

## Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Chapter Introduction .....	1
1.2 Background .....	1
1.3 Problem Statements .....	6
1.4 Scope of this Dissertation .....	8
1.5 Dissertation Objectives and Significance .....	10
1.6 Structure of Dissertation .....	11
<b>Chapter 2 Literature Review</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1 Chapter Introduction .....	14
2.2 Learning in Education .....	14
2.3 Educational Assessments .....	17
2.3.1 Quizzing .....	18
2.3.2 Multiple-Choice Questions (MCQs) .....	20
2.4 Learning Process and Its Theoretical Concepts .....	22
2.4.1 Challenge-based Gamification .....	22
2.4.2 Gamified Learning Theory .....	24
2.4.3 Flow Theory .....	27

CONTENTS

2.4.4	Zone of Proximal Development .....	29
2.4.5	Prospect Theory .....	32
2.5	Physics-in-Mind Perspectives .....	34
2.5.1	An Overview of Game Refinement Theory .....	34
2.5.2	An Overview of Motion in Mind .....	37
2.5.3	Variable Ratio (VR) Schedule .....	39
2.5.4	Objectivity and Subjectivity Measurement .....	40
2.6	Chapter Summary .....	43
<b>Chapter 3 Capturing Potential Impact of Challenge-Based Gamification on Gamified Quizzing</b>		<b>45</b>
3.1	Chapter Introduction .....	45
3.2	Gamification Designs and Elements .....	46
3.2.1	Time Pressure .....	47
3.2.2	Points and Scores .....	47
3.2.3	Levels .....	47
3.3	Related Works in Challenge-based Gamification .....	48
3.4	Experimental Setup .....	50
3.4.1	Mixed Methodology .....	50
3.4.2	Variables and Participants .....	51
3.4.3	Gamified Platform: Kahoot! .....	53
3.4.4	Procedures .....	55
3.4.5	Data Collection .....	57
3.5	The Proposed Assessment Method .....	60
3.5.1	Quantitative Measures .....	60
3.5.2	Qualitative Measures .....	62
3.6	Quantitative Results .....	63
3.6.1	Analysis of Time Pressure .....	65
3.6.2	Analysis of Difficulty .....	69
3.6.3	Analysis of Pattern Adaptation .....	72
3.7	Qualitative Results .....	74

CONTENTS

3.7.1	Thematic Analysis on Impact of Challenge-Based Gamification . . . . .	76
3.7.2	Thematic Analysis on Perceptions of Challenge-Based Gamification . . . . .	78
3.8	General Discussion . . . . .	79
3.8.1	Potential Impact and Perceptions of Challenge-Based Gamification . . . . .	81
3.8.2	Practical Implications and Theoretical Contributions . . . . .	88
3.9	Chapter Summary . . . . .	90
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Objectivity and Subjectivity in Variation of Multiple-Choice Questions</b>	<b>92</b>
4.1	Chapter Introduction . . . . .	92
4.2	Related Works in the Educational Assessment . . . . .	93
4.2.1	The Optimal Number of Option . . . . .	93
4.2.2	Incorporation of Gamified Affordances . . . . .	95
4.2.3	Scoring Methods . . . . .	97
4.3	Item Analysis: Classical Test Theory . . . . .	97
4.3.1	Item Difficulty . . . . .	98
4.3.2	Item Discrimination . . . . .	99
4.4	Methodological Assessment . . . . .	100
4.4.1	Motion-in-Mind Model . . . . .	101
4.4.2	Reliability . . . . .	103
4.4.3	Validity . . . . .	104
4.5	Analysis of Variations in MCQ . . . . .	105
4.5.1	Variation in the Number of Options . . . . .	106
4.5.2	Challenge-based Gamification and Scaffolding . . . . .	109
4.5.3	Variation of Scoring Methods . . . . .	114
4.6	General Discussion . . . . .	117
4.6.1	The Optimal Number of Options . . . . .	118
4.6.2	Linking between learning and engagement . . . . .	122
4.6.3	Decision making process under the framing effect . . . . .	125
4.7	Interpretation with respect to Learning Comfort from Motion in Mind Perspective	128
4.7.1	Mass $m$ Perspectives . . . . .	128
4.7.2	Reward Frequency $N$ Perspectives . . . . .	131

CONTENTS

4.7.3 Interpretation on Educational Assessments .....	132
4.8 Practical Implications .....	134
4.9 Chapter Summary .....	137
<b>Chapter 5 Conclusion</b>	<b>139</b>
5.1 Learning Comfort and Engagement within the Educational Assessment .....	141
5.2 Addressing the Research Questions .....	141
5.3 Concluding Remarks .....	143
5.4 Future Recommendations .....	144
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Publications</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>167</b>

## List of Figures

1.1 Diagram of student engagement model, adapted from [18] .....	4
2.1 Theoretical concepts we employed in this study.....	22
2.2 Theory of gamified learning: $D \rightarrow C \rightarrow B$ is mediating process, $D \rightarrow C$ , which moderates $A \rightarrow B$ is moderating process [17].....	26
2.3 A conceptual framework of gamification in gamified learning theory [77].....	26
2.4 An original flow model proposed by Csikszentmihalyi [25] .....	28
2.5 A simplified flow model proposed by Sharek [79] .....	28
2.6 An alternative flow model proposed by Schell [80].....	28
2.7 A transition of learning in the context of the zone of proximal development adopted from [23] .....	30
2.8 A conceptual zones of proximal flow adopted from [90] .....	30
2.9 A relationship between probability and the potential of gains and losses adop- ted from [104] .....	34
2.10 The player decision selection process.....	36
2.11 The conceptual model of engagement and addiction by [32] adopting challenge- based gamification .....	40
2.12 Measures of motion in mind for $k = 3$ and $k = 4$ .....	43
3.1 A proposed challenge-based gamification framework used in this study. ....	48
3.2 A triangulation mixed-methods design. We adopted this research design to support the three experiments conducted in this study. The first experiment involved applying the time pressure factor, followed by difficulty adjustment in the second one. Thirdly, an adaptation of patterns that randomized difficulty distribution and subgoal distribution was conducted for the third experiment. Finally, these experiments were supported by another layer of assessment procedures, as described in Section 3.4.4. ....	51

LIST OF FIGURES

3.3	A screenshot of the Kahoot! user interface.....	54
3.4	A screenshot of the Kahoot! question creator.....	55
3.5	A one-group pretest–post-test design for the quantitative experimental study. ...	56
3.6	A flowchart for our qualitative experimental study using interviews. ....	58
3.7	A visualization based on different total question numbers. ....	67
3.8	An illustration of challenge-based gamification related to flow theory and motion in mind.....	80
3.9	An analogical measure of the physics of all experiments for various masses $m$ with $N = 10$ and $t = 10$ . ....	81
3.10	A thematic map showing themes, subthemes, and codes.....	86
3.11	An input–output process of gamification in a gamified platform .....	89
4.1	A conceptual workflow for analyzing the learning process used in this study. ...	105
4.2	Measures of motion in mind with indicator of $N$ for $k = 3$ .....	107
4.3	Algorithm 1: MCQ AI with simulation various knowledge for considering the number of options from $N = 3,4$ , and $5$ .....	108
4.4	An illustration of guessing progression with with changes in score ( $G$ ) and its difficulty ( $\Delta v$ ) over total score ( $T$ ).....	111
4.5	Algorithm 2: MCQ AI with simulation various knowledge level in a positive scoring rule.....	115
4.6	Algorithm 3: MCQ AI with with simulation various knowledge in a mixed scoring rule.....	116
4.7	Interpretation of variation MCQs based on motion-in-mind measures .....	126
4.8	A conceptual scheme Learning Outcomes through Learning Comfort, Process, and Potential .....	134
4.9	A conceptual scheme of analyzing the learning process.....	135
4.10	Comparison of variation in MCQs based on motion in mind measures, $E_0$ .....	136

## List of Tables

2.1 Game design elements adopted from [10] .....	24
2.2 Various GR measures of several board games and sports [26, 110] .....	37
2.3 An analogical link that relates physics in mind notations and its in-game counterparts [26] .....	38
3.1 Integrated game design elements in the challenge-based gamified quizzing.....	46
3.2 The pre- and post-test treatment effect of challenge-based gamification experiments .....	64
3.3 Measures of game refinement $GR$ and risk $m$ of Kahoot! with different numbers of questions and question answering times.....	66
3.4 Measures of momentum $\vec{p}$ , potential energy $E_p$ , and risk $m$ with different numbers of questions and time allowed to answer the questions .....	68
3.5 Measures of subjective acceleration $a_2$ , subjective force $F_2$ , and risk $m$ with different numbers of questions and question times.....	69
3.6 Measures of risk chance $m$ , objective momentum $p_1$ , potential energy $E_p$ , and subjective momentum $p_2$ based on variations in the number of questions number and time required for every quiz difficulty level.....	70
3.7 Measures of risk chance $m$ , subjective acceleration $a_2$ , and subjective force $F_2$ based on variations in the number of questions and the time for every level of quiz difficulty .....	71
3.8 Measures of the physics value of motion in mind and risk $m$ for varying numbers of questions and question time with a randomized difficulty distribution	73
3.9 Measures of the physics value of motion in mind and risk $m$ with variations in the number of questions and question time for the subgoal pattern .....	74
3.10 Number of mentions by participants of codes relating to this research's themes	75

LIST OF TABLES

3.11 Thematic table showing themes, subthemes, and codes obtained from interview transcriptions.....	75
3.12 An interpretation of each motion in mind indicator for the three experiments from an engagement perspective.....	86
4.1 Difficulty indices and discrimination indices on our proposed question items ...	98
4.2 An analogical link that relates physics in mind notations of game and education context.....	102
4.3 Concurrent validity based on the correlation between TOEIC and scores in our proposed MCQ, and $KR - 20$ reliability coefficients of the experimental tests	103
4.4 Motion in mind measures of three experimental multiple-choice question formats based on the partial knowledge.....	106
4.5 Motion in mind measures three multiple-choice question formats between human data and simulation data.....	109
4.6 Motion in mind measures of three multiple-choice question formats with time pressure from $t = 30$ to $t = 15$ minutes .....	110
4.7 Motion in mind measures three multiple-choice question formats with exclusion and inclusion of scaffolding .....	112
4.8 Motion in mind measures three multiple-choice question formats with all variations.....	113
4.9 Motion in mind measures the variation of scoring methods between human and simulation data .....	117
4.10 List of standardized tests with the number of options $N$ and types of scoring methods.....	120
4.11 Motion in Mind measures of the notable multiple-choice tests [179] .....	121
4.12 Comparison of various motion-in-mind measures of popular games and sports (adopted from [26]), including MCQs.....	129
4.13 The analogical interpretation of value $m$ compare to game context.....	130
4.14 Implication of scoring difficulty $m^*$ in the educational context based on motion in mind concept .....	131
4.15 Motion in mind measures for GAT tests from 2013 to 2021 with $k = 3$ .....	133



LIST OF TABLES

4.16 Motion in mind measures for SAT tests from 2012 to 2021 with $k = 3$ .....	133
4.17 Motion in mind measures for TOEIC tests from 2013 to 2021 with $k = 3$ .....	133
5.1 List of Questions used in Chapter 4.....	167