

Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgments	ii
1 Introduction	7
1.1 Chapter Introduction	7
1.2 Background	7
1.3 Statement of Research Question	10
1.4 Structure of The Thesis	11
2 Literature Review	14
2.1 Chapter Introduction	14
2.2 Data-Driven Game Development	14
2.2.1 Creation Stage	15
2.2.2 Optimization Stage	18
2.3 Game Refinement Theory	18
2.3.1 Gamified experience for board games and sports games	20
2.4 Motion in Mind	21
2.5 Chapter Summary	23
3 Application of Meta-Gaming Concept to The Publishing Platform: Analysis of The Steam Games Platform	26
3.1 Chapter Introduction	26
3.2 Steam and related works	29
3.2.1 Steam	29
3.2.2 Related works	31

3.3	Methodology	37
3.3.1	Motion-in-Mind	37
3.3.2	Data Collection and Pre-processing	42
3.4	Results and Analysis	43
3.4.1	What kind of game releases and multi-player support on the Steam platform?	43
3.4.2	How does rating affected by game prices on the Steam platform?	46
3.4.3	What kind of developers and publishers were dominant on the Steam platform?	51
3.4.4	Does Steam achievement affect game rating and type of game playability?	52
3.5	Discussion	55
3.6	Limitation	61
3.7	Chapter Summary	62
4	Puzzle Generation and Analysis in FlowFree	63
4.1	Chapter Introduction	63
4.2	Related Works	64
4.3	Methodology	65
4.3.1	Motion-in-Mind Model	65
4.3.2	FlowFree	66
4.3.3	Generating and Solving FlowFree Puzzles	67
4.3.4	Collecting Human Data	68
4.4	Result Analysis	71
4.4.1	FlowFree Puzzles Analysis	71
4.4.2	Human Data Analysis	73
4.5	Discussion	78
4.6	Chapter Summary	80
5	Conclusion	81
5.1	General discussion on using motion-in-mind for data-driven game development	81

5.2	Addressing the Research Questions	82
5.2.1	Answer to RQ1:	82
5.2.2	Answer to RQ2:	85
5.2.3	Final remarks	86
5.3	Future works	87
	Bibliography	88
	Publications	102

List of Figures

2-1	the uses of data in game development life cycle	15
2-2	Illustration of law of motion in mind over various mass (m). The subjective motion(p_2) is derived from the objective ones(p_1), where subjective velocity (v_2) was established. \vec{p}_2 is derived based on the conservation of E_p	24
30	figure.3.1	
31	figure.3.2	
3-3	Objective and subjective reinforcement when $k = 3$	40
3-4	Objective and subjective reinforcement difference Δ_k when $k = 3$	41
3-5	An overview of data processing and merging	44
3-6	Statistics of game releases based on new developers and indie games	45
3-7	Number of game released over the year for non-indie games based on its playability (multiplayer, single player, and both)	45
3-8	Number of game released over the year for indie games based on its playability (multiplayer, single player, and both)	46
3-9	Players' rating of games released over the year for (a) non-indie games and (b) indie games based on its playability	47
3-10	Steam rating of games released over the year for (a) non-indie games and (b) indie games based on its playability	47
3-11	Price of games released over the year for (a) non-indie games and (b) indie games based on its playability	47
3-12	Average total rating total of games based on (a) development experience and (b) publishing options for indie and non-indie game developers	52
3-13	Price of game released over the year for (a) non-indie games and (b) indie games based on its playability	57

3-14	The positive rating ratio given by (a) clusters and (b) against total rating .	58
3-15	An envisioned “experience-driven” business model	60
4-1	Puzzle initial and solved states of (a) FlowFree and (b) Numberlink	67
4-2	mainmenu interface of the flowfree clone	69
4-3	quantity of puzzle based on its board size and color count	70
4-4	quantity of puzzle based on its board size and color count	71
4-5	quantity of puzzle based on its board size and color count	72
4-6	boxplot of puzzle’s v based on its board size and color count	72
4-7	original pacing v average per level	75
4-8	random pacing v average per level	75
4-9	original pacing moves	75
4-10	random pacing moves	75
4-11	original pacing time taken	75
4-12	random pacing taken	75
4-13	original pacing give up percentage	76
4-14	random pacing give up percentage	76
4-15	correlation map between puzzle metrics and gameplay data	76

List of Tables

2.1	Analogical link between physics and game (adopted from [43])	22
3.1	Literature summary of the previous works conducted on Steam platform	36
3.2	Analogical link between physics and game (adopted from Iida and Khalid [43])	38
3.3	Data summary of the three steam data sources	42
3.4	Correlation between game prices and game rating	50
3.5	statistics of price and rating total based on their price range group	50
3.6	Correlation between number of achievements and game rating	52
3.7	Clustering results of the game data analysis	53
3.8	Correlation between number and percentage of achievements, and rating of Cluster 3	54
3.9	v correlation to total rating, achievement percentage, number of achievements and number of days since the game is released	56
3.10	regression analysis result for game's rating total	57
4.1	Analogical link between physics and game (adopted from [43])	66
4.2	Collected data information	70
4.3	Clustering results of the player data	77
4.4	Motion-in-Mind value of player data, $a = \frac{G}{T^2}$, $j = \frac{3G}{T^3}$	77
4.5	Correlation between players perceived difficulty (rating) to puzzle properties, v and players' gameplay data	78
5.1	G, T, a, GR, v, m and F of steam games based on their rating group	84
5.2	the implication of v and f based on table 5.1	85
5.3	game development stages and game refinement/motion-in-mind values used	86