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$R$ is a commutative ring with $1 . M, N, L$ etc. are $R$-modules. We write $M \leq_{R} N$ if $M$ is an $R$-submodule of $N$. For $S \subseteq M$, $(S)_{R}$ is an $R$-submodule generated by $S$.

## Lemma 1 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

The following statements are equivalent to ACA.
(1) $\forall S \subseteq M,(S)_{R}$ exists.
(2) $\forall M_{i} \leq_{R} M, \sum M_{i}$ exists.
(3) $\forall M \leq_{R} N, \forall$ ideal I of $R$, IM exists.
(4) $\forall M \leq_{R} N, M: R N=\{a \in R: \forall n \in N(a n \in M)\}$ exists. (Especially, the annihilator $0:_{R} N$ of $N$ exists.)

## Lemma 2 (Conidis)

Krull-Azumaya lemma is proved in $\mathrm{ACA}_{0}$ : for any finitely generated $R$-module $M, M=J(M) M \Rightarrow M=0$, where $J(M)$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals.
We use ACA to prove $J(M)=\left\{a: \forall x \in R(1-a x) \in R^{\times}\right\}$ essentially.

A chain complex $\mathcal{C}$ is a chain of $R$-modules

$$
\rightarrow M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} M_{i} \xrightarrow{d_{i}} M_{i-1} \rightarrow
$$

with $d_{i} \circ d_{i+1}=0$.

## Definition $3\left(\mathrm{RCA}_{0}\right)$

For an $R$-hom $f: M \rightarrow N,(L, \varphi)$ is the cokernel of $f$ if $\varphi \circ f=0$ and for any $R$-hom $\varphi^{\prime}: N \rightarrow L^{\prime}$, if $\varphi^{\prime} \circ f=0$ then there exists a unique $R$-hom $u: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ such that $u \circ \varphi=\varphi^{\prime}$.

## Theorem 4

The assertion that any $R$-hom has the cokernel, is equivalent to $\mathrm{ACA}_{0}$ over $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$.

We may think the range of $d_{i+1}$ is $\operatorname{ker}\left(d_{i}\right) . H_{i}(\mathcal{C})$ is the cokernel of $d_{i+1}$. Then ACA $A_{0}$ seems needed when we develop homological algebra.
But, as (abstract) simplicial complexes, we often treat only complex chains of finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. In this case, we can define homology groups within $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ by the usual way without any additional idea. We can get some simple results in this case. For example, the following result is almost trivial.

## Theorem 5

The following assertion is equivalent ACA over $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ : any complex chain $\mathcal{C}$ of finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules has a sequence $\left\langle\beta_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\rangle$ such that each $\beta_{n}$ is the $n$-th Betii number.
The proof is a simple exercise.

In this talk, we would like to discuss algebraic or categorical properties of modules for more general setting of homological algebra.
The class of all $R$-hom from $M$ to $N$, say $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$ is a $\Pi_{1}^{0}$ class. For an $R$-hom $f: M \rightarrow N$ and $\varphi: L \rightarrow M$, we write $f^{\#}(\varphi)$ for $f \circ \varphi$. We can think of $f^{\#}$ as a " $R$-hom" from $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(L, M)$ to $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(L, N)$.
By the same way, we can define ${ }^{\#} f: \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(N, L)$ to $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, L)$ by $\# f(\varphi)=\varphi \circ f$.

## Proposition $6\left(\mathrm{RCA}_{0}\right)$

Assume that $0 \rightarrow N_{1} \xrightarrow{f} N_{2} \xrightarrow{g} N_{3} \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Then
$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(M, N_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{f \#} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(M, N_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{g^{\#}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(M, N_{3}\right)$ and
$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(N_{3}, M\right) \xrightarrow{\# g} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(N_{2}, M\right) \xrightarrow{\# f} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(N_{1}, M\right)$ are exact.
Note that if $N_{1} \xrightarrow{f} N_{2} \xrightarrow{g} N_{3}$ is exact, $\operatorname{Im} f$ exists since $\operatorname{Im} f=\operatorname{Ker} g$.

## Proposition 7

The five lemma is proved in $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$. If we assume that the existence of necessary cokernels, the snake lemma is also proved in $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$.

## Definition $8\left(\mathrm{RCA}_{0}\right)$

$\left(F,\left\langle x_{i}: i \in I\right\rangle\right)$ is a free $R$-module if $F=\oplus_{i \in I} R x_{i}$.
Sometimes, we only write $F$ for a free $R$-module, omitting the free basis $\left\langle x_{i}: i \in I\right\rangle$.

## Definition $9\left(\mathrm{RCA}_{0}\right)$

A short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 0$ is split if there exists an $R$-hom $\alpha: N \rightarrow M$ such that $\alpha \circ f=\operatorname{Id}_{M}$.

It is also equivalent to the assertion that there exists an $R$-hom $\beta: L \rightarrow N$ such that $g \circ \beta=\operatorname{Id}_{L}$. Then $N \simeq M \oplus L$.

## Definition $10\left(\mathrm{RCA}_{0}\right)$

$(T, \varphi)$ is a tensor product of $M$ and $N$ if $\varphi: M \times N \rightarrow T$ is an $R$-bilinear function and for any $R$-module $T^{\prime}$ and $R$-bilinear function $\varphi^{\prime}: M \times N \rightarrow T^{\prime}$, there exists a unique $R$-hom $u: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ satisfying $u \circ \varphi=\varphi^{\prime}$. We write the tensor product of $M$ and $N$ by $M \otimes_{R} N$.

## Theorem 11

The following assertions are pairwise equivalent over $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$.
(1) ACA.
(2) For any two $R$-modules $M$ and $N, M \otimes_{R} N$ exists.
(3) For any $R$-module $M, M \otimes_{R} M$ exists.

## Proposition $12\left(\mathrm{RCA}_{0}\right)$

$R \otimes_{R} M \simeq M . M \otimes_{R} N \simeq N \otimes_{R} M$, $\left(\oplus M_{i}\right) \otimes_{R} N \simeq \oplus\left(M_{i} \otimes_{R} N\right)$ if they exist, etc.

## Definition 13 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

$(P, F, \iota, \varepsilon)$ is a projective $R$-module if $F$ is a free $R$-module, $0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\iota} F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} P \rightarrow 0$ is split and $\varepsilon \circ \iota=\operatorname{Id}_{P}$.

As before, we only write $P$ for a projective $R$-module, omitting other objects.

## Proposition 14 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

The following are equivalent to each other.
(1) $P$ is projective.
(2) For any surjecitve $R$-hom $g: M \rightarrow N$ and any $R$-hom $\alpha$, there exists $R$-hom $\beta: P \rightarrow M$ such that $g \circ \beta=\alpha$.

## Proposition 15 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

$\oplus P_{i}$ is projective iff each $P_{i}$ is projective.

Using $\Delta_{1}^{0}$-indices, we formalize an effective proof and have the following fact.

Lemma $16\left(\mathrm{RCA}_{0}+I \Sigma_{2}^{0}\right)$
For any $R$-module $M$, there exist a sequence of free $R$-modules $\left\langle F_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\rangle$ and a sequence of $R$ - homomorphisms $\left\langle f_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\rangle$ such that $\rightarrow F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} F_{i} \xrightarrow{f_{i}} F_{i-1} \cdots \rightarrow F_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} M \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
$\Sigma_{2}^{0}$ is used to verify required properties.
Lemma 16 guarantees the existence of projective resolution for any $R$-module.

## Lemma $17\left(\mathrm{RCA}_{0}+\mathrm{I}_{2}^{0}\right)$

Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be an $R$-hom. Assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow P_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i}} P_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_{0} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0, \\
& \rightarrow Q_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i}^{\prime}} Q_{i} \cdots \rightarrow \rightarrow Q_{0} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{\prime}} N \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

are projective resolutions. Then
(1) There is a lifting $\left\langle f_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\rangle$ of $f$.
(2) If $\left\langle f_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle g_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\rangle$ are liftings of $f$, then there exists $\left\langle s_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\rangle$ such that $s_{i-1} \circ \partial_{i}+\partial_{i+1}^{\prime} \circ s_{i}=f_{i}-g_{i}$.

Remark. If each $P_{i}$ and $Q_{i}$ are finitely generated (with generators uniformly), the above is proved in $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$.

Let $\rightarrow P_{i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i}} \cdots \rightarrow P_{0} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} M \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution.
Then we have
$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(P_{0}, N\right) \xrightarrow{\# \partial_{1}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(P_{1}, N\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(P_{2}, N\right) \rightarrow \cdots$.
Let $Z_{i}$ be a $\Pi_{1}^{0}$-class of the elements $\alpha$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(P_{i}, N\right)$ such that $\alpha \circ \partial_{i+1}=0$. Define $\alpha={ }_{i} \beta$ by $\alpha-\beta=\gamma \circ \partial_{i}$ for some $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(P_{i}, N\right)$.
We define $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, N)$ by $\left(Z_{i},={ }_{i}\right)$ within $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}+I \Sigma_{2}^{0}$. Lemma 16 tells us that we may assume that this definition is independent of projective resolutions. It is not difficult to show that $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, N)$ acts a functor.
If a chain complex $\rightarrow P_{i} \otimes N \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_{0} \otimes N \rightarrow 0$ is given, we can also define a functor $\operatorname{Tor}_{R}^{i}(M, N)$ within $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}+\mathrm{I} \Sigma_{2}^{0}$.

## Definition $18\left(\mathrm{RCA}_{0}\right)$

An $R$-module I is injective if for any $R$-monomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ and any $R$-hom $\alpha: M \rightarrow I$, there exists an $R$-hom
$\beta: N \rightarrow I$ such that $\beta \circ f=\alpha$.

## Proposition 19 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

The following are equivalent to each other.
(1) ACA.
(2) Baer's test: "For any ideal J of $R$ and any $R$-hom $\alpha: J \rightarrow I$, there exists an $R$-hom $\beta: J \rightarrow I$ such that $\beta \mid J=\alpha$ " implies that $I$ is injective.

## Proposition 20 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

Any $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M$ has an injective $\mathbb{Z}$-module $N$ such that $M \leq_{\mathbb{Z}} N$.

## Proposition 21 ( $\mathrm{ACA}_{0}$; Wu \& Wu, 16)

If $R$ is a P.I.D., then any submodule of a free $R$-module is free.

Let $\mathcal{I}=\left(I,\left\langle J\left(i, i^{\prime}\right): i, i^{\prime} \in I\right\rangle, \circ\right)$ be a category.
$I$ is the set of objects and $J\left(i, i^{\prime}\right)$ is the set of arrows from $i$ to $i^{\prime}$.
A pair of sequences $\left(\left\langle M_{i}: i \in I\right\rangle,\left\langle\left\langle f_{\varphi}: \varphi \in J\left(i, i^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle: i, i^{\prime} \in I\right\rangle\right)$ is said to be a diagram of type $\mathcal{I}$ if (1) each $f_{\varphi}$ is an $R$-hom from $M_{\text {dom } \varphi}$ to $M_{\text {codom } \varphi,}$, (2) $f_{\mathrm{Id}_{i}}=\operatorname{Id}_{M_{i}}$ for all $i \in I$, and (3) $f_{\varphi_{1} \circ \varphi_{2}}=f_{\varphi_{1}} \circ f_{\varphi_{2}}$ for any adequate arrows $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$.
Then we can define the inductive limit $\left(L,\left\langle\iota_{i}: i \in I\right\rangle\right)$ by the usual way.

It is unique up to isomorphism if it exists. We write $\lim _{\rightarrow i \in I} M_{i}$ for the inductive limit. We also define the projective limit as the dual of inductive limit and write $\lim _{\leftarrow i \in I} M_{i}$ for it.

## Proposition 22 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

ACA is equivalent to the statement that any diagram has the inductive limit. Especially, the existence of the co-equalizer (or pushout) for two R-homomorphisms implies ACA.

## Proposition 23 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

Any diagram of a finite type has the projective limit.
Especially, the equalizer (or pullack) for any two
$R$-homomorphisms exists.

## Proposition 24 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

Let $\mathcal{I}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{2}$ be categories. Assume that
$\left(\left\langle M_{i_{1} i_{2}}:\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in I_{1} \times I_{2}\right\rangle, \cdots\right)$ is a diagram of $\mathcal{I}_{1} \times \mathcal{I}_{2}$. Then

$$
\lim _{\rightarrow i_{1} \in I_{1}} \lim _{\rightarrow i_{2} \in I_{2}} M_{i_{1} i_{2}} \simeq \lim _{\rightarrow i_{2} \in I_{2}} \lim _{\rightarrow i_{1} \in I_{1}} M_{i_{1} i_{2}} \simeq \lim _{\rightarrow\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in I_{1} \times I_{2}} M_{i_{1} i_{2}},
$$

if the above limits exist.
A category $\mathcal{I}=\left(I,\left\langle J\left(i, i^{\prime}\right): i, i^{\prime} \in I\right\rangle, 0\right)$ is filtered if $(1) I$ is not empty, (2) $\forall i, i^{\prime} \exists i^{\prime \prime}\left(J\left(i, i^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq \emptyset \wedge J\left(i^{\prime}, i^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq \emptyset\right)$, and (3) $\forall \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in J\left(i, i^{\prime}\right) \exists \mu \in J\left(i^{\prime}, j\right)$ such that $\mu \circ \varphi_{1}=\mu \circ \varphi_{2}$.

## Proposition 25 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

Let $\left(\left\langle M_{i}: i \in I\right\rangle, \cdots\right)$ be a diagram of a filtered category $\mathcal{I}$. Then

$$
\lim _{\rightarrow i \in I} M_{i} \simeq \coprod M_{i} / \sim
$$

where $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x)=\psi(y)$ for some $\varphi \in J\left(i, i^{*}\right)$ and $\psi \in J\left(i^{\prime}, i^{*}\right)$, for $x \in M_{i}$ and $y \in M_{i^{\prime}}$.

Note that the above proposition doesn't mention the existence of $\lim _{\rightarrow i \in I} M_{i}$ and $\coprod M_{i} / \sim$.

## Proposition 26 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

Let $\mathcal{I}_{1}$ be a filtered category and $\mathcal{I}_{2}$ be a finite category. Assume that $\left(\left\langle M_{i_{1} i_{2}}:\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in I_{1} \times I_{2}\right\rangle, \cdots\right)$ is a diagram of $\mathcal{I}_{1} \times \mathcal{I}_{2}^{o p}$. Then

$$
\lim _{\rightarrow i_{1} \in I_{1}} \lim _{\leftarrow i_{2} \in I_{2}} M_{i_{1} i_{2}} \simeq \lim _{\leftarrow i_{2} \in I_{2} \rightarrow i_{1} \in I_{1}} \lim _{i_{1} i_{2}},
$$

if the above limits exist.

## Proposition 27 ( $\mathrm{ACA}_{0}$ )

Let $\mathcal{M}^{k}=\left(\left\langle M_{i}^{k}: i \in I\right\rangle, \cdots\right)$ is a diagram of $\mathcal{I}$ for $k=1,2,3$. Let $\left\langle g_{i}\right\rangle: \mathcal{M}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{2}$ and $\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle: \mathcal{M}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{3}$ be homomorphisms. Assume that each $M_{i}^{1} \rightarrow M_{i}^{2} \rightarrow M_{i}^{3}$ is exact. Then $\lim _{\rightarrow i \in I} M_{i}^{1} \rightarrow \lim _{\rightarrow i \in I} M_{i}^{2} \rightarrow \lim _{\rightarrow i \in I} M_{i}^{3}$.

## Proposition 28 ( $\mathrm{RCA}_{0}$ )

Let $\mathcal{I}_{1}$ be a filtered category and $\mathcal{I}_{2}$ be a finite category. Assume that $\left(\left\langle M_{i_{1} i_{2}}:\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in I_{1} \times I_{2}\right\rangle, \cdots\right)$ is a diagram of $\mathcal{I}_{1} \times \mathcal{I}_{2}^{o p}$. Then

$$
\lim _{\rightarrow i_{1} \in I_{1} \leftarrow i_{2} \in I_{2}} \lim _{i_{1} i_{2}} \simeq \lim _{\leftarrow i_{2} \in I_{2} \rightarrow i_{1} \in I_{1}} \lim _{i_{1} i_{2}},
$$

if the above limits exist.
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