  
(ii) If  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $g^*(\lambda x) = \lambda g^*(x)$  and  $*g(\lambda x) = \lambda^*g(x)$ .  
(iii) If  $\lambda < 0$ , then  $g^*(\lambda x) = \lambda^*g(x)$  and  $*g(\lambda x) = \lambda g^*(x)$ .  
I.e.,  $*g$  is sublinear and  $g^*$  is superlinear.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

If (X, ||.||) is a normed space and  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $||x_0|| > 0$ , there exists  $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$  such that  $f(x_0) = ||x_0||$  and L(f) = 1.

### Proof.

If  $\mathbb{I}x_0 := \{\lambda x_0 \mid \lambda \in [-1,1]\}$ , the function  $g : \mathbb{I}x_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$g(\lambda x_0) = \lambda ||x_0||,$$

for every  $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$ , is in  $Lip(\mathbb{I}x_0)$  and L(g) = 1; if  $\lambda, \mu \in [-1, 1]$ , then  $|g(\lambda x_0) - g(\mu x_0)| = |\lambda| |x_0|| - \mu||x_0|| = |\lambda - \mu|||x_0|| = ||(\lambda - \mu)x_0|| = ||\lambda x_0 - \mu x_0||$ , and since

$$M_0(g) = \bigg\{ \sigma_{\lambda x_0, \mu x_0}(g) = \frac{|g(\lambda x_0) - g(\mu x_0)|}{||\lambda x_0 - \mu x_0||} = 1 \mid (\lambda, \mu) \in [-1, 1]_0 \bigg\},$$

we get that  $L(g) = \sup M_0(g) = 1$ . Since  $\mathbb{I}x_0$  is inhabited and totally bounded, since it is compact, the extension \*g of g is in  $\operatorname{Lip}(X)$ , and L(\*g) = L(g) = 1.

### Theorem

Let (X, ||.||) be a normed space and  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $||x_0|| > 0$ . If  $(X, \mathbb{R}x_0)$  is a MW-pair, there exist a sublinear Lipschitz function f on X such that  $f(x_0) = ||x_0||$  and L(f) = 1, and a superlinear Lipschitz function h on X such that  $h(x_0) = ||x_0||$  and L(h) = 1.

### Proof.

As in the previous proof the function  $g : \mathbb{R}x_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $g(\lambda x_0) = \lambda ||x_0||$ , for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , is in  $\operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}x_0)$  and L(g) = 1. Since  $(X, \mathbb{R}x_0)$  is a MW-pair, the extension \*g of g is a Lipschitz function, and L(\*g) = L(g) = 1. Since g is linear, we get that \*g is sublinear. Similarly, the extension  $g^*$  of g is a Lipschitz function, and  $L(g^*) = L(g) = 1$ . Since g is linear, we get that  $g^*$  is superlinear.

**Open problem**: To find conditions on (X, ||.||) s.t.  $(X, \mathbb{R}x_0)$  is a MW-pair, if  $||x_0|| > 0$ . A similar attitude is taken by Ishihara in his constructive proof of the Hahn-Banach theorem, where the property of Gâteaux differentiability of the norm is added, and X is uniformly convex and complete normed space.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

### From McShane-Whitney to Hahn-Banach

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

# Analytic Hahn-Banach theorem for seminorms (Zorn)

Let X be a vector space and  $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$  a seminorm on X i.e., (i)  $p(x) \ge 0$ , (ii)  $p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y)$ , (iii)  $p(\lambda x) = |\lambda|p(x)$ ,

and let A subspace of X and  $g: A \to \mathbb{R}$  linear such that

$$\forall_{a\in A}(g(a)\leq p(a)).$$

Then there is linear  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  that extends g and

$$\forall_{x\in X} (f(x) \leq p(x)).$$

In its general formulation (iii) is replaced by (iii')  $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$ , for  $\lambda \ge 0$  [(i), (ii), (iii'): sublinear functional].

# *p*-Lipschitz functions

If p is a seminorm on X, we define

$$p-\operatorname{Lip}(X) := \bigcup_{\sigma \ge 0} p-\operatorname{Lip}(X, \sigma),$$

 $p-\operatorname{Lip}(X,\sigma) := \{f \in \mathbb{F}(X,Y) \mid \forall_{x,y \in X} (|f(x)-f(y)| \leq \sigma p(x-y)\}.$ 

#### Remark

Let A be a subspace of the normed space X and  $g : A \to \mathbb{R}$ .

(i) If g is subadditive and  $g(a) \le p(a)$ , for every  $a \in A$ , then for every  $a, b \in A$ 

$$|g(a) - g(b)| \leq p(a - b)$$

i.e.,  $g \in p-\text{Lip}(A, 1)$ .

(ii) If g is superadditive and  $g(a) \ge p(a)$ , for every  $a \in A$ , then for every  $a, b \in A$ 

$$|g(a)-g(b)| \geq p(a-b).$$

#### Definition

Let A be a subset of the normed space X and p a seminorm on X. We call (X, A) a **p-McShane-Whitney pair**, if for every  $\sigma > 0$  and every  $g \in p-\text{Lip}(A, \sigma)$  the functions  $g^*, {}^*g : X \to \mathbb{R}$  are well-defined,

$$g^*(x) := \sup\{g(a) - \sigma p(x - a) \mid a \in A\},$$
  
$${}^*g(x) := \inf\{g(a) + \sigma p(x - a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

Theorem (McShane-Whitney for *p*-Lipschitz functions) If (X, A) is a *p* MW-pair and  $g \in p$ -Lip $(A, \sigma)$ , then: (i)  $g^*, *g \in p$ -Lip $(X, \sigma)$ . (ii)  $g^*|_A = (*g)|_A = g$ . (iii)  $\forall_{f \in p$ -Lip $(X, \sigma)$  ( $f|_A = g \Rightarrow g^* \le f \le *g$ ). (iv) The pair  $(g^*, *g)$  is the unique pair of functions satisfying (i)-(iii).

# MW-version of the analytic Hahn-Banach for seminorms

## Theorem

Let p be a seminorm on X, (X, A) a p-MW-pair, A a subspace of X, and  $g : A \to \mathbb{R}$  linear.

(i) If  $\forall_{a \in A}(g(a) \leq p(a))$ , there is a superlinear extension  $g^*$  of g such that  $g^* \in p-\text{Lip}(X,1)$  and  $\forall_{x \in X}(g^*(x) \leq p(x))$ .

(ii) If  $\forall_{a \in A}(g(a) \ge p(a))$ , there is a sublinear extension \*g of g such that  $*g \in p-\text{Lip}(X, 1)$  and  $\forall_{x \in X}(*g(x) \ge p(x))$ .

## Proof.

(i) By the previous remark  $g \in p-\text{Lip}(A, 1)$ , and we use the McShane-Whitney extension theorem for *p*-Lipschitz functions. (ii) Similarly.

W.r.t. analytic HB for seminorms, we lost linearity (in (i) we have only superlinearity), but we have that  $g^* \in p-(X, 1)$ .

Of course, we didn't use Zorn's lemma.

We hope to find good applications of this in convex analysis.

# Literature I

- D. S. Bridges and L. S. Vîță: *Techniques of Constructive Analysis*, in: Universitext, Springer, New York, 2006.
- O. Demuth: On the differentiability of constructive functions, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 10 (1969), 167-175. (Russian)
- J. Heinonen: *Lectures on Lipschitz analysis*, Report. University of Jyväskulä, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, 100. University of Jyväskulä, Jyväskulä, 2005.
- H. Ishihara: On the constructive Hahn-Banach theorem, Bull. London. Math. Soc. 21, 1989, 79-81.
- M. Mandelkern: *Constructive Continuity*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 277, 1983.
- E. J. McShane: Extension of range of functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40, 1934, no.12, 837-842.

# Literature II

- I. Petrakis: A direct constructive proof of a Stone-Weierstrass theorem for metric spaces, in A. Beckmann, L. Bienvenu and N. Jonoska (Eds.) *Pursuit of the Universal, CiE 2016*, Springer, LNCS 9709, 2016, 364-374.
- I. Petrakis: McShane-Whitney pairs, in J. Kari, F. Manea and I. Petre (Eds.): Unveiling Dynamics and Complexity, CiE 2017, LNCS 10307, 2017, 351-363.
- I. Petrakis: McShane-Whitney extensions in constructive analysis, in preparation, 2018.
- R. J. Vanderbei: Uniform continuity is almost Lipschitz continuity, manuscript, 2017.
- H. Whitney: Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36, 1934, no. 1, 63-89.